



INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

Looking 40 years out
13

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | | YES | NO | Comments |
|----------|---|-----|----|--|
| 1A. | Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15 | 2 | 8 | clearly not enough by itself, too expensive |
| 1B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 2 | 8 | if freight data warrants, it might help |
| 2A. | Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16 | 0 | 10 | |
| 2B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 10 | 0 | expensive! but fits w/ hi-speed rail |
| 3. | What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19 | YES | NO | |
| | • Express Bus | 0 | 10 | - except connecting to LRT terminus to Clark college terminus w/ later expansion |
| | • Light Rail | 10 | 0 | |
| 4. | What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26 | YES | NO | |
| | • 3 Lanes | 10 | 0 | except Delta Slough bridge & Rose Ctr. / keep at 2 lanes |
| | • Add a 4 th Lane | 0 | 10 | |
| 5A. | Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 10 | 0 | for LRT & possibly arterial traffic |
| 5B. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New 4 ² lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV , and Hayden Island Access Page 28 | 10 | 0 | w/ 2 lanes & bike/ped facilities w/ arterial connections |
| | • New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29 | 8 | 2 | |
| | • Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32 | 0 | 10 | |

| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----|----|--|
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 | 0 | 10 | |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 4 | 6 | |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 10 | 0 | |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| Address the problem between | • Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. N. band Page 23 | 10 | 0 | needs to be less costly - direct from Columbia to Denver |
| | • Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 | | | |
| | • Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 | | | |
| | 8. Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12 | 2 | 8 | The baseline includes projects that have negative impacts on freight, transit & freeway operation in N/NE Portland |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole. (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

- 10

Land use policy changes TOD
- 0

West Arterial
- 10

Commuter Rail
- 0

Express bus
- 30

Light rail to Clark College
- 10

Three lanes (except Slough & RR) no change
- 0

Four lanes
- 10

River crossing LRT w/ Arterial (2 lanes)
- 10

Spot improvements Columbia N.
- 20

Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

Yeah
Right

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

14

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | YES | NO | Comments |
|--|-----|----|--|
| 1A. Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15 | | 10 | not |
| 1B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 7 | 3 | What ARE the buildings under the blue line? Is this going thru wetlands? What's the story? |
| 2A. Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16 | | 10 | |
| 2B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 6 | 4 | This is hard with so little information |
| 3. What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19 | YES | NO | |
| • Express Bus | 4 | 6 | Without having numbers on the plan I just can't decide |
| • Light Rail | 10 | | I think this best overall fits the vision + values statement. |
| 4. What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26 | YES | NO | |
| • 3 Lanes | | | I'm not sure that there shouldn't be an as is minus Rose Quarter, Delta Park |
| • Add a 4 th Lane | | 20 | |
| 5A. Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 8 | 2 | Don't know yet |
| 5B. If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27 | 8 | 2 | |
| • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28 | 8 | 2 | |
| • New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29 | 7 | 3 | |
| • Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30 | 3 | 7 | This assumes 4 lane expansion? |
| • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32 | 1 | 9 | |

| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
|-----------|--|-----|----|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 | 1 | 9 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 | | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 | | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 | | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 | 0 | 10 | |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 7 | 3 | 26 TDM was a priority, I believe the possibility that we could change the world for the better if we change our Trans. Behavior |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 10 | 0 | much more than I predict they will |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) address the problem between Page 12 | 8 | 2 | I defer to Vera's concerns. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23 | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 | 5 | 5 | I just think we need more info. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 | 3 | 7 | This looks like a lot of detrimental Community input |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 | 6 | 4 | Don't know |
| 8. | Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12 | 2 | 8 | |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

- 10

Land use policy changes
- 10

West Arterial
- 5

Commuter Rail
- 10

Express bus
- 10

Light rail
- 5

Three lanes
- 0

Four lanes
- 5

River crossing
- 5

Spot improvements
- 40

Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process: I thought Vera's question about a discussion about Vancouver's 3 lane plan and how it impacts Portland is interesting. Its like a husband deciding to take Viagra without discussing it with his wife.

