# The Seattle Times

### Sticker shock: Cost estimates for highway projects skyrocket

#### COMMUNICATING RESULTS

Each project's CEVP® summary reflects the unique features of a separate project. But all of the summaries share the following points:

- Project cost estimates are stated in dollar ranges, not as single numbers. This reflects the limits of estimating precision at the planning stage when crucial decisions are yet to be made and the specific risks cannot be exactly costed.
- Risk considerations specific to each project are identified and described so that specific risk issues can be foreseen, discussed, and evaluated by the public as the project moves forward.
- Likelihood of project construction schedules have been taken into account and schedule-based adjustments made to the estimates to reflect the smaller purchasing power of dollars to be spent on construction several years in the future.
- Changes from previous CEVP® releases are included in the one page summaries for projects that have gone through an updated CEVP® review.

#### MEDIA RESPONDED POSITIVELY

"The Transportation Department developed its new numbers through a new process called "cost estimate validation" or CEVP®, which features another layer of review by outside experts...The agency's Urban Corridors Administrators, characterized it as an effort to deal more openly and honestly with risks and uncertainties."

> Seattle Times June 2002

"Giving citizens a range of costs, including full disclosure of the variables, "is not only politically smart, but it's common sense"..."

Seattle Post-Intelligencer June 2002

### Washington State Department of Transportation Cost Estimate Validation Process (CEVP®)

Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) wants the public and decision makers to have the best possible information about the probable cost ranges of major transportation projects. The word "range" is important and fundamental to the CEVP®. We cannot completely and accurately predict the future, but we can, using recognized risk and uncertainty techniques, better forecast the range of costs and time a project will require. And then, we can more realistically plan for and manage the best – and the worst – possibilities

WSDOT decided to open the "black box" of estimating and present a candid assessment of the range of potential project costs, including acknowledgement of the uncertainty of eventual project scope, the inevitable consequence of cost escalation due to inflation, and other major risks.

WSDOT's strategy, and commitment, was to deal openly with the process of public infrastructure estimating so that the public would better understand and be better informed as they, and elected officials, make critical project funding decisions. The challenge was to develop a valid procedure to do this.

With the encouragement and support of Secretary Douglas MacDonald, WSDOT developed a specific management-cost-risk assessment tool called the Cost Estimate Validation Process (CEVP®).

#### WHAT IS CEVP®?

CEVP® is an intense workshop where transportation projects are examined by a team of top engineers and risk managers from local and national private firms and public agencies reviewing project details with WSDOT engineers. Many of the participants have had extensive first-hand experience with large project programming and deliverv.

ESTIMATING METHODS		
Conventional	CEVP®	
Estimate is a NUMBER	Estimate is a RANGE	
Risk in contingencies	Risk is explicit	
Risk management can be ad-hoc	Risk management is formal and explicit, significant risks (and opportunities) are quantified	
	Relies on judgment from experience	

The CEVP® workshop team uses systematic project review and risk assessment methods to evaluate the quality of the information at hand and to identify and describe cost and schedule risks. Importantly, the process examines, from the very beginning, how risks can be lowered and cost vulnerabilities managed or reduced. In other words, a dividend of CEVP® is to promote the activities that will improve final cost and schedule results.

#### **PURPOSE OF CEVP®**

- To Validate/Evaluate an estimate of probable cost early in the development and decision process for a project, in order to identify a reasonable target cost, and
- 2. To identify cost and schedule risk associated with the project, and
- 3. To provide risk management tools and processes, and
- To thereby deliver the promised projects in accordance with the established target cost and planned schedule.



Washington State Department of Transportation

#### A Cost-Risk Assessment (CRA) will accomplish the following:

- Validate/Evaluate the cost estimate, in terms of quantities and unit costs, to the extent possible based on the project information available (estimate QA/QC)
- Review/validate the markups
- Review/validate schedule estimate
- Reduce reliance on general contingency by identifying project specific risk associated with both cost and schedule.

ROW

- Consider and quantify risk and opportunity
- Produce a probabilistic cost and schedule range for the identified scope.

