

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15	2	8	#8 trucks that would use - cost impact -
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	2	8	
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16	0	10	
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	0	10	
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19	YES	NO	
	• Express Bus	4	6	
	• Light Rail	10	0	
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26	YES	NO	
	• 3 Lanes	3	7	
	• Add a 4 th Lane	0	10	
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	10	0	
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27	0	10	not a bus light rail
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28	5	5	
	• New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29	10	0	
	• Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30	0	10	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32	2	8	

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33	2	8	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38	4	6	
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	6	4	
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	7	3	
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? address the problem	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 5 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11	8	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23	8	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26	7	3	
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12	0	10	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole. (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

<u>20</u> Land use policy changes	<u> </u> Three lanes
<u> </u> West Arterial	<u> </u> Four lanes
<u> </u> Commuter Rail	<u>20</u> River crossing
<u>10</u> Express bus	<u>10</u> Spot improvements
<u>25</u> Light rail	<u>15</u> Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

Question	YES	NO	Comments
1A. Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15	10	0	WILL DIVERT SOME TRAFFIC OVER I-5 and HAVE LIMITED BENEFIT
1B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	2	8	WILL GENERATE EXCESSIVE TRAFFIC ON MILL PLANE / 4th PLANE w/NO MITIGATION - 4th PLANE NOT ADEQUATE TO SUPPLY THIS OPTION
2A. Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16	0	10	THIS SOLUTION WOULD ENCOURAGE INTERSTATE COMMUTING AND MAY FURTHER EXACERBATE CURRENT PROBLEMS
2B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	0	10	THIS SOLUTION WOULD NOT DIVERT SIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC AND WOULD NOT BENEFIT OTHER OPTIONS.
3. What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19	YES	NO	
• Express Bus	5	5	WOULD HELP BUT NOT SIGNIFICANT REDUCE TRAFFIC ON I-5
• Light Rail	9	1	important element but must be accompanied by traffic accom
4. What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26	YES	NO	
• 3 Lanes	8	2	needed
• Add a 4 th Lane	4	6	expensive - Bandaid on a massive wound
5A. Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?			
5B. If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27	7	3	would address issues - not best solution
• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28	7	3	" "
• New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29	7	3	By itself a partial solution at best
• Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30	8	2	cost effective, will address most issues
• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32	4	6	Too expensive - 1 Bridge Better

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33	5	5	Too many variables to make choice
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34	1	9	Need to do more to encourage LRT & HOV options
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36	1	9	Too expensive - why not incorporate LRT into new bridge
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37	10	0	WOULD BE FANTASTIC, BUT I THINK INFEASIBLE Q? SHUT DOWN I-5 DURING CONSTRUCTION
	• New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38	0	10	
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	7	3	We can use land use to significantly mitigate, but not resolve all issues.
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	10	0	IF we do not consider land use, our decision will drive land use,
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 <i>the issue</i>	6	4	seems needed, but not sure will clear Backup NORTH OF THIS POINT
	• Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11	10	0	ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY UNDER ANY CONDITIONS - THIS STRETCH METERS TRAFFIC
	• Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23	5	5	
	• Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24	1	3	But only as an alternative
	• Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25	9	1	BUT I AM CONCERNED about taking home sales
	• Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26	10	0	
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12	0	10	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

☐ Land use policy changes
☐ West Arterial
☐ Commuter Rail
☐ Express bus
☒ Light rail

☐ Three lanes
☒ Four lanes
☒ River crossing
☒ Spot improvements
☒ Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate among the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15	2	8	
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	3	7	only if NOT going to Hwy # 30
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16	1	9	
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	6	4	
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19	YES	NO	
	• Express Bus	6	4	
	• Light Rail	9	1	
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26	YES	NO	
	• 3 Lanes	9	1	Assuming this includes a 4th lane for entry/exit similar to I-84, #217
	• Add a 4 th Lane	1	9	
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	10	0	
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27	2	8	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28	10	0	
	• New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29	3	7	
	• Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30	0	10	If current I-5 Bridge intended for use for LRT, then construction of new bridge, the retrofit current I-5 Bridge with LRT will take wa.
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32	4	6	Too much capacity left on current I-5 bridges. too long.