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | | YES | NO | Comments |
|----------|---|-----|----|-------------|
| 1A. | Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15 | 3 | 7 | Trucks only |
| 1B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 6 | 4 | commuters? |
| 2A. | Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16 | 1 | 9 | |
| 2B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 9 | 1 | |
| 3. | What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19 | YES | NO | |
| | • Express Bus | 8 | 2 | |
| | • Light Rail | 4 | 6 | |
| 4. | What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26 | YES | NO | |
| | • 3 Lanes | 10 | 0 | |
| | • Add a 4 th Lane | 0 | 10 | |
| 5A. | Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 5 | 5 | |
| 5B. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for <u>express bus</u> , HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27 | 7 | 3 | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for <u>LRT</u> , HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28 | 2 | 8 | |
| | • New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29 | 4 | 6 | |
| | • Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30 | 1 | 9 | |
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32 | 1 | 9 | |

| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
|-----------|--|-----|----|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 | 1 | 9 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 | 0 | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 | 0 | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 | 0 | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 | 5 | 5 | |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 0 | 10 | |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 7 | 3 | |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | Widen I-5 to 4 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23 | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 | 3 | 7 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 | 0 | 10 | |
| 8. | Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12 | 5 | 5 | |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

| | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| <u>10</u> | Land use policy changes | <u>20</u> | Three lanes |
| <u> </u> | West Arterial | <u>10</u> | Four lanes |
| <u> </u> | Commuter Rail | <u>10</u> | River crossing ✓ |
| <u>10</u> | Express bus ✓ | <u>30</u> | Spot improvements ✓ |
| <u>10</u> | Light rail ✓ | <u> </u> | Transportation demand management |

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

Thanks,
Very helpful

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | | YES | NO | Comments |
|----------|---|-----|----|---|
| 1A. | Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15 | 2 | 8 | |
| 1B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 6 | 4 | |
| 2A. | Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16 | 3 | 7 | Location of C-Rail - prone to be a dinner time for use by a large # of people. |
| 2B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 5 | 5 | Any plan must incorporate C-Rail - especially for future development. Light/Commuter - |
| 3. | What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19 | YES | NO | |
| | • Express Bus | 3 | 7 | Would like to see direct EXPRESS BUS SERVICE. all the way to DT. PORTLAND AREA - points along I-205 |
| | • Light Rail | 10 | 0 | |
| 4. | What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26 | YES | NO | |
| | • 3 Lanes | 3 | 7 | Reversible lanes - "Yes" - Hybrid option 4/3 has some appeal - No HOV. |
| | • Add a 4 th Lane | 9 | 1 | |
| 5A. | Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 10 | 0 | |
| 5B. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27 | 5 | 5 | Reversible yes - "HOV - NO" |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28 | 5 | 5 | |
| | • New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30 | 5 | 5 | |
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32 | 5 | 5 | |

| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
|-----------|--|-----|----|--|
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 | 5 | 5 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 | 7 | 3 | |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 0 | 10 | |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 4 | 6 | |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | • Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 | 10 | 0 | |
| | • Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 | 10 | 0 | |
| | • Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23 | 9 | 1 | |
| | • Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 | 7 | 3 | |
| | • Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 | 7 | 3 | |
| | • Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 | 2 | 8 | |
| 8. | Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12 | 3 | 7 | |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole**. (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