#### WHAT IS SPECIAL ABOUT CEVP®?

CEVP® requires specific skills, personnel and resources. WSDOT has found that the process generally requires:

- A knowledgeable and committed owner.
- 2. A well-shaped, complete project estimate and schedule
- 3. Available/involved team members:
  - a. Project Team Members
  - Internal and External Subject Matter Experts
  - c. Skilled cost and risk team leads
- 4. Sufficient expertise to "validate" base costs
- 5. Suitable Risk modeling technology
- 6. Ability to understand results (i.e., issues and limitations of a "first-order" analysis).
- 7. Sufficient time and available resources

## USEFUL RESULTS

#### **USEFUL RESULTS**

CEVP® results are presented as cost and schedule distributions. These distributions can describe the following:

- Current dollar versus year of expenditure cost
- Fully funded or partially funded scenarios
- Comparative design options
- Expected date of project completed
- Expected schedule to meet project milestones

Another key output from the CEVP® assessment is the ranked listing of those risk and opportunity factors contributing to the uncertainty in a particular estimate such as those illustrated in the Risk Event table. The ranked risk table presents the most important risk issues, along with a measure of their contribution to the total uncertainty in the estimate. The variety of risks, including technical risks, policy risks, environmental risks, construction risks, etc. can be treated in a consistent way using these data.

#### **PROCEDURES TO CONDUCT CEVP®**

- Project and Method Selection Phase and Preparation select the right projects, prepare and educate the team, ensure appropriate timing, define scenarios to be assessed, gather data to explain project.
- 2. Workshop Initiation Establish workshop goals, workshop scope and project alternatives to be explored; Project Team presentation of: 1) scope and assumptions for each alternative, 2) cost and schedule estimate, 3) major issues and concerns; Development of project flow chart (basis for the cost and schedule risk and uncertainty model)
- Cost Analysis/Validation and Risk Identification Cost Analysis/Validation Team breakout activities; Risk Team breakout activities; Environmental Costing Team breakout activities; Modeling Team breakout activities. This can occur simultaneously or in a linear fashion, depending on the structure most important for the project.
- Integration and Model Construction Breakout team reports and coordination; Reconciliation of breakout assumptions; Construction of cost/schedule risk and uncertainty model.
- Presentation of Results Oral presentation of workshop results; written report of workshop results, with possible beginning mitigation strategies identified.
- 6. Validation of Results & Generation of Risk Response Plan Project Team validates workshop results. A risk response plan is created that explains how identified cost-risk is going to be managed. A decision, with management input, will be made as to what "target number" the project will be managed to, as well as how and when to communicate CEVP® results. A decision will be articulated that will express commitment to the CEVP® range, or whether further analysis is needed. This further analysis could include a VE study, or, if it is necessary to explore and evaluate cost on alternative project scenarios, cycling back to step 1 above.
- 7. Implementation and Performance Measurement Integration of risk response plan into the project risk management plan. At appropriate periods, and/or by audits, tracking whether project costs have occurred, and if so, whether another CEVP® is warranted, tracking activities taken to reduce cost through management of risk, and ultimately tracking accuracy of the CEVP® by comparing CEVP® results with final costs of WSDOT projects.
- Note: CEVP® continues to be developed. The CEVP® summaries are not a warranty that the estimates are perfect, for it is true that you only know the final costs of a project when the project is finally completed. CEVP® cannot change the fact that it is very early in the project development process for many of these major projects. There are still many unknowns. But risk areas that could drive up project costs can be communicated fairly to the public. In addition, the early identification of a risk area creates management opportunities to minimize the potential of project costs associated with some of those risk areas.

SR 167, Tacoma to Puya New Provey Construction Teal Provide State Provide State Provide State Provide State Provide State Provide State Provide State Provide State Provid		Distribution for cost to completion (future\$M)	
	Contribution To Risk Cost	Risk Event	
Range There is a 20% channel the cost is feasible 2.5% to a	26%	T12 Possible Changes to Selsmic Design	
Banaritis this project would provider  Provide: All and the project would provider  Provide: All and the project would provide the set of a schedule.  Provide: All and the set of the set	21%	T2. Sound Transit Rail N Link Realignment	
Property comparation by othership communities. Property in a comparation by othership communities.	13%	T30. Project Delivery Method	
table by Calconology Calconol (1974)	10%	T31. Other (Low Risk) items	
THE PARTY PARTY PARTY AND A THE PARTY	10%	T22. Right of Way	
Project indiades a many second and using a	7%	T3. Market Conditions (High Bids)	
(P-NORe) Bischingt of Annual of Annual Process Annual Pro- Inst Approval by P-NOR	3%	T14. Constructability of I- 405 I/C	
<ul> <li>A holded standard office and knew (or the project.</li> </ul>	2%	T26. Local Access Improvements	
el of	2%	T28. TDM	
Her Dealge Ind y 1963	1%	T16. Construction Staging Areas	

## Washington State Department of Transportation / A5T60 "Cost Estimate Validation Process/Cost Risk Assessment" Working for You

May 19 – 20<sup>th</sup>, 2004 Crown Plaza Hotel, Seattle, WA

### **DRAFT AGENDA**

#### **Objectives:**

- 1. To more clearly understand the CEVP and CRA processes and the application of risk.
- 2. To provide sufficient information that each DOT could accelerate the implementation of the concepts should they desire.
- 3. To identify other cost estimating systems that could help the DOTs improve estimating, scheduling, and risk.
- 4. To provide constructive information to the AASHTO Task Force on Cost Estimating.
- 5. To develop a potential research, technology, and training agenda.

#### **May 19**

1:30 pm	Welcome, Overview	Jennifer Brown, WSDOT Ted Ferragut, A5T60
	We will have self-introductions, review the purpose and objectives of the workshop, update the agenda, and find a way to keep the easterners awake.	
1:45 pm	Roundtable Discussion	All
	In Washington, each DOT discussed issues related to project cost estimating, scheduling, and the inherent challenges. We will continue on from our DC discussions and attempt to isolate the issues with a little more clarity. What challenges does my state face regarding cost and schedule estimates? How is my state currently addressing / managing project risk? What do I want to get out of this workshop?	
3:00 pm	Break	
3:15 pm	Background	John Reilly
	John will explain factors which led WSDOT to develop CEVP.	
3:30 p.m.	How WSDOT got started	Cliff Mansfield, PTG
	Cliff Mansfield recently retired from WSDOT after 30 years of service and leadership. He was the original manager of CEVP, and currently assists other agencies conduct CEVP-like workshops. Cliff will talk about the steps and strategies WSDOT used as they developed the original CEVP pilot program. He will highlight some of the benefits and challenges realized early on at WSDOT.	
4:00 p.m.	From CEVP to CRA	Jennifer Brown
	Jennifer will provide an overview of the components of CEVP and will explain the distinction between CEVP and CRA, while continuing the story of the evolution of CEVP at WSDOT.	
4:30 pm	Open Discussion	All

4:45 pm	Food for Tomorrow - "Lead State" Concept	Ted Ferragut
	Participants think about whether/ how on-going discussions will be helpful after this workshop	
5:00 pm	Adjourn	
6:30 pm	Group Dinner – Location TBD	
May 20		
7:00 am	Coffee and Continental Breakfast	
7:30 am	Agenda Overview	Jennifer Brown
	We will review the day's agenda, introduce the consultants, and review any burning administrative issues	
7:45 am	Things to expect when establishing a CEVP / CRA program	Monica Bielenberg, WSDOT
	Monica Bielenberg, current CEVP Manager, will provide some tips on what program managers might encounter (based on WSDOT experience) as they introduce the new tool/business process in their organizations. Monica will describe what to expect in terms of positive benefits, such as workshop results, and business improvements; as well as what to expect in terms of challenges, including resource investment, appropriate/adequate sponsorship, the CEVP / CRA learning curve, and challenges that accompany business as "not usual." She will also describe some recommended strategies to get the program launched well, including who needs to be involved in the beginning, and what types of critical conversations should be started. Monica will also describe some of the current questions/issues DOT is addressing, as well as goals for where the program will grow over time.	WSDOT
8:45 am	A Project Director's perspective TBD – perspective a manager who has used CEVP several times could be helpful – Maureen Sullivan (?) to complete the perspective picture	Maureen Sullivan (?) Alaskan Way Viaduct & Seattle Sea Wall Project
9:15 am	Break	
9:30 a.m.	Introducing CEVP Applications – Consultant perspective Dwight Sangrey of Golder Associates, and Keith Sabol of Parsons Transportation Group have been instrumental in WSDOT's application of CEVP and CRA. They have also been involved with implementation of CEVP-like tools with other agencies around the country. Dwight and Keith will share their perspectives on what has been working well (and not) with other agencies around the country as they begin to use the tool. They will then discuss specifics critical considerations regarding the cost (Keith) component and the risk (Dwight) component of a CEVP or CRA that any agency should be thinking about as they develop and implement their own CRA program.	Dwight Sangrey, Golder Associates; Keith Sabol, Parsons Transportation Group

Monica will outline a series of steps that could help implement the program. She will discuss appropriate sponsorship; determine admin/technical resource needs' understand, document, and communicate the process. She will explain the decision as to whether to apply CEVP / CRA. She will then discuss ways to developing the team, prepare for the workshop, address the risk management structure and planning. She will close by addressing the Audit/Measurement of Results. She will address training for executives, managers, subject matter experts, cost and risk leads, the modelers, and the overall project teams. While describing the possible steps toward implementation, Monica will weave in a WSDOT case study to demonstrate how this has worked with a CRA.

12:00 p.m. Lunch (catered)

## 1:00 p.m. WSDOT Wrap-up

Group Discussion, Q & A period – panel format, Monica, Jennifer, Cliff, Dwight, Keith

### 2:00 p.m. Other State Cost Estimating Programs

We will her from UT, FL, and VA about elements of their new programs and how they might dovetail with a CEVP and CRA process

Group Discussion

After hearing what I've heard yesterday/today, what next steps am I going to take to introduce CEVP/CRA in my agency? hat do I think my biggest challenges will be, and what can I start to do about them? What do I want (if anything) from this collective group after we leave today?

Lead State Program

In this session, we will look at ways that we can stay together to work on possible implementing CEVP/CRA or other state practices, what resources we have available, and how we might work with the AASHTO TIG and Task Force on Cost Estimating.

Evaluation, Wrap, up, and Closing

Adjourn

All

Ted Ferragut

Jennifer Brown Ted Ferragut

All

## SR 520 Bridge Replacement & HOV Project

CEVP Meeting April 12<sup>th</sup> – 15<sup>th</sup> The Point Conference Room 414 Olive Way, Suite 400 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 381-6400

## Monday, April 12<sup>th</sup>

Project Update

- Cost & Schedule Assumptions
- Flow Charts
- Breakout Groups
   -Universal Risks
   -Base cost review & validation

### Tuesday, April 13th

4 lane full

### Wednesday, April 14<sup>th</sup>

8:00am - 5:00pm

1:00pm - 5:00pm

8:00am - 5:00pm

- 4 lane Phase I
- 6 lane Full

### Thursday, April 15<sup>th</sup>

- 6 lane Phase I
- Out brief

### 8:00am - 5:00pm

### Himes, Dale

From:	Martin, Jennifer A.
Sent:	Thursday, March 18, 2004 11:39 AM
To:	Himes, Dale
Cc:	Williamson, Alec; Mike Rigsby (E-mail); Madden, Tom; Ferguson, Sarah; Sarah Herr (E-mail)
Subject:	FW: Upcoming UCO CEVPs
Importance:	High

**Dale,** per Maureen's request, please note the dates below for the Alaskan Way Viaduct & Seawall Project CEVP sessions. We will make sure to include you when the meeting locations and agendas are sent out.

Please call/email me if you have any questions. Thank you!

### Jennifer A. Martin

Secretary Administrative to Maureen Sullivan WSDOT - Urban Corridors Office TEL: (206) 382-5286 FAX: (206) 382-5291 Mail Stop: NB82-230 Email: martija@wsdot.wa.gov

 -----Original Message---- From: Bielenberg, Monica
 Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2004 10:58 AM
 To: Madden, Tom; Hunter, Carol; Cieri, Denise; White, John (UCO); Trussler, Stacy; Everett, Susan; Wornell, Greg; Martin, Jennifer A.; Meredith, Julie
 Cc: Stone, Craig; Sullivan, Maureen; Brown, Jennifer; Ng, Sio M.; Smith, Helena Kennedy; Price, Jami; Beshaler, Nancy; Alan Keizur (E-mail); Bill Roberds (E-mail); Dwight Sangrey (E-mail); Travis McGrath (E-mail)
 Subject: Upcoming UCO CEVPs
 Importance: High

As a follow-up to Monday's UCO staff meeting . . . The schedule for UCO CEVPs was set. Here are the workshop dates:

#### SR 167:

Prep session March 15 (8:00 - 5:00) Workshop March 24-26 (8:00 - 5:00)

#### I 405:

Prep session March 18 (8:00 - 5:00) Workshop March 29-April 2 & April 5-6 (8:00 - 5:00)

#### SR 520:

Prep session March 25 (10:00 - 5:00) Workshop April 12-15 (8:00- - 5:00) NOTE: The workshop dates have changed since the staff meeting.

#### AWV:

Prep session March 24 (10:00 - 5:00) - Project Office, 999 - 3rd Ave., Suite 2424, Seattle - Main Conf. Room Workshop March 29-April 2 (8:00- - 5:00)

#### SR 509:

Prep session March 17 (10:00 - 5:00) Workshop April 7-9 (8:00- - 5:00) NOTE: The original scheduled dates were slightly shifted in the staff meeting.

Each of the project teams need to fill out the following form (all portions in yellow) and return it to me as soon as possible:



I will need to talk with each of the teams about workshop details. Please identify a contact person that I can work with on items such as the following:

- Attendees
- Task Orders
- Conference rooms & other logistics

Thanks - Monica

#### Monica Bielenberg, P. E.

Cost Risk Estimating & Management WSDOT - Design Office (360) 705-7457 office (360) 790-7690 cell bielenm@wsdot.wa.gov

#### Himes, Dale

From:	Ferguson, Sarah
Sent:	Wednesday, March 24, 2004 12:58 PM
То:	Bielenberg, Monica; Allen, Archie; Vanantwerp, J Scott; Salter, Jim; Bandy, Mark; Kapur, Jugesh; Sowers, David; Ray, Allison; Milton, John; Brecto, Barry (FHWA); Gray, Mary (FHWA); Hall, Megan (FHWA); Zeldenrust, Richard; East, Russ; King, Tim; Anderson, Mark - UCO; Himes, Dale
Cc:	Madden, Tom; Williamson, Alec; Martin, Jennifer A.; 'herr@pbworld.com'; 'Bob Chandler (E- mail)'
Subject:	RE: Proposed Schedule for AWV CEVP

Hi all,

This has all have happened so fast, I forgot to include a "minor" detail; the location of the CEVP Sessions:

Wells Fargo Bldg. 999 3rd Avenue; Suite 2424 (24th floor) Seattle In the Large Conference Room

Sorry about that, let me know if you have any other questions.

~Sarah Ferguson 206-382-5287

-----Original Message-----

 

 From:
 Ferguson, Sarah

 Sent:
 Tuesday, March 23, 2004 12:59 PM

 To:
 Bielenberg, Monica; Allen, Archie; Vanantwerp, J Scott; Salter, Jim; Bandy, Mark; Kapur, Jugesh; Sowers, David; Ray, Allison; Milton, John; Brecto, Barry (FHWA); Gray, Mary (FHWA); Hall, Megan (FHWA); Zeldenrust, Richard; East, Russ; King, Tim; Anderson, Mark - UCO; Himes, Dale

 Cc:
 Madden, Tom; Williamson, Alec; Martin, Jennifer A.; 'herr@pbworld.com'; 'Bob Chandler (E-mail)'; 'steve.pearce@seattle.gov'

 Subject:
 Proposed Schedule for AWV CEVP

Attached is the proposed schedule for the upcoming AWVSP CEVP. Our goal is to have as many as possible attend the **March 24th 9am - 10am session** so everyone is up to speed on the 10 CEVP alternatives we are working with. Please see the outline below for the CEVP which will be held next week. We are having a planning session this Wednesday, March 24th at which we will go over the 10 CEVP alternatives and discuss all of the assumptions that will be going into the workshop. At the least it would be useful for you to attend the first part of Wednesday so that you can get a feel for what the 10 alternatives are about.

We ask that you are available on an "on-call" basis when your input is required on particular topics throughout the week. If you plan to be away from your office, please forward me your cell phone# (if you have one), so that you can be contacted as needed.

April 2nd at 1:00pm we will hold the outbrief session here and go over the results, so we invite you to attend then to see the results of this huge effort come together.

We really appreciate in advance your help and expertise in this important endeavor.

#### March 24th

- \* 9:00 10:00 Project Update and Description of CEVP Alternatives (10)
- 10:00 11:00 Cost Estimate Assumptions
- \* 11:00 11:30 Schedule Assumptions
- \* 11:30 12:00 Risk Assumptions
- \* 1:00 3:00 Universal Risk Review (Breakout) Unit Costs and Constructability Review (Breakout)

### March 29th

\* Tunnel Plan 3 & Tunnel Plan 4

### March 30th

\* Tunnel Plan 1, Tunnel Plan 2 & Tunnel Plan 5

### March 31st

\* Rebuild Plan 1, Rebuild Plan 2, & Aerial Plan

### April 1st

\* Bypass Plan & Surface Plan

#### April 2nd

\* AM CEVP Team Working Session

\* PM Outbrief

Sorry for the short notice, please contact me if you have any questions/concerns.

#### Thank You,

Sarah L. Ferguson, Secretary Senior WSDOT-Urban Corridors Office 999 3rd Ave. Suite 2424 Seattle, WA. 98104 Ph: 206-382-5287 Fx: 206-382-5291