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 	6	4	Issue is how many lanes - if keep 6 on current Interstate and add 6 lanes \Rightarrow too many lanes. If shrink existing bridges to 4 lanes, and have 4 here + LRT, makes more sense.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 	3	7	Too many lanes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 	4	6	10 lanes too much with LRT ability to relieve peak traffic
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 	2	8	Too expensive, though it would be very attractive.
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	4	6	But would do more than anything else alone.
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	10	0	
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 	7	3	Yes, if can be coordinated with rest of decisions on removing/reuse of Eastside I-5
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 	10	0	1st before South at Rose Quarter
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23 	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 	8	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 	8	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 	10	0	
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12	0	10	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples - If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign "0" points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score "40, 25, 20, 10, 5" with "0" for the rest.):

<u>15</u>	Land use policy changes	<u>10</u>	Three lanes
<u>5</u>	West Arterial - to Columbia only	<u>0</u>	Four lanes
<u>5</u>	Commuter Rail	<u>20</u>	River crossing
<u>10</u>	Express bus	<u>5</u>	Spot improvements
<u>20</u>	Light rail	<u>10</u>	Transportation demand management

These go together (arrow pointing from Light rail to River crossing)

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

Question	YES	NO	Comments
1A. Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15	0	10	Adding new road capacity is not a long-term solution. Massive environmental impacts.
1B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	0	10	I have not seen, and do not expect to see, data that indicates this would significantly improve traffic in the corridor.
2A. Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16	3	7	See below ↓ *LRT in Clark would better achieve ^{transportation goals} land use w *Duplicative of Interstate LRT.
2B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements? Feeder buses from P&R to rail is a barrier to ridership → too many steps	1	9	I don't see how Commuter Rail would greatly help traffic, given geography, location of existing rail lines, and location of population in area.
3. What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19	YES	NO	
• Express Bus	5	5	This will help move people while reducing traffic. But there's a better solution → LRT. (See below)
• Light Rail	9	1	LRT provides more advantages than Express Bus, due to land use opportunities (TOD, mixed use, etc) which don't occur with Express Bus (LRT is more permanent)
4. What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26	YES	NO	
• 3 Lanes	5	5	Unsure → some places still have only 2 lanes. I'm not sure making those places 3 lanes won't compromise too many things (see below)
• Add a 4 th Lane	0	10	Compromises quality of life, environment. This is too important. Must find other ways to support mobility, economy.
5A. Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	10	0	Replacement, <u>not</u> additional/supplemental. Supplemental is ok only if best way to accommodate LRT.
5B. If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27	1	9	Don't like Hayden Island access change → too much new road. Don't like new GP lanes. New road capacity is not likely needed. Through
• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28	1	9	"
• New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29	8	2	OK, if this is best way to accommodate LRT.
• Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30	2	8	Large impact to Hayden Island.
• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32	0	10	Why 3 bridges? Excess! Too many lanes → wow!

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33 	5	5	Difficult/costly/time-intensive to retrofit existing bridge
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34 	5	5	Seems ^{2nd} most efficient bridge configuration - one bridge, but need to add LRT. Fixed span is less disruptive to traffic.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36 	4	6	If building a new bridge, why build 2 instead of combining into 1 bridge?
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37 	8	2	Least impact to Hayden Island, of all bridge options. Probably cheaper than building 2 new bridges?
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38 	2	8	I don't know that this is really necessary. What is advantage to a tunnel vs. a bridge, if existing bridge will still be in use?
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	7	3	These can play a big part of solution. We don't have enough data to say yet how much a part of the solution this should be
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	10	0	This is best combined with LRT or Express Bus.
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12 	5	5	Don't like fwy expansion shown on map. Change in language of Question to LRT makes it more palatable. But, what are ways to address?
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11 	3	7	Just moves the bottleneck → doesn't address root of the problem.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23 	4	6	Seems complicated. I don't understand what net benefit this creates.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24 	4	6	Don't have enough info. Seems awfully complicated → is positive impact greater than negative impact?
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25 	3	7	Ramp lanes are scary. Neighborhood impacts, more pavement, etc....
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26 	10	0	HOV works!
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12	2	8	The Statement concludes that we have a problem, so doing nothing is insufficient.