5 Land use policy changes

 West Arterial

 Commuter Rail

10 Express bus

25 Light rail

15 Three lanes

15 Four lanes

15 River crossing

10 Spot improvements

5 Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | | YES | NO | Comments |
|----------|--|-----|----|---|
| 1A. | Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i> | | 10 | |
| 1B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 5 | 5 | Add LRT from Expo to Vancouver |
| 2A. | Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i> | | 10 | |
| 2B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | | 10 | |
| 3. | What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i> | YES | NO | |
| | • Express Bus | | 10 | |
| | • Light Rail | 10 | | |
| 4. | What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i> | YES | NO | |
| | • 3 Lanes | 10 | | |
| | • Add a 4 th Lane | 1 | 9 | Maybe a 4 th Auxiliary Lane in a few places |
| 5A. | Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 10 | 0 | |
| 5B. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i> | | 10 | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i> | 9 | 1 | Consider moving SB freeway lanes to new structure and old SB bridge for HOV |
| | • New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i> | 4 | 6 | If no agreement on a road bridge. |
| | • Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i> | | 10 | |
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i> | | 10 | |

0
A

16

like Xsection 2-pg. 33

| | | | | |
|-----------|--|-----|----|--|
| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 | | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 | | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 | | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 | | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 | 6 | 4 | |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | | 10 | |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 10 | | |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 <i>address the problem</i> | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23 | 7 | 3 | <i>Yes but look for a more modest design</i> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 | 0 | 10 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 | 4 | 6 | <i>a few key locations, not throughout</i> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 | 7 | 3 | |
| 8. | Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12 | 2 | 8 | |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole**. (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

- 20

Land use policy changes

10

West Arterial

0

Commuter Rail

0

Express bus

20

Light rail
- 20

Three lanes

0

Four lanes

10

River crossing

10

Spot improvements

10

Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

this worked better than expected.

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | | YES | NO | Comments |
|----------|--|-----|----|--|
| 1A. | Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i> | 0 | 10 | |
| 1B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 6 | 4 | |
| 2A. | Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i> | 0 | 10 | |
| 2B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 2 | 8 | |
| 3. | What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i> | YES | NO | |
| | • Express Bus | 0 | 10 | |
| | • Light Rail | 10 | 0 | |
| 4. | What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i> | YES | NO | |
| | • 3 Lanes | 10 | 0 | W/ 4 th LANE ADD/DROP WHERE NEEDED. |
| | • Add a 4 th Lane | 0 | 10 | |
| 5A. | Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 10 | 0 | |
| 5B. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i> | 1 | 9 | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i> | 8 | 2 | |
| | • New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i> | 6 | 4 | |
| | • Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i> | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i> | 0 | 10 | |

| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
|-----------|--|-----|----|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 | 4 | 6 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 | 0 | 10 | 2 LANES GP THROUGH, 1 LANE HOV THROUGH, 1 ADD DROP (SR-14 TO HAYDEN), 1 LRT, EA. DIRECTION |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 | 6 | 4 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 | 10 | 0 | SEE ABOVE (NOT FOUR THROUGH LANES) |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 | 8 | 2 | |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 0 | 10 | |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 10 | 0 | |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23 | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 | 0 | 10 | NEW BRIDGE |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 | 10 | 0 | W/ NEW BRIDGE |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 | 1 | 9 | NOT NEEDED IN PLANNING HORIZON |
| 8. | Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12 | 0 | 10 | |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

| | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| <u>5</u> | Land use policy changes | <u>20</u> | Three lanes |
| <u>3</u> | West Arterial | <u>0</u> | Four lanes |
| <u>0</u> | Commuter Rail | <u>0</u> | River crossing |
| <u>2</u> | Express bus | <u>15</u> | Spot improvements |
| <u>50</u> | Light rail | <u>5</u> | Transportation demand management |

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | YES | NO | Comments |
|--|-----|----|--|
| 1A. Will a West Arterial Road , <u>by itself</u> , satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15 | 0 | 10 | |
| 1B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 5 | 5 | |
| 2A. Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16 | 0 | 10 | |
| 2B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 3 | 7 | |
| 3. What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19 | YES | NO | |
| • Express Bus | 6 | 4 | |
| • Light Rail | 4 | 6 | |
| 4. What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26 | YES | NO | |
| • 3 Lanes | 7 | 3 | 3 for now but don't forget the 40-yr aspect. |
| • Add a 4 th Lane | 5 | 5 | if a 4th could be considered way into the future but right of way reserved for now. |
| 5A. Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 10 | 0 | → if needed |
| 5B. If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27 | 6 | 4 | [whichever pencils out as best in capacity help and low cost.] |
| • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28 | 6 | 4 | |
| • New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29 | 3 | 7 | yes. Only if the data comes out that capacity is truly improved by <u>only</u> LRT. |
| • Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30 | 2 | 8 | Do like the concept of upriver crossing and leaving Hayden access alone but it seems like a huge cost. |
| • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32 | 2 | 8 | same as above. But if in 40 years the current I-5 bridge needs to be replaced, this idea could be the best. |

| | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----|----|--|
| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 33</i> | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge <i>Page 34</i> | 1 | 9 | [If in the 40 year picture I-5 bridge needs to be replaced then this may be the way to go especially w/ LRT.] |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 36</i> | 1 | 9 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 37</i> | 1 | 9 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept <i>Page 38</i> | 3 | 7 | If this costs equal to bridges and is safe and if better environmentally, then this may be good supplemental crossing. |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 4 | 6 | |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 10 | 0 | |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | <div>Address the problem</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) <i>Page 12</i> | 7 | 3 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard <i>Page 11</i> | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. <i>Page 23</i> | 5 | 5 | Costs may be much higher than benefits to neighborhood. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) <i>Page 24</i> | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 <i>Page 25</i> | 5 | 5 | yes, If safety data shows this is highly needed. Concerned about loss of homes in 4th Plain area |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County <i>Page 26</i> | 2 | 8 | yes Only when the future shows there is a true need into Clark Co. |
| 8. | Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 8-12</i> | 0 | 10 | |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

| | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| <u>10</u> | Land use policy changes | <u>13</u> | Three lanes |
| <u>3</u> | West Arterial | <u>5</u> | Four lanes |
| <u>2</u> | Commuter Rail | <u>20</u> | River crossing |
| <u>20</u> | Express bus | <u>10</u> | Spot improvements |
| <u>10</u> | Light rail | <u>7</u> | Transportation demand management |

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | | YES | NO | Comments |
|----------|--|-----|----|---|
| 1A. | Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i> | 0 | 10 | |
| 1B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 7 | 3 | |
| 2A. | Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i> | | 10 | |
| 2B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 6 | 4 | |
| 3. | What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i> | YES | NO | |
| | • Express Bus | 4 | 6 | |
| | • Light Rail | 7 | 3 | |
| 4. | What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i> | YES | NO | |
| | • 3 Lanes | 9 | 1 | |
| | • Add a 4 th Lane | 8 | 2 | Prefer adding 4 th lane from Columbia Blvd north only. |
| 5A. | Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 10 | 0 | |
| 5B. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i> | 8 | 2 | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i> | 7 | 3 | |
| | • New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i> | 3 | 7 | |
| | • Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i> | 6 | 4 | |
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i> | 5 | 5 | |

| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
|-----------|--|-----|----|--|
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 | 9 | 1 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 | 3 | 7 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 | 6 | 4 | |
| | • New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 | 8 | 2 | |
| | • New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 | 1 | 9 | |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 0 | 10 | |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 10 | 0 | |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | Address the problem: Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 | 10 | 0 | |
| | • Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 | 8 | 2 | |
| | • Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23 | 10 | 0 | |
| | • Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 | 8 | 2 | |
| | • Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 | 9 | 1 | |
| 8. | Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12 | 0 | 10 | |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <u>10</u> Land use policy changes | <u>15</u> Three lanes |
| <u>10</u> West Arterial | <u>0</u> Four lanes |
| <u>0</u> Commuter Rail | <u>20</u> River crossing |
| <u>10</u> Express bus | <u>20</u> Spot improvements |
| <u>20</u> Light rail | <u>5</u> Transportation demand management |

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

| Question | | YES | NO | Comments |
|----------|---|-----|----|--|
| 1A. | Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15 | 2 | 8 | THIS IS NOT THE BEST ALIGNMENT FOR THIS IDEA. A LOT OF EXPENSE FOR A SMALLER PURPOSE ROAD. |
| 1B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 3 | 7 | THIS IDEA IS MORE INTERESTING IF MIXED WITH NEW RAILROAD BRIDGES. |
| 2A. | Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16 | 5 | 5 | THIS IDEA COULD WORK IF MAJOR INVESTMENT INTO RAIL NETWORK. BUT IT HELPS COMMUTERS ONLY AND NOT FREIGHT. |
| 2B. | If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? | 6 | 4 | WOULD BE NICE TO COMBINE COMMUTER RAIL AND HIGH SPEED RAIL ALIGNMENT- BETTER INVESTMENT AND OTHER FREIGHT RAIL IMPROVEMENTS. |
| 3. | What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19 | YES | NO | |
| | • Express Bus | 5 | 5 | COULD BE USED AS A PRELUDE TO EXPANDING LIGHT RAIL. |
| | • Light Rail | 10 | 0 | BUILDING THE LIGHT NETWORK IS ESSENTIAL TO A BALANCED SYSTEM AND LAND USE CHOICES |
| 4. | What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26 | YES | NO | |
| | • 3 Lanes | 10 | 0 | Make the System 3-Lane -Each Way. |
| | • Add a 4 th Lane | 7 | 3 | Make it 4-lane from Columbia Blvd to SE 500. |
| 5A. | Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 10 | 0 | |
| 5B. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27 | 1 | 9 | CONFUSING TO MIX HOV THROUGH TRAVEL WITH LOCAL ACCESS |
| | • New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28 | 7 | 3 | WOULD LIKE IT BETTER IF JUST LOCAL + LRT |
| | • New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29 | 9 | 1 | MINIMUM NEW BRIDGE REQUIRED |
| | • Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30 | 0 | 10 | |
| | • New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32 | 8 | 5 | |

| | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----|----|--|
| 5B. cont. | If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 33</i> | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge <i>Page 34</i> | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 36</i> | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 37</i> | 5 | 5 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept <i>Page 38</i> | 5 | 5 | |
| 6A. | Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | 0 | 10 | |
| 6B. | If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements? | 10 | 0 | IMPORTANT TO DO THE LAND USE INCENTIVES TO MAKE SURE PEOPLE HAVE OPTIONS TO LIVE CONVENIENTLY TO WORK. |
| 7A. | Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? | YES | NO | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) <i>Page 12</i> ADDRESS THIS AREA | 10 | 0 | THIS SECTION SHOULD BE STUDIED AS PART OF THE DECISION WHETHER TO MOVE EAST BANK FREEWAY |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard <i>Page 11</i> | 10 | 0 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. <i>Page 23</i> | 10 | 0 | COULDN'T THERE BE A SIMPLER WAY TO DO THESE RAMPS? |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) <i>Page 24</i> | 1 | 9 | THIS RAMP DESIGN SEEMS ACKWARD. EXPENSIVE WAY TO SOLVE A SMALL PART OF THE PROBLEM |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 <i>Page 25</i> | 5 | 5 | IS THE DESIRE TO NOT HAVE WEAVES SO IMPORTANT THAT WE NEED IMPACT NEIGHBORHOOD? |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County <i>Page 26</i> | 5 | 5 | |
| 8. | Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 8-12</i> | 0 | 10 | |

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

- 15

Land use policy changes
- 20

Three lanes
- West Arterial
- Four lanes
- 5

Commuter Rail
- 10

River crossing
- Express bus
- 10

Spot improvements
- 30

Light rail
- 10

Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process: