# Evaluation Matrix: What Have We Learned?

Portland / Va	ncouver									_				_											
	Transportation and Trade Partnership	Objective	Evaluation Factor No. 1.1 Improve Travel Times on I-5 (Mainline Performance)						Evaluation Factor No. 1.1 Improve Travel Times to I-5 (Arterial Performance)	Factor No. 1.2 duce Congestion		Evaluation Factor No. 1.3 Promote Transportation Choices													
So ANSPORT	Washington State Department of Transportation		Portland CBD to Vancouver		ancouver CBD Salmo		almon Creek to Portland CBD		Key Employment Locations to I-5 <sup>1</sup>			Study Area Vehicle Hours of Delay		Study Area				Downtown Portland to All Destinations				Downtown Vancouver to All Destinations			inations
			Transit	SOV & Truck	ноу	Transit	SOV & Truck	ноу	Travel Times for Autos & Trucks	I-5/I-205 Lane Miles Congested <sup>3</sup>	Highway/Arterial Lane Miles Congested <sup>3</sup>	All Roadways	Truck Routes	Transit	sov	ноу	Bike/Ped	Transit	sov	ноу	Bike/Ped	Transit	SOV	ноу	Bike/Ped
		Measure	Minutes	Minutes	Minutes	Minutes	Minutes	Minutes	Rating <sup>2</sup>	Percent of Total Miles	Percent of Total Miles	Total Hours	Total Hours	Percent of All Trips	Percent of All Trips	Percent of All Trips	Percent of All Trips	Percent of All Trips	Percent of All Trips	Percent of All Trips	Percent of All Trips				
		Period	PM-2 Hr.	PM-2 Hr.	PM-2 Hr.	AM-2 Hr.	AM-2 Hr.	AM-2 Hr.	AM-3 Hr./PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.
Row	Option Package																								
Α	Existing Conditions (200	0)	27.3	27.7	23.2	N/A	36.9	36.9	Moderate	24.1%	4.4%	18,141	13,390	9.3%	56.2%	32.6%	1.9%	23.5%	45.3%	25.4%	5.8%	5.6%	63.9%	28.9%	1.7%
В	No-Build (2020)	nol Driority	55.4	34.2	27.0	N/A	42.9	41.7	High	33.7%	9.8%	32,048	25,767	12.5%	52.6%	32.3%	2.6%	29.3%	35.5%	23.4%	11.8%	4.9%	61.4%	30.3%	3.4%
С	Baseline System (2020)	nai Priority	40.5	30.1	25.1	N/A	42.3	37.8	Moderate	30.4%	6.2%	21,447	17,088	18.4%	47.4%	29.9%	4.2%	37.4%	28.4%	19.2%	15.0%	6.7%	59.5%	29.4%	4.4%
D	New West Arterial		35.9	24.5	19.8	N/A	35.0	30.1	High (Vancouver)	25.2%	6.4%	17,188	13,123	18.7%	47.3%	29.9%	4.2%	38.8%	27.6%	18.7%	14.9%	7.2%	59.0%	29.5%	4.3%
Е	Express Bus: 3 Lanes w/ Supplemental 4 Lane I	Bridge	35.4	21.5	18.4	N/A	32.2	26.1	Moderate	19.8%	5.9%	16,637	12,310	18.7%	47.3%	29.9%	4.2%	38.7%	27.7%	18.8%	14.9%	7.2%	59.0%	29.4%	4.3%
F	LRT: 3 Lanes w/ Supplemental 4 Lane I	Bridge	24.6	20.6	17.7	N/A	31.2	25.7	Moderate	19.5%	6.2%	15,826	11,629	18.5%	47.4%	29.8%	4.2%	39.4%	27.2%	18.5%	14.9%	9.8%	56.9%	28.9%	4.4%
G	Express Bus: Add 4th La w/ Supplemental 6 lane B	ne (HOV) Sridae	25.3	21.3	19.6	N/A	30.0	23.4	Moderate	13.6%	4.9%	15,888	11.997	18.6%	47.3%	29.9%	4.2%	39.1%	27.5%	18.7%	14.7%	7.4%	58.9%	29.5%	4.2%
	LRT: Add 4th Lane (Reve	rsible)											,												
н	w/ Supplemental 6 Lane I	Bridge	24.6	19.2	17.0	N/A	21.1	20.9	Moderate	13.0%	5.2%	17,667	13,986	18.4%	47.5%	29.9%	4.2%	39.1%	27.5%	18.7%	14.7%	9.5%	57.3%	28.9%	4.3%
No Build	Change from 2000 % change from 2000		28.1 102.9%	6.5 23.5%	3.8 16.4%		6.0 16.3%	4.8 13.0%	5 D	0.1 39.8%	0.1 122.7%	13907.0 76.7%	12377.0 92.4%	0.0 34.4%	0.0 -6.4%	0.0 -0.9%	0.0 36.8%	0.1 24.7%	0.1- 21.6%	0.0 7.9%-	0.1 103.4%	-0.007 -12.5%	0.0 -3.9%	0.0 4.8%	0.0 100.0%
Baseline	Change from 2000		13.2	2.4	1.9		5.4	0.9	)	0.1	0.0	3306.0	3698.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.011	0.0	0.0	0.0
	% change from 2000		48.4%	8.7%	8.2%		14.6%	2.4%	0	26.1%	40.9%	18.2%	27.6%	97.8%	-15.7%	-8.3%	121.1%	59.1%	-37.3%	-24.4%	158.6%	19.6%	-6.9%	1.7%	158.8%
New Wes	t Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		-4.6 11.4%	-5.6 18.6%-	-5.3 21.1%-		7.3- 17.3%	-7.7 -20.4%	<b>7</b>	-0.1 -17.1%	0.0 3.2%	-4259.0 -19.9%	-3965.0 -23.2%	0.0 1.6%	0.0 -0.2%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 3.7%	0.0 -2.8%	0.0 -2.6%	0.0 -0.7%	0.005 7.5%	0.0 0.8%-	0.0 0.3%	0.0 -2.3%
Express	3 Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		-5.1 12.6%-	-8.6 28.6%	-6.7 26.7%-		-10.1 23.9%-	-11.7 -31.0%	7 D	-0.1 -34.9%	0.0 -4.8%	-4810.0 -22.4%	-4778.0 -28.0%	0.0 1.6%	0.0 -0.2%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 3.5%	0.0 -2.5%	0.0 -2.1%	0.0 -0.7%	0.005 7.5%	0.0 -0.8%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 -2.3%
LRT 3	Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		-15.9 -39 3%	-9.5 -31 6%	-7.4 -29 5%		-11.1 -26 2%	-12.1 -32 0%		-0.1 -35 9%	0.0 0.0%	-5621.0 -26.2%	-5459.0 -31 9%	0.0 0.5%	0.0	0.0 -0 3%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 5 3%	0.0 -4 2%	0.0 -3.6%	0.0 -0 7%	0.031 46 3%	0.0 -4 4%	0.0 -1 7%	0.0 0.0%
<b>F</b>	A Change from Deseline		-59.576	-01.070	-23.37		-20.270	-52.070		-33.370	0.0 /0	-20.2 %	-01.070	0.570	0.070	-0.57	0.0 %	5.5 %	-4.270	-0.070	-0.7 %	-0.07	-4.470	-1.770	0.078
Express	4 Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		-15.2 -37.5%	-8.8 29.2%	-5.5 21.9%		-12.3 -29.1%	-14.4 -38.1%	•	-0.2 -55.3%	-21.0%	-5559.0 -25.9%	-5091.0 -29.8%	0.0 1.1%	-0.2%	0.0%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 4.5%	0.0 -3.2%	0.0 -2.6%	0.0 -2.0%	0.007 10.4%	0.0 1.0%-	0.0 0.3%	0.0 -4.5%
LRT 4	Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		-15.9 -39.3%	-10.9 -36.2%	-8.1 -32.3%		-21.2 50.1%-	-16.9 -44.7%		-0.2 57.2%	0.0 -16.1%	-3780.0 -17.6%	-3102.0 -18.2%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 0.2%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 0.0%	0.0 4.5%	0.0 -3.2%	0.0 -2.6%	0.0 -2.0%	0.028 41.8%	0.0 -3.7%	0.0 -1.7%	0.0 -2.3%
TDM	Change from baseline		-15.9	-3.0	-2.9		-4.8	-4.5	5	-0.1	0.0	-3010.0	-2638.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.118	-0.1	0.0	0.0
_	% change from Baseline		-39.3%	-10.0%	-11.6%		-11.3%	-11.9%	D	-16.4%	-8.1%	-14.0%	-15.4%	1.6%	-0.6%	0.0%	2.4%	5.3%	-4.6%	-3.6%	0.0%	176.1%	-18.8%	-3.4%	9.1%
Real Data				07.4	00.0		07.5			05 404	F 70/	40.407	44450	40 70	47 404	00.001	4.007	00.407	07.404	40 504	45.001	40 504	40.00%	00.407	4.00%
I			24.6	27.1	22.2	N/A	31.5	33.3	woderate	25.4%	5.7%	18,43 <i>1</i>	14,450	18.7%	47.1%	29.9%	4.3%	39.4%	27.1%	18.5%	15.0%	18.5%	48.3%	<b>∠</b> 8.4%	4.8%

Note: All dollar values are year 2001 dollars. All values except Existing Conditions (Row A) are year 2020 projections.

# Evaluation Matrix: What Have We Learned?

### Note: All dollar values are year 2001 dollars. All values except Existing Conditions (Row A) are year 2020 projections.

Portland / Va	ncouver						_												
	Transportation and Trade Partnership Objective			Evaluation Factor No. 1.3 Ability to Cross Columbia River			Evaluation Factor Reduce Vehicle Miles	or No. 1.3 Traveled (VMT)	Ev. E		Evaluatio Improve 1	n Factor No. 1.5 Travel Reliability		Minimize li	Evaluation Facto Strengthen Regional Ir (Reduce Freigh				
S ANANSPORT	Washington State Department of Transportation									Exclusive Linear Miles									
			Transit	SOV	ноу	Total			Number of Traffic Conflict Points	Access for Emergency Vehicles/ Incident Management	Enroachment into Pearson Airpark Flight Path	LRT	HOV/ Express Bus	Qualitative Measure for Autos/Trucks	Cost of Bridge Lift Delay	Oregon - North of Columbia Blvd.	Oregon - North of Going/Prescott	Washington - South of Fourth Plain Blvd.	Travel Times for Auto/Truck from Key Industrial Areas To I-5 <sup>7</sup>
		Measure	Persons Crossing River on All Bridges	Persons Crossing River on All Bridges	Persons Crossing River on All Bridges	Persons Crossing River on All Bridges	Total Regional VMT	VMT per Capita	Rating <sup>4</sup>	Rating <sup>5</sup>		New Miles	New Miles	Rating <sup>6</sup>		Total Number of Autos and Trucks at Selected Screenlines	Total Number of Autos and Trucks at Selected Screenlines	Total Number of Autos and Trucks at Selected Screenlines	Rating <sup>8</sup>
		Period	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	Daily	Daily								PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	AM-3 Hr./PM-4 Hr.
Row	Option Package																		
Α	Existing Conditions (200	0)	2,110	53,695	28,963	84,768	29,426,812	16.41	High	Poor				Low		17,300	60,900	36,600	Moderate
В	No-Build (2020)		3,340	55,883	27,117	86,340	37,583,680	16.16	High	Poor	rials			Low	rials	21,600	79,900	41,900	High
C	Baseline: Planned Regio Baseline System (2020)	line: Planned Regional Priority		6 472 57 008 27 (		90 502	36 801 172	15.83	High	Poor	mate		15	Low/Moderate	mate	18 900	65 900	45 700	High
D	New West Arterial		9 755	59.037	27 925	95 717	36,692,260	15.00	High	Boor/Good	ion 5		1.5	Moderate	ion 5	26 800	65,000	47,800	High (Vancouver)
E	Express Bus: 3 Lanes w/ Supplemental 4 Lane	press Bus: 3 Lanes Supplemental 4 Lane Bridge		60,815	29,052	98,870	36,783,648	15.82	Moderate/High	Good	e Decis		12	Moderate	e Decisi	22,500 67,800		46,600	Moderate
F	LRT: 3 Lanes w/ Supplemental 4 Lane I	Bridge	13,113	57,365	28,090	98,568	36,584,920	15.73	Moderate/High	Good	parat	34	1.5	Moderate	parat	22,000	66,200	44,300	Moderate
G	Express Bus: Add 4th La w/ Supplemental 6 Iane E	nne (HOV) Bridge	10,564	60,731	29,168	100,463	36,756,984	15.81	Moderate	Best	See se		25	Moderate/High	See se	17,800	58,400	43,300	Moderate
н	LRT: Add 4th Lane (Reve w/ Supplemental 6 Lane	ersible) Bridge	12,570	58,116	27,690	98,376	36,638,888	15.76	Moderate	Best		34	3	High		15,300	56,700	38,000	Moderate
No Build	Change from 2000	-	1230.0	2188.0	-1846.0	1572.0	8156868.0	-0.3								4300.0	19000.0	5300.0	
	% change from 2000		58.3%	4.1%	-6.4%	1.9%	<b>27.7%</b>	-1.5%								24.9%	31.2%	14.5%	
Baseline	Change from 2000 % change from 2000		4362.0 206.7%	3313.0 6.2%	-1941.0 -6.7%	5734.0 6.8%	7374360.0 5 25.1%	-0.6 -3.5%								1600.0 9.2%	5000.0 8.2%	9100.0 24.9%	
New Wes	t Change from Baseline		2283.0	2029.0	903.0	5215.0	-118912.0	-0.1								7900.0	-900.0	2100.0	
	% change from Baseline		35.3%	3.6%	3.3%	5.8%	-0.3%	-0.3%								41.8%	-1.4%	4.6%	
Express	3 Change from Baseline		2531.0 39.1%	3807.0	2030.0 7.5%	8368.0	-17524.0	0.0								3600.0	1900.0	900.0	
	% change from baseline		39.1%	0.7%	7.3%	9.27	<b>0.0</b> 70	-0.176								19.0%	2.970	2.0%	
LRT 3	Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		6641.0 102.6%	357.0 0.6%	1068.0 4.0%	8066.0 8.9%	) -216252.0 5 -0.6%	-0.1 -0.6%								3100.0 16.4%	300.0 0.5%	-1400.0 -3.1%	
Express	4 Change from Baseline		4092.0	3723.0	2146.0	9961.0	-44188.0	0.0								-1100.0	-7500.0	-2400.0	
•	% change from Baseline		63.2%	6.5%	7.9%	11.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%								-5.8%	-11.4%	-5.3%	
LRT 4	Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		6098.0 94.2%	1108.0 1.9%	668.0 2.5%	7874.0 8.7%	-162284.0 -0.4%	-0.1 -0.4%								-3600.0 -19.0%	-9200.0 -14.0%	-7700.0 -16.8%	
TDM	Change from baseline		7655.0	-4667.0	-1492.0	1496.0	-591632.0	-0.3								-100.0	-2100.0	-2700.0	
	% change from Baseline		118.3%	-8.2%	-5.5%	1.7%	-1.6%	-1.6%								-0.5%	-3.2%	-5.9%	
Real Data	a 		1	1	Г Г		I				7			т — т					
I	Enhanced TDM		14,127	52,341	25,530	91,998	36,209,540	15.57					1.5	Moderate		18,800	63,800	43,000	Moderate

# Evaluation Matrix: What Have We Learned?

### Note: All dollar values are year 2001 dollars. All values except Existing Conditions (Row A) are year 2020 projections.

Portland / Var	ncouver																
	Transportation and Trade Partnership	Objective	No. 2.1 ustrial Areas Delay) Evaluation Factor No. 2.2 Decrease Business Costs		Ex Suppo (	valuation Factor No rt Adopted City of I City of Vancouver, a Clark County Plan	Evaluation Factor No. Maximize Vehicle Capa (Untapped Capacity	o. 3.7 pacity y)		Evaluation Minimize Tii	Factor No. 3. me Cost of Tra	10 avel	Evaluation Factor No. 7.1 Evaluate Project Capital and Operating Costs (Public Sector)				
Sol ARANSPORT	Washington State Department of Transportation								Valu	e of Hours of	Travel in Stu	dy Area <sup>10</sup>	Annual Transit Operating Costs	Capital Costs			
			Truck Route Lane Miles Congested	Value of Truck Hours of Delay in Study Area	Consistency	with Local/Neighbor & Transportation Go	hood Livability als	Average Auto Occupancy at Columb River (HOV and SOV	bia /)	Transit	sov	ноу	Trucks		Transit (Start-up)	Roads/ Structures	Total Capital
		Measure	Percent of Total Miles	Dollars (@ \$35/hr.)	City of Portland	City of Vancouver	Clark County	Number	(	Dollars (@\$7.62/hr.)	Dollars (@\$7.62/hr.)	Dollars (@\$11.29/hr.)	Dollars (@\$35/hr.)	Dollars (Millions)	Dollars (Millions)	Dollars (Millions)	Dollars (Millions)
		Period	PM-4 Hr.	Annual (250 Days)				PM-4 Hr.		PM-2 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.	PM-4 Hr.				
Row	Option Package																
Α	Existing Conditions (2000)		19.3%	\$14,094,000				1.29		\$66,888	\$644,522	\$304,807	\$101,745				
В	No-Build (2020)		30.1%	\$33,989,500				1.42		\$104,013	\$831,959	\$388,229	\$173,180	\$139.4		\$0	\$0
C	Baseline: Planned Regional Baseline System (2020)	l Priority	25.1%	\$26 462 250	None of the	options have m	ajor conflicts	1 42		\$139 751	\$740.001	\$338 598	\$158 270	\$260.3		\$291	\$291
D	Now West Arterial		20.170	\$21,211,750	with existing or policies	city, county, or . Any selected c	regional plans option would	1.72		¢145 425	¢742,001	\$220.274	¢150,210	¢262.5	¢o	¢1 000	¢1 046
-	Express Bus: 3 Lanes		22.076	φ21,311,730	need further mitigate la	design work to and use and env	minimize and /ironmental	1.56		\$143,433	φ/13,110	φ <b>52</b> 9,374	\$151,105	φ203.5	φο	\$1,230	φ1,240
E	v/ Supplemental 4 Lane Bridge ∟RT: 3 Lanes		21.1%	\$20,396,250	impacts to ensure plan consistency. See separate Decision materials for additional discussion.			1.36		\$148,049	\$714,390	\$327,794	\$150,465	\$262.7	\$66	\$907	\$973
F	// Supplemental 4 Lane Bridge		20.7%	\$19,721,500				1.37		\$159,159	\$701,246	\$322,442	\$149,730	\$272.2	\$1,215	\$907	\$2,122
G	Express Bus: Add 4th Lane w/ Supplemental 6 lane Brid	e (HOV) dge	18.9%	\$17,238,500				1.37		\$149,619	\$710,169	\$327,433	\$147,175	\$265.7	\$83	\$1,675	\$1,758
н	LRT: Add 4th Lane (Reversi w/ Supplemental 6 Lane Bri	ible) idge	18.7%	\$16,890,750				1.37		\$153,756	\$705,650	\$327,850	\$139,895	\$271.9	\$1,215	\$1,675	\$2,890
No Build	Change from 2000		0.1	19895500.0					0.1	37125.0	187437.0	83422.0	71435.0			·	
	% change from 2000		56.0%	141.2%				10	0.1%	55.5%	29.1%	27.4%	70.2%				
Baseline	Change from 2000		0.1	12368250.0					0.1	72863.0	95479.0	33791.0	56525.0				
	% change from 2000		30.1%	87.8%				10	0.1%	108.9%	14.8%	11.1%	55.6%				
New Wes	t Change from Baseline		0.0	-5150500.0					0.0	5684.0	-26891.0	-9224.0	-7105.0	3.2	8.4	947.0	955.4
	% change from Baseline		-10.0%	-19.5%				-:	2.8%	4.1%	-3.6%	-2.7%	-4.5%	1.2%	#DIV/0!	325.4%	328.3%
Express	3 Change from Baseline		0.0	-6066000.0					-0.1	8298.0 5.0%	-25611.0	-10804.0	-7805.0	2.4	66.0	616.0	682.0
	% change from baseline		-15.9%	-22.3%				-	4.270	5.9%	-3.5%	-3.2 %	-4.9%	0.9%	#DIV/0!	211.770	234.4%
LRT 3	Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		0.0 -17.5%	-6740750.0 -25.5%					0.0 3.5%	19408.0 13.9%	-38755.0 -5.2%	-16156.0 -4.8%	-8540.0 -5.4%	11.9 4.6%	1215.0 #DIV/0!	616.0 211.7%	1831.0 629.2%
_																	
Express 4	4 Change from Baseline % change from Baseline		-0.1 -24.7%	-9223750.0 -34.9%				-:	0.0 3.5%	9868.0 7.1%	-29832.0 -4.0%	-11165.0 -3.3%	-11095.0 7.0%-	5.4 2.1%	83.0 #DIV/0!	1384.0 475.6%	1467.0 504.1%
				0574500.0					• •	4 4005 0	04054.0	40740.0		44.0	1015.0	1001.0	0500.0
LRI4	% change from Baseline		-0.1 -25.5%	-9571500.0 -36.2%				-:	0.0 3.5%	14005.0	-34351.0 -4.6%	-10748.0 -3.2%	-18375.0 -11.6%	4.5%	#DIV/0!	1384.0 475.6%	2599.0 893.1%
TDM	Change from baseline		0.0	-2768250.0					0.0	24551.0	-33566.0	-10996.0	-3570.0	12.2	1217.0	0.0	1217.0
	% change from Baseline		-8.8%	-10.5%					0.7%	17.6%	-4.5%	-3.2%	-2.3%	4.7%	#DIV/0!	0.0%	418.2%
Real Data	a		· · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					i		I	I	
I	Enhanced TDM		22.9%	\$23,694,000				1.43		\$164,302	\$706,435	\$327,602	\$154,700	\$272.5	\$1,217	\$291	\$1,508