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

<u>20</u>	Land use policy changes	<u>2</u>	Three lanes
<u>0</u>	West Arterial	<u>0</u>	Four lanes
<u>0</u>	Commuter Rail	<u>10</u>	River crossing
<u>5</u>	Express bus	<u>3</u>	Spot improvements
<u>50</u>	Light rail	<u>10</u>	Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15	4	6	NO- WILL HELP FREIGHT MOVEMENT
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	3	7	YES-
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16	3	7	NO- however could help
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	7	3	Dont' believe commuter rail is an option for this corridor, however could help
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Express Bus	5	5	IN THE INTERIM- THIS MIGHT HELP, BUT NOT IN LONG TERM
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Light Rail	10	0	IN THE LONG TERM, THIS WOULD BE THE BEST OPTION, BUT WILL NEED GOOD FEEDER SERVICE
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">3 Lanes	8	2	YES WOULD HELP TO IMPROVE V & C DIRECT QUEING ISSUES & COULD PROVIDE CARPOOLS VANPOOLS
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add a 4th Lane	2	8	WOULD CREATE TOO MANY ISSUES WITH NEIGHBORHOODS, HOWEVER HELP SOME OF THE FREIGHT ISSUES
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	8	2	
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27	6	4	COULD IMPROVE FREIGHT MOVEMENT
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28	7	3	" " "
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29	8	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30	2	8	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32	2	8	

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 33</i>	8	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge <i>Page 34</i>	2	8	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 36</i>	2	8	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 37</i>	2	8	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept <i>Page 38</i>	0	10	Do NOT support
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	3	7	A COMBINATION OF ACTIONS WILL BE NEEDED
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	7	3	YES - WILL NEED TO BE INCLUDED WITH OTHER IMP.
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	ADDRESS THE PROBLEM <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) <i>Page 12</i>	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard <i>Page 11</i>	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. <i>Page 23</i>	7	3	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) <i>Page 24</i>	3	7	LOOKS LIKE AN EXPENSIVE APPROACH
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 <i>Page 25</i>	7	3	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County <i>Page 26</i>	4	6	Bridge (new) would be rather prohibitive.
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 8-12</i>	4	6	NO - ALTHOUGH WILL HELP

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

<u>15</u>	Land use policy changes	<u>10</u>	Three lanes
<u>5</u>	West Arterial	<u>5</u>	Four lanes
<u>5</u>	Commuter Rail	<u>10</u>	River crossing
<u>5</u>	Express bus	<u>15</u>	Spot improvements
<u>20</u>	Light rail	<u>10</u>	Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

alot of work has gone into tonight's effort. Good job. There was some good discussions by the committee which reflects the effort put into the evening.

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i>		10	
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	10		
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i>		5	
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	5		
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i>	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Express Bus	5		I do not see these two options as exclusive. Express Bus is a prelim. to light rail. Infrastructure, economic development, feeder bus, etc. for Express Bus should support future light rail.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Light Rail	5		
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i>	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">3 Lanes	8		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add a 4th Lane	2		only @ key interchanges
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	8		
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i>	5		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i>	10		incl. express bus
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i>	5		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i>		10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i>		10	

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33		10	Need to understand seismic issue!
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34		10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36		10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37		10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38		10	
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	5		
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?			
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12	10		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11	10		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23	7		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24	7		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25	7		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26	7		I need to understand why HOV will not work across existing I 5 Bridge
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12		10	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

10	Land use policy changes	20	Three lanes
	West Arterial		Four lanes
	Commuter Rail	10	River crossing
30	Express bus	20	Spot improvements
	Light rail	10	Transportation demand management

This should/could be one option

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

What can be done to improve accident response?
① What, specifically, must be done to bring current bridge up to current seismic requirements?
② We must have some evaluation of expected damage during 'significant' seismic event.

JEFF BEVERLY

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

This is an **ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING** survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. **EXAMPLES:** If your initial impression is that "YES" is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as "YES: 10 and NO: 0." If you are uncertain, you might note "YES: 5 NO: 5." If you favor YES, but it's a close call, you might note "YES 6 and NO 4."

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i>	0	10	
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	2	8	
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i>	0	10	
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	7	3	
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i>	YES	NO	
	• Express Bus	6	4	
	• Light Rail	10	0	
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i>	YES	NO	
	• 3 Lanes	10	0	
	• Add a 4 th Lane	2	8	
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	10	0	
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i>	6	4	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i>	10	0	
	• New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i>	0	10	
	• Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i>	0	10	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i>	3	7	

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 33</i>	6	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge <i>Page 34</i>	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 36</i>	6	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 37</i>	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept <i>Page 38</i>	0	10	
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	0	10	
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	10	0	
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) <i>Page 12</i>	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard <i>Page 11</i>	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. <i>Page 23</i>	7	3	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) <i>Page 24</i>	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 <i>Page 25</i>	7	3	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County <i>Page 26</i>	10	0	
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 8-12</i>	0	10	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

- 10

Land use policy changes
- 0

West Arterial
- 0

Commuter Rail
- 0

Express bus
- 20

Light rail
- 20

Three lanes
- 0

Four lanes
- 35

River crossing
- 5

Spot improvements
- 10

Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

2

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY

9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

Question	YES	NO	Comments
1A. Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 13-15	-0	10	Does - little to nothing to deal with bottlenecks on the corridor
1B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	6	4	- TO EXTENT THAT PORT TO PORT FREIGHT MOBILITY REDUCES TRAFFIC THROUGH DOWNTOWN VANCOUVER - THIS IS SAFER AND IMPROVES I-5 MOBILITY
2A. Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Page 16	-0	10	VERY UNLIKELY TO SOLVE FREIGHT - OR COMMUTER CONGESTION IN CORRIDOR - DETRACTS FROM LRT INVESTMENT + SUCCESS
2B. If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	0	10	- DETRACTS FROM FOCUS OF BETTER OPTIONS
3. What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 17-19	YES	NO	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Express Bus 	0	10	- SHORTER TERM OPTION - -
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Light Rail 	10	0	- BEST OPTION FOR LONG RANGE PLAN. BUILDS ON SUCCESSFUL PORTLAND SYSTEM.
4. What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 20-26	YES	NO	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 Lanes 	10	0	ADDING THIS ROAD CAPACITY AND ELIMINATING BOTTLENECKS IS KEY TO IMPROVE MOBILITY
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add a 4th Lane 	5	5	LONG TERM ROAD CAPACITY IS IMPORTANT, BUT IMPACTS MAY BE UNACCEPTABLE
5A. Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	10	0	ABSOLUTELY. THE CORO CRITICAL NEED.
5B. If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 27 	0	10	ACCOMMODATING EXPRESS ONLY NOT BEST OPTION.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access Page 28 	5	5	- LIKE LRT CAPACITY, BUT DOESN'T INCREASE ROAD CAPACITY FOR 40 YRS!
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New supplemental bridge for LRT only Page 29 	0	10	DOES NOT INCREASE FLOWWAY CAPACITY FOR FREIGHT. NOT A GOOD 40 YR. OPTION.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supplemental 6-lane bridge Page 30 	0	10	- DOESN'T HANDLE 4000 LRT OPTION.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 32 	7	3	- ACCOMMODATES L.R.T + ADDS CAPACITY - - BETTER 40 YR. OPTION

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33	8	2	CONSOLIDATING ON TO ONE STRUCTURE SEEMS TO BE BETTER THAN TWO
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34	0	10	TOO MUCH STRUCTURE WITH NO L.R.T.
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36	0	10	TOO MUCH STRUCTURE --
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37	8	2	CONSOLIDATING ON TO ONE STRUCTURE SEEMS MORE EFFICIENT
	• New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38	5	5	REMAIN UNCERTAIN AS TO FEASIBILITY
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM, alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	0	10	SIMPLY DOES NOT SOLVE THE PRIMARY INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	7	3	SEVERAL TOOLS WILL ENHANCE SUCCESS OF OTHER OPTIONS, EG. TOLLS PAY FOR BRIDGE
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12	10	0	MAJOR BOTTLENECK -- SOLVING THE REST OF CORRIDOR PROBLEM - WITHOUT THIS ONLY MAKES THIS AREA WORSE
	• Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11	10	0	ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT BOTTLENECKS THAT MUST BE SOLVED
	• Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23	7	3	SEEMS IMPORTANT FROIGHT MOBILITY OPTIM
	• Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24	5	5	DON'T SEEM CRITICAL TO SOLUTION
	• Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25	10	0	HELPS REDUCE CONGESTION TOWARD BRIDGE + SUPPORTS DOWNTOWN REVITALIZATION
	• Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26	5	5	REMAIN UNCONVINCED REGARDING EFFECTIVENESS OF HOV
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12	0	10	40 YEAR FRAMEWORK NECESSITATES MOVING WELL BEYOND WHAT IS IN BASELINE --

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole. (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

Land use policy changes	20	Three lanes	—
West Arterial	—	Four lanes	—
Commuter Rail	50	River crossing	—
Express bus	5	Spot improvements	—
20 Light rail	—	5 Transportation demand management	—

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i>	0	10	
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	5	5	
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i>	0	10	
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	0	10	
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i>	YES	NO	
	• Express Bus	6	4	
	• Light Rail	8	4	
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i>	YES	NO	
	• 3 Lanes	2	8	
	• Add a 4 th Lane	8	2	
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	10	0	
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i>	7	3	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i>	9	1	
	• New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i>	0	10	
	• Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i>	0	10	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i>	2	8	

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 33</i>	3	7	
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge <i>Page 34</i>	0	10	
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 36</i>	0	10	
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 37</i>	0	10	
	• New supplemental tunnel concept <i>Page 38</i>	10	0	
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	0	10	
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	2	8	
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) <i>Page 12</i>	10	0	
	• Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard <i>Page 11</i>	10	0	
	• Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. <i>Page 23</i>	7	3	
	• Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) <i>Page 24</i>	5	5	
	• Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 <i>Page 25</i>	10	0	
	• Northbound HOV in Clark County <i>Page 26</i>	8	2	
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 8-12</i>	0	10	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

_____ Land use policy changes	_____ Three lanes
_____ West Arterial	<u>20</u> Four lanes
_____ Commuter Rail	<u>35</u> River crossing
<u>8</u> Express bus	<u>15</u> Spot improvements
<u>12</u> Light rail	<u>5</u> Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

I would very much like to see reversible lanes used for peak periods on the river crossing.

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i>	2	8	
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	5	5	
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i>		10	
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	3	7	
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i>	YES	NO	
	• Express Bus	6	4	
	• Light Rail	9	1	
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i>	YES	NO	
	• 3 Lanes	9	1	
	• Add a 4 th Lane	8	2	
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	10	0	
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i>	7	3	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i>	8	2	
	• New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i>		10	
	• Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i>	7	3	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i>		10	

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 33</i>	8	2	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge <i>Page 34</i>	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 36</i>	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 37</i>	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept <i>Page 38</i>	4	6	
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	0	10	
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	10	0	
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
ADDRESS PROBLEM	Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) <i>Page 12</i>	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard <i>Page 11</i>	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. <i>Page 23</i>	10	0	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) <i>Page 24</i>	2	8	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 <i>Page 25</i>	6	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County <i>Page 26</i>	8	2	
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 8-12</i>		10	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

<u>5</u>	Land use policy changes	<u>10</u>	Three lanes
<u>5</u>	West Arterial	<u>10</u>	Four lanes
<u>0</u>	Commuter Rail	<u>10</u>	River crossing
<u>10</u>	Express bus	<u>15</u>	Spot improvements
<u>20</u>	Light rail	<u>15</u>	Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i>	10	10	
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	6	10	
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i>	6	10	
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	6	10	
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i>	YES	NO	
	• Express Bus	8	2	
	• Light Rail	10	0	
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i>	YES	NO	
	• 3 Lanes	7	6	
	• Add a 4 th Lane	10	0	Suggest 4 LN So. to Columbia then 3 LN South -
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	10	0	
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i>	10	10	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i>	0	10	
	• New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i>	10	10	
	• Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i>	0	10	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i>	0	10	



Electe³
Vancouver

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES 10	NO 0	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT Page 33	0	10	
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge Page 34	0	10	
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge Page 36	0	10	
	• New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT Page 37	10	0	
	• New supplemental tunnel concept Page 38	10	0	
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? 10	0	10	
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	7	3	
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) Page 12	10	0	
	• Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard Page 11	10	0	
	• Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. Page 23	10	0	
	• Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) Page 24	4	6	
	• Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 Page 25	8	2	
	• Northbound HOV in Clark County Page 26	8	2	
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? Pages 8-12	0	10	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

<u>0</u>	Land use policy changes	<u>5</u>	Three lanes ✓
<u>0</u>	West Arterial	<u>25</u>	Four lanes ✓
<u>0</u>	Commuter Rail	<u>35</u>	River crossing ✓
<u>0</u>	Express bus ✓	<u>10</u>	Spot improvements ✓
<u>25</u>	Light rail ✓	<u>0</u>	Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process:

INITIAL IMPRESSIONS SURVEY
9/25/01

This is an ANONYMOUS, NON-BINDING survey designed to get your initial reactions to the specific design elements in the option packages and some of the other issues facing the Task Force. The results will be used to focus subsequent discussions, present the evaluation factors in a concise manner, and track our progress toward consensus. Thank you.

For each Decision Tree question, you have 10 points to allocate between the choices. EXAMPLES: If your initial impression is that “YES” is the clear answer - no doubt about it - you might note your impression as “YES: 10 and NO: 0.” If you are uncertain, you might note “YES: 5 NO: 5.” If you favor YES, but it’s a close call, you might note “YES 6 and NO 4.”

Decision Tree Questions

Question		YES	NO	Comments
1A.	Will a West Arterial Road , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 13-15</i>	4	6	
1B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	10	0	- this arterial has the possibility of relieving neighborhood traffic impacts w/o req widening of I-5. Assuming new car and/or transit.
2A.	Will Commuter Rail , by itself, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Page 16</i>	0	10	- not clear why we would pursue - planning for future transit options Why won't
2B.	If NO, should it be considered in combination with other improvements?	4	6	- transit options (LRT & Ex Bus) address issues - seems to encourage urban sprawl
3.	What type of overall transit improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 17-19</i>	YES	NO	
	• Express Bus	4	6	
	• Light Rail	6	4	
4.	What type of overall road improvements best satisfies the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 20-26</i>	YES	NO	
	• 3 Lanes	10	0	
	• Add a 4 th Lane	0	10	
5A.	Will we need a new river crossing to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	4	6	
5B.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for express bus, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 27</i>	5	5	
	• New 4-lane supplemental bridge for LRT, HOV, and Hayden Island Access <i>Page 28</i>	5	5	
	• New supplemental bridge for LRT only <i>Page 29</i>	5	5	
	• Supplemental 6-lane bridge <i>Page 30</i>	0	10	
	• New supplemental 6-lane bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 32</i>	4	6	

5B. cont.	If yes, what type(s) of river crossing best satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental 6-lane bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 33</i>	5	5	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge <i>Page 34</i>	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with separate LRT bridge <i>Page 36</i>	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New 10-lane replacement bridge with joint-use LRT <i>Page 37</i>	0	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">New supplemental tunnel concept <i>Page 38</i>	0	10	
6A.	Will policy actions such as land use, taxation and TDM , alone, satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	4	6	
6B.	If NO, should they be considered in combination with other improvements?	10	0	
7A.	Which of the problem spot improvements do we need to satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement?	YES	NO	
	<i>address problem</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between the Fremont Bridge and I-84 (Rose Quarter Area) <i>Page 12</i>	6	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Widen I-5 to 3 lanes between Delta Park and Lombard <i>Page 11</i>	6	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Add on and off ramps at Columbia Blvd. <i>Page 23</i>	6	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Relocate Hayden Island Interchange to Marine Dr. Interchange (valid only if no new river crossing is selected) <i>Page 24</i>	6	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify I-5 interchanges in Vancouver between SR 14 and SR 500 <i>Page 25</i>	6	4	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Northbound HOV in Clark County <i>Page 26</i>	10	0	
8.	Will doing nothing more than baseline satisfy the Problem, Vision and Values Statement? <i>Pages 8-12</i>	4	6	

9. Assuming the Final Strategic Plan will include some or all of the following, allocate 100 points between the listed strategies to indicate your initial impression as to the **general composition of the overall approach, and the relative contribution of individual elements to the whole.** (Examples – If you believe all will contribute equally give each 10 points. If you believe one or more elements will not be part of the solution, assign “0” points. If you believe the plan should include 5 elements contributing a different value, you might score “40, 25, 20, 10, 5” with “0” for the rest.):

<u>10</u>	Land use policy changes	<u>10</u>	Three lanes
<u>20</u>	West Arterial	<u>0</u>	Four lanes
<u>0</u>	Commuter Rail	<u>20</u>	River crossing
<u>15</u>	Express bus	<u>10</u>	Spot improvements
<u>15</u>	Light rail	<u>10</u>	Transportation demand management

10. Additional comments on your impressions and this process: