# **PROJECT MANAGEMENT PLAN**

DRAFT



# Title VI

The Columbia River Crossing project team ensures full compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by prohibiting discrimination against any person on the basis of race, color, national origin or sex in the provision of benefits and services resulting from its federally assisted programs and activities.

# Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Information

If you would like copies of this document in an alternative format, please call the Columbia River Crossing project office at (360) 737-2726 or (503) 256-2726. Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing may contact CRC using Telecommunications Relay Service by dialing 7-1-1.

¿Habla usted español? La informacion en esta publicación se puede traducir para usted. Para solicitar los servicios de traducción favor de llamar al (503) 731-3490.





# DOCUMENT REVISION RECORD

# Columbia River Crossing - Project Management Plan

<b>Revision Number</b>	Date Issued	Approval	Comments
Rev. 00	XXXXX – Initial Issue		
Rev. 01	XXXXX		
Rev. 02	August 2008		
Rev. 03	May 2010		
Rev. 04	( <mark>month</mark> ) 2011		



This page left blank intentionally.



# APPROVAL PAGE

# Columbia River Crossing - Project Management Plan

CRC Director	Date
CRC Deputy Director	Date



This page left blank intentionally.



i

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION
1.1	<b>Overview of the Project management Plan</b>
1.1.1	Purpose of the Project Management Plan
1.1.2	Maintenance and Updating of the PMP
1.2	Program Description
1.2.1	Purpose and Need
1.2.2	Light Rail Transit (LPT) Improvements Description
1.2.2.1 1.2.2.1.a	The Locally Preferred Alternative
1.2.2.1.b	Light Rail Vehicles
1.2.2.1.c	Maintenance Facility and Operations Control Center
1.2.2.1.d	Long-Term Service Operations and Maintenance
1.2.2.2	Highway Improvements Description
1.2.2.2.a	The Locally Preferred Alternative
1.2.2.2.b	River Crossing Structures
1.2.2.2.c	Highway / Interchanges
1.2.2.2.d	Maintenance and Operations
1.3	Program History
1.4	Program Goals and Objectives
1.4.1	Goals
1.4.2	Objectives
1.5	Governance
1.5.1	Legal Authority
1.5.2	Policy and Governing Agency
2	ORGANIZATION AND KEY STAFFING
2.1	Agency Organization
2.1.1	WSDOT Organization
2.1.2	ODOT Organization
2.1.3	TriMet Organization
2.1.4	C-TRAN Organization
2.2	Agency Responsibility
2.2.1	WSDOT - Key responsibilities:
2.2.2	ODOT- Key responsibilities:
2.2.3	TriMet - Key responsibilities:
2.2.4	C-TRAN - Key responsibilities:

- 2.3 **Management Approach**
- 2.4
- Interface with Partnering Federal Agencies
- 2.4.1 Federal Transit Agency (FTA)



Error! Unknown document property name.

ii

2.4.2	Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
2.5	Interface with Local Partners
2.5.1	City of Portland
2.5.2	City of Vancouver
2.5.3	RTC
2.5.4	Metro
2.6	Program Organization during Final Design (FD) and Construction
2.6.1	Responsibilities – Key Team Members
2.6.2	Use of Consultants
2	
<mark></mark> 2.1	
3.1 2.2	Diverview Discost Control
3.2 2.2.1	Project Control Work Preschderen Structure
3.2.1	Work Breakdown Structure
3.2.2	Maintenance of WBS
3.3	Budget and Cost Control
3.3.1	Cost Control Process
3.3.2	Cost Tracking System
3.3.2.1	Budget
3.3.2.2	Budget Transfer Procedure
3.3.2.3	Contingency Planning and Management
3.3.3	Cost Allocation Plan
3.3.4	Invoices and Accounting
3.3.5	Reporting and Cost Forecasting
3.4	Scope Tracking
3.5	Schedule Control
3.5.1	Master Summary Schedule
3.5.2	Master Project Schedule
3.5.3	Schedule Coordination, Updates, and Revisions
3.5.4	Schedule Monitoring
3.5.5	Schedule Reporting
3.5.6	Baseline Schedule Revisions
3.5.7	Construction Schedule Revisions
3.6	Change Control
3.6.1	Overview
3.6.2	Administration of Change Control
3.6.3	Baseline Change Control
3.6.4	Change Control Management
3.6.4.1	Design Change Control
3.6.4.2	Construction Change Control
3.6.4.3	Consultant Change Orders



Error! Unknown document property name. iii

- 3.7 Document Control
- 3.7.1 Organization and Responsibilities
- 3.7.2 Controlled Documents
- 3.7.2.1 General Controlled Documents Guidelines
- 3.7.2.2 Controlled-Distribution Procedure
- 3.7.3 Records Management
- 3.7.3.1 Active Records
- 3.7.3.1(a) Reference Material
- 3.7.3.1(b) Project Work Papers
- 3.7.3.1(c) Official Project Files
- 3.7.3.2 Document Security

#### 4. PROGRAM FUNDING

- 4.1 Capital Funding Sources
- 4.2 Investor Relations
- 4.2.1 Grant Administration FTA
- 4.2.1.1 Overview
- 4.2.1.2 Grant Analysis and Administration
- 4.2.1.3 Grant Accounting
- 4.2.1.4 Cash Management
- 4.2.1.5 Grants Closeout
- 4.2.1.6 Full Funding Grant Agreement Reporting
- 4.2.1.7 Force Account Plan

# 4.2.2 Grant Administration – FHWA

- 4.2.2.1 Overview
- 4.2.2.2 Grant Analysis and Administration
- 4.2.2.3 Grant Accounting
- 4.2.2.4 Grants Closeout
- 4.3 Funding Agreements
- 4.3.1 Design Services Agreements
- 4.4 Financial Audits

# 5. RISK MANAGEMENT AND INSURANCE

- 5.1 Overview
- 5.2 Risk Assessment Management
- 5.3 Insurance Claims Management
- 5.3.1 CRC Insurance
- 5.3.1.1 Consultant Insurance
- 5.3.1.2 Contractor Insurance



Error! Unknown document property name. iv

# 5.3.1.3 Railroad Protective Insurance

5.3.2 Pre-Construction Surveys

6.	REAL ESTATE ACQUISITION AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
6.1	Scope and Purpose
7.	COMMUNITY RELATIONS
7.1	Overview
7.2	Communications Activities – Outreach
7.2.1	Policy-related outreach to decision-makers
7.2.2	Outreach to the region
7.2.3	Outreach in the project area
7.2.4	Advisory Groups
7.2.5	Outreach materials
7.2.6	Media Relations
8.	PROGRAM DELIVERY AND PROCUREMENT
8.1	Overview
8.2	Program Delivery and Procurement Framework
8.2.1	Project Packaging Strategy
8.2.2	Delivery Methods Strategy
8.2.3	Procurement Strategy
8.2.3.1	Laws and Policies Governing CRC Procurement Contracts
8.2.3.2	Grantee's Self-Certification
8.2.3.3	Architectural and Engineering (A&E) and Personal Service Contracts
8.2.3.4	Construction Contracts
8.2.3.4.1	Non Low-Bid Competitive Procurement for Highway and Transit Civil Work
8.2.3.4.2	Light Rail Vehicle Procurement
8.2.3.5	Buy-America Requirements
8.2.3.6	Equipment, Material and Supplies Contracts

# 9. LABOR RELATIONS AND POLICY

Under development

10.	AGREEMENTS, PERMITS AND ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	
10.1	Overview	
10.2	Funding Agreements	
10.3	Design Services Agreements	
10.4	Federal and State Permits	
10.4.1	Regulatory Agency Coordination	
10.4.2	Obtaining Permits	
(month) 2011		



Error! Unknown document property name.

v

- 10.4.3 Federal Permits and Approvals
- 10.4.4 State Agency Permits
- 10.5 Other Permits and Agreements
- 10.6 Private Utility Interface
- 10.7 Environmental Assessment and Mitigation
- **10.7.1** Tracking Environmental Commitments

# 11. SAFETY and SECURITY

- 11.1 Overview
- 11.2 Risk Control (Safety)
- 11.2.1 Office Safety
- 11.2.2 Operational Safety
- 11.2.3 Incident Reporting during Field Work
- 11.2.4 Construction Safety

#### 12. LRT DESIGN

- 12.1 Overview 12.2 **Design Requirements and Standards** 12.3 **Design Management** 12.4 **Design Execution** 12.4.1 **Preliminary Engineering Final Design** 12.4.2 12.4.3 **Drawing Management** 12.5 **Design Control Design Coordination** 12.5.1 Internal Coordination 12.5.1.1 12.5.1.2 Local Jurisdictions Coordination 12.5.1.3 State and federal Coordination 12.5.1.4 Advisory Groups Coordination 12.5.1.5 Third Party Coordination 12.5.2 **Design Review Process Quality Control Design Review Process** 12.5.2.1 12.5.2.2 Stakeholders Design Review Process 12.5.3 Value Engineering 12.5.4 **Constructability Reviews Operations Review** 12.5.5 12.6 System Integration **Preliminary and Final Design** 12.6.1 **Pre-Revenue** Testing 12.6.2 12.6.3 **Compatibility with Existing Systems** Reliability, Dependability, Safety 12.7 12.7.1 **Quality Assurance Reviews**
- 12.7.2 Safety and Security Reviews
- 12.7.3 ADA Reviews
- 12.7.4 Peer Reviews



Error! Unknown document property name. vi

#### 13. HIGHWAY DESIGN

- 13.1 Overview
- 13.2 Design requirements and Standards
- 13.3 Design Management
- 13.4 Design Execution13.4.1 Preliminary Engineering
- 13.4.2 Final Design
- 13.4.2.1 Traffic Management
- 13.4.3 Drawing Management
- 13.4.4 Design Documentation Management
- 13.5 Design Control
- 13.5.1 Design Coordination
- 13.5.1.1 Internal Coordination
- 13.5.1.2 Local Jurisdictions Coordination
- 13.5.1.3 State and federal Coordination
- 13.5.1.4 Advisory Groups Coordination
- 13.5.1.5 Third Party Coordination
- 13.5.2 Design Review Process
- 13.5.2.1 Quality Control Design Review Process
- 13.5.2.2 Stakeholders Design Review Process
- 13.5.3 Value Engineering
- 13.5.4 Constructability Reviews
- 13.6 Reliability and Dependability
- **13.6.1** Quality Assurance Reviews
- 13.6.2 ADA Reviews
- 13.6.3 Peer Reviews

## 14. DESIGN OVERSIGHT FOR DESIGN-BUILD DELIVERY

- 14.1 Overview
- 14.2 Design Requirements and Standards
- 14.3 Design Oversight Execution
- 14.3.1 Design Oversight Philosophy
- 14.3.2 Design Oversight Management
- 14.3.3 Pre-Contract Meeting
- 14.3.4 Design Meetings and Over-The-Shoulder Reviews
- 14.3.5 Released For Construction (RFC) Document Review
- 14.3.5.1 Preliminary Design Submittal Review
- 14.3.5.2 Final Design Submittal Review
- 14.3.5.3 Released for Construction (RFC) Documents
- 14.3.5.4 Design Revisions Following Issuance of Released for Construction (RFC) Documents
- 14.3.6 Drawing Management
- 14.3.7 Design Documentation Management
- 14.3.8 Change Proposal

# 15. QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL



Error! Unknown document property name. vii

#### 15.1 Overview

- 15.1.1 Management Policy Statement
- 15.1.2 Quality Assurance Program
- 15.1.3 Program Implementation
- 15.1.4 Quality Policy Statements
- 15.1.4.1 Management Responsibility
- 15.1.4.2 Quality Assurance Program and Documentation
- 15.1.4.3 Design Control
- 15.1.4.4 Document Control
- 15.1.4.5 Purchasing, Equipment Procurement, and Construction
- 15.1.4.6 Control of Materials, Product Identification, and Traceability
- 15.1.4.7 Control of Special Processes
- 15.1.4.8 Inspection and Testing Procedures
- 15.1.4.9 Inspection, Measuring, and Testing Equipment
- 15.1.4.10 Inspection and Test Status
- 15.1.4.11 Non-conformance
- 15.1.4.12 Corrective Action
- 15.1.4.13 Quality Records
- 15.1.4.14 Quality Audits
- 15.1.4.15 Training
- 15.1.5 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Responsibilities
- 15.1.6 Design Quality Control
- 15.1.7 Construction Quality Control
- 15.1.7.1 Inspection and Test Plans
- 15.1.7.2 In-Process Inspections
- 15.1.8 Materials Testing Program

## 16. CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION Under development

# 17. PUBLIC ART PROGRAM

- 17.1 Overview
- 17.2 Organization
- 17.3 Budget and Funding
- 17.4 Art Development and Integration
- 17.5 Maintenance of Art Elements

## 18. LRT SYSTEM TESTING AND START-UP

- 18.1 Overview
- 18.2 System Testing Procedures, Analysis, and Results



Error! Unknown document property name. viii

18.2.1	Objectives
18.2.2	Types of Tests
18.2.2.1	Qualification or Proof of Design Testing
18.2.2.2	Manufacturing Tests
18.2.2.3	Acceptance Tests
18.2.2.4	System Tests or Integrated Tests
18.2.2.5	Pre-Revenue Testing
18.2.3	Test Management Approach
18.2.4	Establish Requirements
18.2.5	Perform Tests
18.2.5.1	Contractor off-site tests
18.2.5.2	Contractor On-Site Tests
18.2.5.3	District Tests
18.2.6	Report Test Status, Document Results
18.2.6.1	Test Documentation
18.2.6.2	Test Completion Reports
18.3	Modifications or Retrofits
18.4	Start-Up Planning
18.4.1	Start-up Plan
18.4.2	Start-up Schedule
18.4.3	Start-Up Target Date
18.7	Operations Planning
18.5.1	Basic Operating Plan
18.5.2	Rail Transportation Plan
18.5.3	Rail Maintenance Plan
18.6	Operations Staffing
18.7	Operations Training
18.7.1	<b>Contractor Provided Training and Manuals</b>
18.7.1.1	Training Manuals
18.7.1.2	Maintenance Manuals
18.7.1.3	Light Rail Vehicle Training
18.7.1.4	Traction Electrification System (TES) Training
18.7.1.5	Signal System Training
18.7.1.6	Fare Equipment Training
18.7.1.7	Shop Equipment Training
18.7.1.8	Non-Revenue Equipment Training
18.7.2	TriMet Provided Training
18.7.2.1	Rail Transportation Training
18.7.2.2	Rail Transportation Training
18.7.2.3	Track Maintenance Training



Error! Unknown document property name. ix

- 18.7.3
- Orientation for Employees Spare Parts and Inventory Control 18.8
- 18.9
- Pre-Revenue Operations WSDOT and ODOT Crossing Order Approvals 18.10
- 18.11 System Opening and Revenue Service
- 18.12 **Operations Description** 18.12.1 Routing and Frequencies
- Travel Time and Ridership 18.12.2



Error! Unknown document property name.

х

# List of Figures

Figure 1-1.LRT Project MapFigure 1-2.10-Lane Replacement Concept



# Appendices – renumber to match ascending reference to each appendix in the PMP document

Appendix A	Work Breakdown Structure Hierarchy and Program Cost Breakdowr
Appendix B	Form Examples
Appendix C	References
Appendix D	Technical Capacity and Capability Plan
Appendix E	Quality Control Plan
Appendix F	TriMet Bus Fleet Management Plan
Appendix G	C-TRAN Bus Fleet Management Plan
Appendix H	Quality Assurance Manual
Appendix I	TriMet Rail Fleet Management Plan
Appendix J	Project Implementation Plan
Appendix K	Risk and Contingency Management Plan
Appendix L	Safety and Security Management Plan
Appendix M	Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan

Appendix N Baseline Schedule



Error! Unknown document property name. xii

This page left blank intentionally.



Error! Unknown document property name. xiii

# ACRONYMS

AA/EEO	Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
AGO	Office of the Attorney General
ATU	Amalgamated Transit Union
BIA	Bridge Influence Area
BNSF	Burlington Northern Sante Fe
BRT	Bus Rapid Transit
CADD	Computer-Aided Design and Drafting
CEJG	Community and Environmental Justice Group
CEVP	Cost Estimate Validation Process
CFR	Code of Federal Regulation
CIL	Certifiable Items Lists
CM	Construction Manager
СО	Change Order
CPMS	Capital Program Management System
CPTED	Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design
CRA	Cost Risk Assessment
CRC	Columbia River Crossing
CREM	Cost Risk and Estimate Management
CSS	Context Sensitive Solutions
C-TRAN	Clark County Public Transportation Benefit Area Authority
CVS	Certified Value Specialist
(month) 2011	



## Error! Unknown document property name. xiv

DAP	Design Approval/Acceptance Process
DBE	Disadvantaged Business Enterprises
DBELO	DBE Liaison Officer
DDP	Design Documentation Package
DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
DMV	Department of Motor Vehicles
DSDC	Design Services During Construction
EAC	Estimate at Completion
ECHO	Electronic Clearing House, Inc.
ECRB	External Civil Rights Branch
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ETC	Estimate to Complete
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FAI	First Article Inspections
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FFGA	Full Funding Grant Agreement
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FLSSC	Fire/Life Safety and Security Committee
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FWG	Freight Working Group
НСТ	High Capacity Transit
HOV	High Occupancy Vehicle
HR	Human Resources
(month) 2011	



## Error! Unknown document property name. xv

InterCEP	Interstate Collaborative Environmental Process
IT	Information Technology
LPA	Locally Preferred Alternative
LRT	Light Rail Transit
LRV	Light Rail Vehicles
MA	Master Agreement
MAX	Metropolitan Area Express
MDSG	Marine Drive Stakeholders Group
MOT	Maintenance of Traffic
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHS	National Highway System
OCIP	Owner-Controlled Insurance Program
ODOT	Oregon Department of Transportation
OEO	Office of Equal Opportunity
OFM	Office of Financial Management
ORS	Oregon Revised Statutes
отс	Oregon Transportation Commission
PBAC	Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Committee
PCM	Project Control Manager
РСО	Potential Change Order
PCRF	Project Change Request Form
PCRO	Project Control and Reporting Office
PDA	Project Development Approval
PDT	Project Development Team
(month) 2011	



# Error! Unknown document property name. xvi

PE	Preliminary Engineering
PG	Project Management Oversight Operating Guidance
РНА	Preliminary Hazard Analysis
РМОС	Project Management Oversight Contractor
PMP	Project Management Plan
PS&E	Plan, Specification, and Estimate
PSC	Project Sponsors Council
РТВА	Public Transportation Benefit Authority
PWG	Portland Working Group
QAPM	Quality Assurance Program Manual
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RACC	Regional Arts and Cultural Council
RAMP	Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RE	Resident Engineer
RMO	Risk Management Office
ROD	Record of Decision
ROS	Record of Survey
ROW	Right-of-way
RTC	Regional Transportation Council
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
SAPD	Systems Analysis and Program Development
SASS	Sponsor Agency Senior Staff
SAVE	Society for American Value Engineering
(month) 2011	



# Error! Unknown document property name. xvii

SCC	Standard Cost Categories
SEPP	Security and Emergency Preparedness Plan
SR	State Route
SSMP	Safety and Security Management Plan
SSPP	System Safety Program Plan
STHB	Stacked Transit/Highway Bridge
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
тсс	Total Committed Cost
TDD	Transportation Development
TEAM	Transportation Electronic Award Management
TES	Traction Electrification System
TriMet	Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon
TSA	Transportation Security Administration
UDAG	Urban Design Advisory Group
USC	United States Code
USDOT	United States Department of Transportation
VE	Value Engineering
VWG	Vancouver Working Group
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation
WSTC	Washington State Transportation Commission



Error! Unknown document property name. xviii

This page left blank intentionally.



# 1. Introduction

# 1.1 Overview of the Project management Plan

## 1.1.1 Purpose of the Project Management Plan

The purpose of the Columbia River Crossing (CRC) Project Management Plan (PMP) is to provide the framework, strategies, processes, and procedures necessary to successfully deliver the CRC Program from preliminary engineering through final design and construction. The PMP is a living document that will be modified throughout the duration of the CRC Program to reflect changes in the program requirements.

The PMP describes the organizational structure, array of roles and responsibilities, project management approach, and procedures to successfully deliver this multi-billion dollar, multimodal, bi-state transportation project. This PMP:

- Addresses the requirements of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) 5309 New Starts Program.
- Establishes the framework for administering this complex Program in accordance with the requirements of Title 49 United States Code (USC) §5309(e)(1)(A), FTA's *Final Rule on Major Capital Investment Projects* of September 2001 and FTA Circular 5200.1A, "Full Funding Grant Agreements Guidance."
- Follows reporting instructions for the Section 5309 New Starts Criteria, prepared by the FTA pursuant to the Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (Public Law 105-178 as amended by Title IX of Public Law 105-206).
- Addresses Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requirements for a PMP contained in section 1904(a) of Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), which amends 23 USC 106(h).
- Provides a guideline for the orderly interaction of the multiple agencies, organizations, and staff involved in, and committed to, the CRC Program.
- Establishes standards by which program performance will be measured.

The PMP is composed of three main components as follows:

**Program Management Plan (PMP)** – This is the overall document that describes the Program's Purpose and Need, background, goals and objectives, roles and responsibilities of key personnel, communication, and reporting for the CRC Team. The PMP highlights to team members (WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN, assisted by consultant staff) the design and construction processes and procedures guiding their work on the CRC Program. The PMP includes several Sub-Plans and the Procedures Manual as Appendices XX thru XX. These Appendices exist as separately bound documents for ease of use and future updating.

**Sub-Plans** – These are tactical plans that were developed to execute services in key Program areas. Each plan describes the means by which these services will be accomplished in accordance with the strategies and tasks identified in the sub-plan and includes: staffing organization, roles and responsibilities of team members, tasks description, detailed work processes and detailed procedures necessary to deliver the work and associated task deliverables. Sub-Plans have been developed in the following key areas:

- Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan
- Risk and Contingency Management Plan
- Quality Control Plan
- Quality Assurance Manual
- Technical Capacity and Capability Plan
- Project Implementation Plan
- Safety and Security Management Plan
- Rail (TriMet) Fleet Management Plan
- Bus (TriMet and C-TRAN) Fleet Management Plans

**Procedures Manual** – This document describes the procedures necessary to perform the work in accordance with the tasks identified in the main PMP document. These procedures are consistent with the PMP and are frequently referenced by this document. Each of the sub-plans listed above include detailed procedures necessary to deliver the work described in the sub-plans. The Procedures Manual provides procedures that the CRC Team (WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN, assisted by consultant staff) will use to deliver the CRC Program.



3

#### 1.1.2 Maintenance and Updating of the PMP

The PMP will be reviewed semi-annually for potential updating. CRC's Business Services is responsible for updating and maintaining the PMP. Business Services will work closely with the Management Team and functional managers on each update. Individual functional managers will be responsible for disseminating new PMP information to their staff, as necessary. Hard copy PMP notebooks will be maintained and their distribution controlled by Business Services to ensure that PMP updates are properly maintained.

# 1.2 Program Description

### 1.2.1 Purpose and Need

As the only continuous north-south Interstate on the West Coast connecting the Canadian and Mexican borders, Interstate 5 (I-5) is vital to the local, regional, and national economies. At the Columbia River, I-5 provides a critical economic connection to two major ports, deep-water shipping, up-river barging, two transcontinental rail lines, and much of the region's industrial land. Truck-hauled freight movement onto, off of, and over the I-5 Columbia River crossing is critical for these industrial centers, for regional employment and to the regional and national economies. The I-5 Crossing provides the primary transportation link between Vancouver and Portland, and the only direct connection between the downtown areas of these cities.

The purpose of the CRC Program is to improve I-5 corridor mobility by addressing present and future travel demand needs in the CRC Bridge Influence Area (BIA). The BIA extends from approximately Columbia Boulevard in the south to SR 500 in the north. The CRC Program is intended to achieve the following goals: a) improve travel safety and traffic operations on the I-5 crossing's bridges and associated interchanges; b) improve connectivity, reliability, travel times, and operations of public transportation modal alternatives in the BIA; c) improve highway freight mobility and address interstate travel and commerce needs in the BIA; and d) improve the I-5 river crossing's structural integrity (seismic stability). These goals address the following needs:

- Growing Travel Demand and Congestion: Existing travel demand exceeds capacity in the I-5 Columbia River crossing and associated interchanges. This corridor experiences heavy congestion and delay lasting 4 to 6 hours during both the morning and afternoon peak travel periods and when traffic accidents, vehicle breakdowns, or bridge lifts occur. Due to excess travel demand and congestion in the I-5 bridge corridor, many trips take the longer, alternative I-205 route across the river. Spillover traffic from I-5 onto parallel arterials such as Martin Luther King Boulevard and Interstate Avenue increases local congestion. The two river crossings currently carry over 260,000 trips across the Columbia River daily. Daily traffic demand over the I-5 crossing is projected to increase by more than 35 percent during the next 20 years, with stop-and-go conditions increasing to approximately 15 hours each day if no improvements are made.
- *Impaired Freight Movement*: I-5 is part of the National Truck Network, and is the most important freight freeway on the West Coast, linking international, national, and regional markets in Canada, Mexico, and the Pacific Rim with destinations throughout the western United States. In the center of the project area, I-5 intersects with the Columbia River's

deep water shipping and barging as well as two river-level, transcontinental rail lines. The I-5 crossing provides a direct and important highway connection to the Port of Vancouver and Port of Portland facilities located on the Columbia River, as well as the majority of the area's freight consolidation facilities and distribution terminals. Freight volumes moved by truck to and from the area are projected to more than double over the next 25 years. Vehicle hours of delay on truck routes in the Portland-Vancouver area are projected to increase by more than 90 percent over the next 20 years. Growing demand and congestion will result in increasing delay, costs, and uncertainty for all businesses that rely on this corridor for freight movement.

- *Limited Public Transportation Operation, Connectivity, and Reliability*: Due to limited public transportation options, a number of transportation markets are not well served. The key transit markets include trips between the Portland Central City and the City of Vancouver and Clark County, trips between North/Northeast Portland and the City of Vancouver and Clark County, and trips connecting the City of Vancouver and Clark County with the regional transit system in Oregon. Current congestion in the corridor adversely impacts public transportation service reliability and travel speed. Southbound bus travel times across the bridge are currently up to three times longer during parts of the a.m. peak period compared to off-peak periods. Travel times for public transit using general purpose lanes on I-5 in the Bridge Influence Area are expected to increase substantially by 2030.
- Safety and Vulnerability to Incidents: The I-5 river crossing and its approach sections experience crash rates more than 2 times higher than statewide averages for comparable facilities. Incident evaluations generally attribute these crashes to traffic congestion and weaving movements associated with closely spaced interchanges. Without breakdown lanes or shoulders, even minor traffic accidents or stalls cause severe delay or more serious accidents.
- Substandard Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities: The bike/pedestrian lanes on the I-5 Columbia River bridges are about 3.5 to 4 feet wide – narrower than the 10-foot standard – and are located extremely close to traffic lanes, thus impacting safety for pedestrians and bicyclists. Direct pedestrian and bicycle connectivity is poor in the BIA.
- *Seismic Vulnerability:* The existing I-5 bridges are located in a seismically active zone. They do not meet current seismic standards and are vulnerable to failure in an earthquake.

# 1.2.2 Program Description

The CRC Program is a multi-modal transit and highway improvements on and near a five-mile segment of I-5. The project area stretches from State Route (SR) 500 in Vancouver, Washington, to approximately Columbia Boulevard in Portland, Oregon, including the Interstate Bridge across the Columbia River. A light rail transit alignment will also extend across from its current terminus at the Expo Center, Portland, Oregon, to Clark College in Vancouver, Washington. Detailed descriptions of the highway and transit components are found in the subsections below.

#### 1.2.2.1 Light Rail Transit (LRT) Improvements Description

#### 1.2.2.1.a The Locally Preferred Alternative

The transit element of the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) [see Figure1-1] is primarily an extension of light rail to Clark College in Vancouver from the Expo Center in North Portland, where the MAX Yellow Line currently terminates. To accommodate and complement this major addition to the region's transit system, a variety of additional improvements are also included in the project. These include park and ride facilities in Vancouver, expansion of the current TriMet light rail maintenance base in Gresham, changes to C-TRAN local bus routes, and upgrades to the existing Steel Bridge light rail crossing over the Willamette River in Portland.

#### Light Rail Alignment and Stations

The project would include a 2.9-mile extension of the existing MAX Yellow Line from the Expo Center station across the North Portland Harbor, over Hayden Island, across the Columbia River, and through downtown Vancouver, ending near Clark College. With the LPA, light rail transit vehicles (LRVs) in the new guideway and in the existing Yellow Line alignment would be planned to operate with 7.5-minute headways during the "peak of the peak" (the 2-hour period within the 4-hour morning and afternoon/evening peak periods when demand for transit is the highest) and with 15-minute headways at all other times. This compares to 12-minute headways in "peak of the peak" and 15-minute headways at all other times for the existing Yellow Line (and No-Build Alternative).

#### Oregon Light Rail Alignment and Station

A double-track light rail guideway for north and southbound trains would be constructed to extend northward from the existing Expo Center MAX station. The alignment would curve eastward toward I-5 as it passes beneath a newly reconstructed Marine Drive. North of Marine Drive the profile would rise as the guideway transitions onto a bridge structure to cross North Portland Harbor. The two-way guideway over Hayden Island would be elevated at approximately the height of the rebuilt mainline of I-5. A station would be constructed on Hayden Island immediately west of the reconstructed I-5/Hayden Island interchange. The alignment would extend northward on Hayden Island, along the western edge of I-5, until it transitions into the new bridge over the Columbia River. It would be located on the lower deck of the western bridge, which would service southbound highway traffic on the top deck.

Project Management Plan

6

This page left blank intentionally.



### Figure 1-1. LRT Project Map

"Note: Stations and Light Rail Transit alignment from the Steel Bridge to PSU South are Portland Mail Light Rail Project. Upon completion in September of 2009, these stations are currently under construction as part of the 1.8-mile ns will serve Green and Yellow line trains.

8

#### Downtown Vancouver Light Rail Alignment and Stations

After crossing the Columbia River, the light rail alignment would curve slightly west, off of the highway bridge and onto its own smaller structure over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) rail line. The double-track guideway would descend on structure and touch down on Washington Street south of 5th Street, continuing north on Washington Street to 7th Street. The elevation of 5th Street would be raised to allow for an at-grade crossing of the tracks on Washington Street. Between 5th and 7th Streets, the two-way guideway would run down the center of the street. Traffic would not be allowed on Washington between 5th and 6th Streets and would be two-way between 6th and 7th Streets. There would be a station on each side of the street on Washington between 5th and 6th Streets.

At 7th Street, the light rail alignment would divide into a couplet. The single-track northbound guideway would turn east for two blocks, then turn north onto Broadway Street, while the single-track southbound guideway would continue on Washington Street. Seventh Street would be converted to one-way traffic eastbound between Washington and Broadway, with light rail operating on the north side of 7th Street. This couplet would extend north to 17th Street, where the two guideways would join and turn east.

The light rail guideway would run on the east side of Washington Street and the west side of Broadway Street, with one-way traffic southbound on Washington Street and one-way traffic northbound on Broadway Street. On station blocks, the station platform would be on the side of the street at the sidewalk. There would be two stations on the Washington-Broadway couplet, one pair of platforms near Evergreen Boulevard, and one pair near 15th Street.

### East-west Light Rail Alignment and Terminus Station

Both north and southbound alignments of the couplet would become a two-way guideway traveling east-west on 17th Street. The double-track, center-running guideway on 17th Street would run until G Street, then curve north to McLoughlin Boulevard, and then continue east through the existing underpass beneath I-5. The underpass would be widened and the road bed lowered to accommodate the light rail trains and overhead catenary system. The guideway would end at a station and park and ride structure east of I-5, on the western boundary of Clark College and across from the Marshall Community Center, Luepke Senior Center, and Marshall Park.

#### Park and Rides

Three park and rides would be built in Vancouver along the light rail transit alignment.

- **Columbia Park and Ride** A park and ride would be located within the block bounded by Washington and Columbia Streets and 4th and 5th Streets. This facility would have five floors above ground and would contain approximately 570 parking spaces. Active uses would be included on the ground floor.
- Mill Park and Ride A smaller park and ride would be built in the block surrounded by Washington and Main Streets and 15th and 16th Streets. This facility would have four floors, with active use space (which could include retail) on the ground floor. The current design includes 420 parking spaces.

• **Clark Park and Ride** - The largest park and ride would be built at the Clark College terminus. This facility would have five floors, and contain approximately 1,910 parking spaces.

#### Local Bus Route Changes

As part of the CRC project, several C-TRAN local bus routes would be changed in order to better complement the new light rail transit system and reduce redundancies. Most of these changes truncate bus lines in downtown Vancouver where riders could transfer to light rail transit.

#### Steel Bridge Improvements

In addition to extending the MAX Yellow line, the CRC project would include minor modifications to a critical element of the existing MAX light rail transit system located outside the main project area. These modifications would improve the existing light rail transit track and electrical system on the Steel Bridge, which is located approximately 4 miles south of the crossing of the Columbia River. These improvements would allow the Yellow Line trains, as well as all other MAX line trains that would use these tracks, to increase their travel speed over the Steel Bridge.

#### 1.2.2.1.b Light Rail Vehicles

Nineteen new light rail transit vehicles (LRVs) would be purchased as part of the CRC project to operate this extension of the MAX Yellow Line. These vehicles would be similar to those currently used on the MAX light rail transit system. Trains would operate in a two-car configuration.

#### 1.2.2.1.c Maintenance Facility and Operations Control Center

The CRC Project would expand the existing Ruby Junction Maintenance Facility in Gresham, Oregon to accommodate the additional LRVs associated with the operations of the CRC Project. The proposed expansion of the Ruby Junction facility would also accommodate the additional LRVs associated with the separately proposed Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail Project.

Improvements would include additional storage for LRVs, maintenance equipment and materials, an expansion of LRV maintenance bays, and expanded parking for additional personnel. The Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail Project is considering phasing the maintenance facility expansion to first build only the capacity required for their initial operations, as described in the Portland-Milwaukie Final EIS (FTA 2010). Their initial phase would expand the facility to the west but defer the development of some track, internal roadway, parking facilities, and other structures. If the Portland-Milwaukie project implements phased construction, that would not change the total impacts at the site, but it would change the timing of some of the impacts. Phasing will be determined by the Portland-Milwaukie Light Rail Project and its timing relative to the CRC project construction.

A new operations command center would be located at the existing TriMet Center Street location. This would not require any new building construction or expansion of the existing Center Street facility.

#### 1.2.2.1.d Long-Term Service Operations and Maintenance

TriMet will operate the light rail service through an IGA with C-TRAN to be developed prior to service Start Up. Maintenance of facilities such as park and rides will be a part of the IGA.

#### 1.2.2.2 Highway Improvements Description

The BIA stretches from SR 500 in Vancouver, Washington, to approximately Columbia Boulevard in Portland, Oregon, including the Interstate Bridge across the Columbia River. The project will improve the interstate and associated interchanges within the project area.

## 1.2.2.2.a The Locally Preferred Alternative

The locally preferred alternative (LPA) for the highway portion of the CRC includes a replacement river crossing and improvements to five miles of I-5, including seven interchanges. The LPA includes two design options and a construction phasing option. The two design options, referred to as LPA Option A and LPA Option B are the result of substantial public input and additional analysis and design work around the Hayden Island and Marine Drive interchanges. The preferred option, which is described as LPA Option A, includes local vehicular access between Marine Drive and Hayden Island on an arterial bridge. LPA Option B does not have arterial lanes on the light rail bridge, but instead provides direct access between Marine Drive and the island with collector-distributor (CD) lanes on the two new bridges that would be built adjacent to I-5.

#### 1.2.2.2.b River Crossing Structures

The LPA includes construction of new bridges across the main channel of the Columbia River and new structures across North Portland Harbor, along with improvements to the existing I-5 bridges across North Portland Harbor. These improvements are described in detail below.

#### COLUMBIA RIVER BRIDGES

The parallel bridges that form the existing I-5 crossing over the Columbia River would be replaced by two new parallel bridges. The eastern structure would accommodate northbound highway traffic on the bridge deck, with a bicycle and pedestrian path underneath; the western structure would carry southbound traffic on the bridge deck, with a two-way light rail guideway below. Whereas the existing bridges have only three lanes each, with virtually no shoulders, each of the new bridges would be wide enough to accommodate three through lanes and two add/drop lanes. Lanes and shoulders would be built to full design standards (i.e., no reduced width lanes or shoulders will be constructed). See the discussion of Highway and Interchange Improvements for additional description of the add/drop lanes.

The southbound (western) bridge would accommodate a two-way guideway for light rail vehicles (LRVs) beneath the highway deck. Similarly, the northbound (eastern) bridge would accommodate a bicycle and pedestrian path approximately 16 to 20 feet wide below the highway deck, located within the support structure under the highway deck. The width of the path will

depend on the width of the support structure itself, which could vary slightly depending on bridge type. The proposed bridge type of the two new main river crossing bridges is a composite deck truss design in which the "walls" are constructed of diagonal steel members

This allows for a partially open-sided, covered passage for bicyclists and pedestrians beneath the eastern bridge deck and for light rail transit beneath the western bridge deck. This bridge type would allow for natural light and ventilation as well as views to the east from the bicycle and pedestrian path and views to the west from the light rail trains.

The height of the new bridges was established to give adequate clearance for river traffic below and for air traffic above. The top of deck of the new bridge would range in elevation from approximately 100 to 140 feet (NAVD88) over the Columbia River. The new bridges would be high enough to provide approximately 95 feet of vertical clearance for river traffic beneath, but not so high as to impede take-offs and landings by aircraft using Pearson Field and Portland International Airport (PDX) to the east. Unlike the existing bridge over the Columbia River, the new structures would not include lift spans.

Each of the new bridges over the Columbia River would be built on six pairs of in-water piers plus two pairs of piers on land. Each of these pier sets would be supported by a foundation of approximately sixteen 10-foot-diameter drilled shafts. Each group of shafts would be tied together with a concrete cap measuring approximately 75 feet by 75 feet at the water line. Slender columns would rise from the shaft caps and connect to the superstructure of the bridges.

The improvements to the Columbia River bridges would not differ between LPA Option A and Option B.

#### NORTH PORTLAND HARBOR BRIDGES

The existing highway structures over North Portland Harbor would not be replaced; instead, they would be retained and would accommodate all mainline I-5 traffic. As discussed at the beginning of this chapter, the Hayden Island and Marine Drive interchanges have been further evaluated based on public involvement and input. From this process two design options have emerged. The preferred option, which is described in this chapter as LPA Option A, includes local vehicular access between Marine Drive and Hayden Island on a local multimodal bridge. LPA Option B does not have traffic lanes on the light rail bridge, but instead provides direct access between Marine Drive and the island with collector-distributor lanes on the two new bridges that would be built adjacent to I-5.

*LPA Option A:* Four new, narrower parallel structures would be built across the waterway, three on the west side and one on the east side of the existing North Portland Harbor bridge. Option A would not widen or seismically upgrade the existing North Portland Harbor bridge

Three of the new structures would carry on- and off-ramps to mainline I-5. Two structures west of the existing bridges would carry traffic merging onto or exiting off of I-5 southbound. The new structure on the east side of I-5 would serve as an on-ramp for traffic merging onto I-5 northbound and would carry the multi-use path underneath the bridge deck.

The fourth new structure would be built slightly farther west and would include a two-lane multimodal bridge for local traffic to and from Hayden Island, light rail transit, and would include bicycle lanes and sidewalks. The length of each new structure would be between 800 and 1,000 feet, depending on its location and the angle relative to the channel. Spans would vary by bridge, and the existing navigation channel would be preserved. All of the new structures would have at least as much vertical clearance over the river as the existing North Portland Harbor bridges.

*LPA Option B:* This option would build the same number of structures over North Portland Harbor as Option A, although the locations of certain functions on those bridges would differ. The existing bridge over North Portland Harbor would be widened and would receive seismic upgrades.

LPA Option B would not have traffic lanes on the light rail/multi-use path bridge. Direct access between Marine Drive and the island would be provided with collector-distributor lanes. The two structures adjacent to the highway bridge would carry traffic merging onto or exiting off of mainline I-5 between the Marine Drive and Hayden Island interchanges. The new structure on the west side of I-5 would serve as a collector-distributor road for southbound traffic. Similarly, the new structure on the east side of I-5 would serve as a CD road for northbound traffic. The multi-use path would be located on the westernmost bridge structure that carries the light rail guideway.

### 1.2.2.2.c Highway / Interchanges

The LPA includes improvements to seven interchanges along a 5-mile segment of I-5 between Victory Boulevard in Portland and SR 500 in Vancouver. These improvements include some reconfiguration of adjacent local streets to complement the new interchange designs, as well as new facilities for bicyclists and pedestrians.

### VICTORY BOULEVARD INTERCHANGE

The southern extent of the CRC highway improvements is the Victory Boulevard interchange in Portland. Improvements at this interchange would be limited to two of the ramps. The Marine Drive to I-5 southbound on-ramp would be braided over the I-5 southbound to Victory Boulevard/Denver Avenue off-ramp. Braiding these two movements would eliminate the existing short (substandard) weave distance and improve traffic safety. Braiding the two movements would also eliminate direct access from the Marine Drive interchange to the Victory Boulevard interchange. Motorists would instead use local roads to travel from Marine Drive to Victory Boulevard. Local roads would also connect the Bridgeton Neighborhood to the Kenton Neighborhood. Currently, the existing Victory Boulevard/Denver Avenue on-ramp merges with I-5 mainline northbound traffic; the improvements would bring this ramp on as an add lane, acting as an auxiliary lane within the project limits to provide additional capacity and a safer roadway. The improvements to the Victory Boulevard interchange would not differ between LPA Option A and Option B.

#### MARINE DRIVE INTERCHANGE

All movements within this interchange would be reconfigured to reduce congestion and improve safety for trucks and other motorists entering and exiting I-5. The proposed configuration is a
single-point urban interchange (SPUI) with a flyover ramp serving the eastbound to northbound movement. With this configuration, three legs of the interchange would converge at one signalized point on Marine Drive over the I-5 mainline. Specific changes to traffic movements at this interchange include:

- The northbound flyover ramp would allow trucks and motorists to travel from Marine Drive eastbound to I-5 northbound without stopping.
- The Marine Drive eastbound to I-5 southbound ramp would also provide trucks and motorists with access to I-5 southbound without stopping. This ramp would touch down south of Victory Boulevard.
- Motorists traveling on Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard westbound to I-5 northbound would access I-5 without stopping at the intersection. The new configuration would have less out of direction travel for this movement.
- Travel safety and mobility between the Marine Drive interchange and Hayden Island would be improved by eliminating the local movement between interchanges from the I-5 mainline and accommodating the connection with a local multimodal bridge. Additional safety and mobility improvements would occur by braiding the on- and off-ramps between Marine Drive and Hayden Island.
- The new interchange configuration changes the westbound Marine Drive and westbound Vancouver Way connections to Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard and to northbound I-5. Rather than merging onto Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard, which then loops on the west side and back to the east side of I-5 before entering northbound I-5, these two streets would instead access westbound Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard farther east. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard farther east. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard would have a new direct connection to I-5 northbound.
- In the new configuration, the connections from Vancouver Way and Marine Drive would be served, improving the existing connection to Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard east of the interchange. On the south side of Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard, the existing loop connection would be replaced with a new connection farther east, connecting to Union Court at Hayden Meadows Drive. A new undercrossing of Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard would replace the existing one at Marine Way.

*LPA Option A:* Local traffic between Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard/Marine Drive and Hayden Island would travel via a local multimodal bridge over North Portland Harbor. There would be some variation in the alignment of local streets in the area of the interchange between Option A and Option B. The most prominent differences are the alignments of Vancouver Way and Union Court.

Project Management Plan 14

This page left blank intentionally.

## Figure XX. CRC Highway and Light Rail Transit Improvements



This page left blank intentionally.

Project Management Plan 16

*LPA Option B:* With this design option, there would be no arterial vehicle lanes on the light rail transit/multi-use path bridge over North Portland Harbor. Instead, vehicles traveling between Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard/ Marine Drive and Hayden Island would travel on the collector-distributor bridges that would parallel each side of I-5 over North Portland Harbor. Traffic would not need to merge onto mainline I-5 to travel between the island and Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard/Marine Drive.

## HAYDEN ISLAND INTERCHANGE

The Hayden Island interchange would be reconfigured to lengthen the ramps and improve merging speeds by building longer ramps parallel to the highway. The new configuration would be a split tight diamond interchange. Specific changes to traffic movements at this interchange would include:

- Improvements to Jantzen Drive would include additional through, left-turn, and right-turn lanes. Ramp connections are made to Hayden Island Drive and Center Avenue.
- Hayden Island Drive would be improved from a three-lane roadway to a three- to fivelane roadway, depending on the location. Right-turn lanes would be provided at the southbound ramp entrance and at Jantzen Drive, and double left-turn lanes would be provided at the southbound entrance.
- A new local road, Tomahawk Island Drive, located through the middle of the island, would provide an east-west link under the I-5 mainline for travelers to access both sides.

*LPA Option A:* A proposed arterial bridge with two lanes of traffic, one in each direction, would allow vehicles to travel between Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard/ Marine Drive and Hayden Island without accessing I-5. There would be a slight variation in the alignment of local streets in the area of the interchange between Option A and Option B.

*LPA Option B:* With this design option there would be no arterial traffic lanes on the light rail bridge over North Portland Harbor. Instead, vehicles traveling between Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard/Marine Drive and Hayden Island would travel on the collector-distributor bridges that parallel each side of I-5 over North Portland Harbor. Traffic would not need to merge onto mainline I-5 to travel between the island and Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard/Marine Drive.

#### SR 14 INTERCHANGE

The basic functions of this interchange would remain largely the same as the existing interchange, but safety would be improved and congestion reduced, as described in the list below. Direct connections between I-5 and SR 14 would be rebuilt. Access to and from downtown would be provided as it is today, but the connection points would be relocated. Specific changes to traffic movements at this interchange include:

• Downtown Vancouver I-5 access to and from the south would be at C Street rather than Washington Street.

- Downtown connections to SR 14 would be via Columbia Street at 4th Street and Main Street. Connections from SR 14 would be made to Washington Street and Columbia Street at 4th Street.
- The southbound I-5 connection to SR 14 would be made with a structure under I-5 and SR 14.
- Both north and southbound movements between the Mill Plain interchange and the SR 14 interchange would be separate from the highway on CD roads, eliminating the substandard weave distances on the I-5 mainline
- Raising I-5 at this interchange would allow for an extension of Main Street beneath the BNSF railroad crossing, from 5th Street south to Columbia Way.

The improvements to the SR 14 interchange would not differ between LPA Option A and Option B.

#### MILL PLAIN BOULEVARD INTERCHANGE

This interchange would be reconfigured into a tight diamond, as illustrated in Exhibit 2.2-13. The existing "diamond" configuration requires two traffic signals to move vehicles through the interchange. The tight diamond has two closely spaced ramp terminals run by a single controller or two coordinated controllers improving the efficiency of the interchange. This will minimize queuing between the intersections and keeps traffic flowing through the interchange. All highway exits would be very similar to the existing interchange.

Specific changes to traffic movements at this interchange include:

- Northbound I-5 traffic exiting at Mill Plain would travel on a CD ramp to Mill Plain. The CD would also accommodate the movements from I-5 northbound to Fourth Plain Boulevard and SR 14 to I-5 northbound.
- Mill Plain traffic would enter southbound I-5 from a CD ramp that would also accommodate the movement from southbound I-5 to SR 14.
- Acceleration and deceleration distances would be lengthened.

The improvements to the Mill Plain Boulevard interchange would not differ between LPA Option A and Option B.

#### FOURTH PLAIN BOULEVARD INTERCHANGE

The improvements to this interchange would better accommodate freight mobility and access to the Clark Park and Ride. Northbound I-5 traffic exiting to Fourth Plain Road would continue to use the off-ramp just north of the SR 14 interchange. Specific changes to traffic movements at this interchange include:

• The southbound I-5 exit to Fourth Plain would be braided under the SR 500 connection to I-5, eliminating the substandard weave between the SR 500 connection and the off-ramp

to Fourth Plain. This braided off-ramp would be in a buried structure between approximately 35th Street and 37th Street.

- This braided exit ramp eliminates the direct connection between westbound SR 500 and Fourth Plain. Traffic currently using this connection would instead access the area by exiting SR 500 at St Johns Road or 15th/P Streets or by traveling south on I-5 and exiting at Mill Plain.
- A southbound road would be added to provide access to the Clark Park and Ride from Fourth Plain at the northbound ramp terminal.
- The intersection at the exit from I-5 south would provide double left turns for south to east movements. Double left turns would be provided at the intersection at the entrance to I-5 north for the movements going east to north and west to south into the park and ride access road. Two through lanes would be added for the northbound on-ramp to facilitate traffic coming from the park and ride.

The improvements to the Fourth Plain Boulevard interchange would not differ between LPA Option A and Option B.

#### SR 500 INTERCHANGE

Improvements to the SR 500 interchange would add direct connections to and from I-5. Currently, the connections between SR 500 and I-5 to and from the north require exiting the highway, traveling on a local street (39th Street), and then re-entering the highway. As illustrated in Exhibit 2.2-15, on- and off-ramps would be built to directly connect SR 500 and I-5 for both of these connections. I-5 southbound traffic is proposed to connect to SR 500 via a new structure underneath I-5. SR 500 westbound traffic would connect to I-5 northbound on a new ramp. These improvements would eliminate the direct connections between 39th Street and I-5 to and from the north. These connections would instead be made through the I-5/Main Street interchange to the north.

The improvements to the SR 500 interchange would not differ between LPA Option A and Option B.

#### 1.2.2.2.d Maintenance and Operations

WSDOT, ODOT, the city of Vancouver, and the city of Portland have established roadway maintenance and operations programs that are responsible for maintaining elements of the roadway within their respective jurisdictions. The majority of the maintenance and operations resources are already provided for, as the roadway facility already exists and CRC is replacing and updating the facility. However, care and coordination are needed with the respective maintenance program managers to plan and program additional funding or reallocate resources that may be necessary to maintain and operate new features such as stormwater facilities, additional lane miles from widening, fewer personnel needed to operate the bridge, etc.

CRC will coordinate with jurisdictional maintenance managers during final design. Maintenance managers are anticipated to identify existing chronic problems on their facilities so that those issues will not occur or will be corrected with the new design. Maintenance managers will also

provide insight on the development of facilities to ensure access, safety, and the ability to maintain are accounted for in the design. Maintenance managers will be included so they can adequately plan for additional or reduced resources when forecasting budget and workforce. However, the individual agencies will be responsible for planning, requesting, and procuring funds to maintain improvements under their respective jurisdiction.

A detailed summary of maintenance and operations coordination during Final Design phase is discussed in Sections 12.5.5 and 13.5.5 of this PMP.

## 1.3 Program History

The following is a summary of the Program background:

#### • 1990-1998: Bi-State High Capacity Transit (HCT) Studies

Several HCT studies were performed in the 1990s related to the possibility of providing a form of HCT between Oregon and Washington. The following is a list of those studies:

- o Clark County HCT Analysis 1990
- South/North I-5/I-205 HCT Pre-Alternative Analysis 1993
- o South/North I-5 LRT Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) 1996
- o Commuter Rail and High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Studies 1998

#### • 1999-2000: I-5 Trade Corridor Study

In 1999, a preliminary assessment for the I-5 corridor began to evaluate problems on the corridor and a range of solutions. The study ended with the following general conclusions:

- o Unacceptable economic impacts and congestion will result if no corrective action is taken.
- o Solution must be multimodal.
- o Improvements to the I-5 corridor will require new funds.
- o Region must promote transportation-efficient development.

#### • 2001-2002: I-5 Transportation and Trade Partnership

In 2001, the governors of Oregon and Washington formed a bi-state partnership to study transportation problems and possible solutions for the I-5 corridor. The Partnership recommended fixing three bottlenecks in its 2002 Strategic Plan:

- o I-5 at Salmon Creek in Clark County (completed in 2006)
- I-5 at Delta Park in Portland (under construction now-2009)I-5 at the Columbia River (this project)
- Spring 2005: CRC project begins

- o In late 2004, WSDOT and ODOT initiated work to begin the CRC project.
- CRC Project Team formed around a nucleus of WSDOT and ODOT staff to include project partners TriMet, C-TRAN, Regional Transportation Council (RTC), Metro, and the Cities of Vancouver and Portland.
- The 39-member CRC Task Force was formed in early 2005 by the CRC project team to provide advice on key decisions. The CRC Task Force consists of leaders from a broad cross section of Oregon and Washington communities, including public agencies, businesses, civic organizations, neighborhoods, freight, commuter, and environmental groups. Fall 2005: Defining the problems and potential solutions
- Using data developed by the I-5 Transportation and Trade Partnership, CRC worked with the public to define the problems and needs in the project area. More than 70 different ideas were proposed as solutions, including 23 river crossing and 14 transit concepts. Evaluation criteria were also developed.

#### • Spring 2006: Narrowing the ideas

- Continuing discussions with the Task Force and community, the CRC project team studied the river crossing and transit ideas. As a result of this discussion and analysis, the ideas were further narrowed to a set of four river crossing options and five public transit options.
- Spring-Summer 2006: Testing the preliminary alternatives
  - CRC packaged the most promising bridge and transit options into a dozen preliminary alternatives. Each alternative included several transportation components: bridge, highway, transit, freight, bicycle, and pedestrian improvements, and strategies to reduce travel demand. These preliminary alternatives were tested against the evaluation criteria. The results highlighted the strengths and weaknesses of the components.
- Fall 2006-Spring 2007: Identifying DEIS alternatives
  - In collaboration with partner agencies, the CRC worked with the public to identify five project alternatives and recommended these for further analysis in the DEIS.
- Spring-Fall 2007: Analyzing the five alternatives
  - The CRC project analyzed each alternative to determine how well it relieves congestion and improves safety and mobility on I-5. The five alternatives were:
    - ✓ Replacement bridge with bus rapid transit (BRT)
    - ✓ Replacement bridge with light rail transit
    - ✓ Supplemental bridge with bus rapid transit
    - ✓ Supplemental bridge with light rail transit
    - ✓ No build (for comparison purposes)

- Spring 2008: DEIS was released on May 2, 2008
  - The DEIS was released on May 2, 2008, with a 60-day comment period. Over 1,600 comments were received during this period.
- Summer 2008: LPA selected
  - The partnering agencies: TriMet, C-TRAN, City of Vancouver, City of Portland, Metro, and RTC, selected an LPA in late July 2008 that consists of a replacement bridge with light rail transit ending at Clark College. The LPA was adopted into the regional transportation plans for both parts of the region.
- Fall 2008 2010: Refining Designs and Reviewing Work to Date
  - The Governors of Oregon and Washington formed the Project Sponsors Council (PSC), to advise the departments of transportation on completion of the Environmental Impact Statement, project design, project timeline, sustainable construction methods, compliance with greenhouse gas emission reduction goals, and the financial plan. PSC began meeting in November 2008 and is composed of two citizen co-chairs and representatives from each sponsoring agency.
  - PSC considered several items related to bridge design in 2009. Discussions by the Urban Design Advisory Group, Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Group and regulatory and partner agencies resulted in a PSC recommendation for a two-bridge facility.
  - Two independent review panels were convened and delivered assessments on green house gases and travel demand estimates. Both panels found CRC's analyses to be valid.
  - PSC supported a concept in spring 2009 for a regional Mobility Council that would establish a local body to advise the state departments of transportation and transit districts on the optimal long-term performance of the Columbia River crossings. The primary purpose of the Mobility Council is to help maximize the long-term benefits of the project for all users and affected stakeholders in an equitable manner by recommending the implementation of the agreed upon goals.
  - In early 2010, the Vancouver City Council and C-TRAN Board of Directors adopted the light rail alignment for downtown Vancouver, with trains traveling north on Broadway Street, south on Washington Street and east and west on 17th Street to the terminus station near the Marshall/Luepke Center and Clark College.
  - The Governors convened an Independent Review Panel (IRP) for the Columbia River Crossing Project to assess the implementation plan for the CRC project, review the financial plan for the project and review and evaluate post-construction performance measures. The panel reported its findings and 30 recommendations to the Governors July 30, 2010.All recommendations were accepted by the departments of transportation.
  - In August 2010, the PSC unanimously agreed on a set of recommendations to the governors of Washington and Oregon for moving ahead with development and construction of the project. The recommendations include a permanent 10-lane I-5 bridge

and changing the Hayden Island interchange design to address several community concerns. These recommendations were supported by Metro's updated land use model that found the CRC project would not induce sprawl in the region.

In 2010, CRC convened an expert Bridge Panel Review to evaluate the bridge type under consideration for the project. In February 2011, the panel released a report that offered three feasible bridge type options for consideration that panelists found to be less expensive and more suitable for the crossing over the Columbia River than the bridge type under consideration at that time. The governors of Oregon and Washington responded to the panel's report by asking their Departments of Transportation to conduct an expedited review of the three bridge types and make a recommendation considering affordability, project schedule, environmental impacts, commitments made to stakeholders and risk. Based on these criteria, the states conducted further evaluations and made the draft recommendation of a deck truss bridge type for the crossing. After reviewing many different factors, including public comments, the governors found the deck truss bridge type to best meet multi- modal transportation needs of the project while also being the most affordable and presenting the least risk to budget and schedule overruns. The governors identified in their recommendation the need to add an architect to the project team and establish architectural specifications for the design build contractor to follow and to engage the design community and public in the process.

## 1.4 Program Goals and Objectives

#### 1.4.1 Goals

To address the transportation problems on I-5, a mix of public transit and highway solutions that optimize the transportation system are needed. The goal of the CRC Program is to improve:

- Travel safety and traffic operations at the I-5 river crossing and nearby interchanges.
- Connectivity, reliability, travel times, and operations of the public transportation systems in the project area.
- Freight mobility and address interstate travel and commerce needs in the project area.
- Structural integrity of the I-5 river crossing.

## 1.4.2 Objectives

The objectives of the CRC Program are to:

- Develop a financially feasible design that enhances public safety and long term operations of I-5 while being sensitive to aesthetic, cultural, and environmental resources, and reflecting community values.
- Identify realistic and achievable approaches to contracting construction and Program financing.
- Complete the Program:

- On time
- o Within budget
- o Without litigated claims
- In a safe manner for both the individuals working on the Program and for the traveling public
- In a manner in which the public trust, support, and confidence in the Program will be maintained

## 1.5 Governance

#### 1.5.1 Legal Authority

Metro on July 17, 2008 passed Resolution no. 08- 3960b endorsing the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) for the Columbia River Crossing Project and amending the Metro 2035 Regional Transportation Plan, Appendix 1.1, Financially Constrained System, to include the Locally Preferred Alternative.

The Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council passed on July 22, 2010 Resolution 07-08-10 endorsing the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) for the Columbia River Crossing Project and amending the RTC Metropolitan Transportation Plan to include the Locally Preferred Alternative.

WSDOT and ODOT are lead agencies for this multi-modal project. WSDOT and ODOT entered into a partnership to jointly develop and manage the CRC Program, WSDOT, acting by and through the Secretary of Transportation, and ODOT acting by and through the Oregon Transportation Commission. WSDOT is the FTA Grantee for transit grants on the Program. TriMet and C-TRAN will operate and maintain the new LRT extension.

## WSDOT is authorized by:

- The Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 47.52.020, Powers of Highway authorities State facility, county road crossings.
- RCW 39.34.030, Joint powers Agreements for joint or cooperative action, requisites, effect on responsibilities of component agencies financing of joint projects

#### **ODOT** is authorized by:

- The Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 190.410 to 190.440 190.420, Authority of public agency to make agreements with public agencies in other states; contents of agreement; liability of public agency
- ORS 381.005 to 381.820, Interstate bridges under state jurisdiction

#### TriMet is authorized by:

- ORS 267.010 though and including 267.430
- Code of Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon

#### C-TRAN is authorized by:

• RCW 36.57A, Public Transportation Benefit Areas (PTBA)

#### 1.5.2 Policy and Governing Agency

WSDOT and ODOT operate as stewards for the National Highway System and their respective state routes. FHWA provides oversight to WSDOT and ODOT for projects associated with the National Highway System and/or projects that utilize funding provided by FHWA. FTA provides oversight to WSDOT, the FTA Grantee for transit grants on the CRC Program.

WSDOT is a cabinet agency under the Washington State Governor, and reports directly to the Governor, Washington State Legislature, and the Washington State OFM. <u>The Washington State</u> <u>Transportation Commission</u> (WSTC) makes policy recommendations to the Governor and the Legislature. The Transportation Commission is composed of seven commissioners appointed by the Governor. Policy is implemented by the Secretary of Transportation.

ODOT reports to the Oregon State Governor, the <u>Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC)</u>, and the Oregon State Legislature. OTC is composed of five commissioners appointed by the Governor, and is responsible for establishing state transportation policy. Policy is implemented by the ODOT Director.

Overall policy direction for the CRC Program will be provided by an Executive Management Team comprised of Senior/executive level principals from WSDOT and ODOT. Overall management of the Program will be provided by a Director from WSDOT and a Deputy Director from ODOT. The organization and reporting lines of the CRC Team are described in Chapter 2 below.

This page left blank intentionally.

# 2 Organization and Key Staffing

This section discusses Agency organization, Agency responsibilities, management approach, interface with lead federal agencies and local jurisdictional partners. It also discusses the CRC Program organization, responsibilities of key personnel and staffing during Final Design and Construction.

## 2.1 Agency Organization

The CRC Program is a bi-state multi-modal Program. WSDOT is the grantee for the transit grants and the lead agency for the overall Program. ODOT is co-lead for the overall Program. TriMet and C-TRAN will operate and maintain the new LRT extension between the Expo Center in Portland and Clark College in Vancouver. A summary description of the WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN organizations follow below.

## 2.1.1 WSDOT Organization

WSDOT is composed of 14 operating divisions to cover an array of the state's transportation needs. The following is a list of the WSDOT divisions:

- Strategic Planning & Finance
- Administration
- Audit Office
- Engineering and Regional Operations
- Highways and Local Programs
- Public Transportation
- Aviation
- Freight Systems
- Governmental Relations
- Communications
- Ombudsman
- Washington State Ferries
- Equal Opportunity Office
- Washington State Office of the Attorney General (AGO)

A description of the responsibilities of these divisions, potential role on the Program, and WSDOT and Southwest Region organizational charts are detailed in Section 3 of Appendix xx - Technical Capacity and Capability Plan.

## 2.1.2 ODOT Organization

ODOT is composed of ten operating divisions to cover an array of the state's transportation needs. The following is a list of the ODOT divisions:

- Central Services
- Communications
- Department of Motor Vehicle (DMV) Services
- Highway
- Motor Carrier
- Public Transit
- Rail
- Transportation Development Division (TDD)
- Transportation Safety

A description of the responsibilities of these divisions, potential role on the Program, and ODOT and Region 1 organizational charts are detailed in Section 3 of Appendix xx - Technical Capacity and Capability Plan.

#### 2.1.3 TriMet Organization

TriMet is organized into six divisions, as shown below. An executive director manages each division and reports to the General Manager. The following is a list of the six divisions:

- Office of the General Manager
- Communications and Technology
- Finance and Administration
- Operations
- Capital Projects and Facilities
- General Counsel/Human Resources

A description of the Capital Projects and Facilities division responsible for the planning, design, and construction of LRT projects and organizational charts are is detailed in Section 3 of Appendix xx - Technical Capacity and Capability Plan.

#### 2.1.4 C-TRAN Organization

C-TRAN is organized into four divisions, as shown below. A director manages each division and reports to the Executive Director. The following is a list of the four divisions:

- Operations
- Maintenance and Technology
- Development and Public Affairs
- Administrative Services

A description of the Development and Public Affairs division responsible for LRT planning and design and organizational charts are detailed in Section 3 of Appendix xx - Technical Capacity and Capability Plan.

## 2.2 Agency Responsibility

Key Agency responsibilities described below recognize: (1) WSDOT and ODOT are co-leads, (2) WSDOT is the grantee for transit grants, (3) TriMet and C-TRAN will operate and maintain the new LRT extension, and (4) TriMet brings extensive FTA New Starts planning and implementation experience.

#### 2.2.1 WSDOT - Key responsibilities:

- Lead agency, providing overall management of preparation of the NEPA document and final design and construction of the Main Bridge Elements, Bridge Approach Elements (Highway) in Washington, and Transit Elements in Oregon and Washington.
- Providing overall management of the FTA grant and overall responsibility for design and construction of the transit elements.
- Together with ODOT, managing the CRC environmental compliance
- Establishing highway toll rates with ODOT; sharing in toll revenues.
- Executing design and construction phase-contracts with A&E consultants to assist in design and construction management of the CRC Program.
- Executing construction contracts for the Main Bridge Elements, Bridge Approach Elements (Highway) and Transit Elements in Washington.
- Together with ODOT performing construction administration of the Main Bridge Elements, and Bridge Approach Elements (Highway) in Washington and in Oregon.
- Together with TriMet performing construction administration of the Transit Elements in Washington and in Oregon.

2.2.2 ODOT- Key responsibilities:

- Co-lead agency, providing day-to-day management of preparation of the NEPA document and management assistance in the design of the Bridge Approach Elements (highway) in Oregon.
- Together with WSDOT, managing the CRC environmental compliance.
- Establishing highway toll rates with WSDOT; sharing in toll revenues.
- Executing construction contracts for the Bridge Approach Elements (highway) in Oregon.
- Together with WSDOT performing construction administration of the Main Bridge Elements, and Bridge Approach Elements (Highway) in Washington and in Oregon.

## 2.2.3 TriMet - Key responsibilities:

- Sub-recipient under WSDOT (grantee). Advising WSDOT on grantee requirements for FTA New Starts Application and Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) process.
- Together with C-TRAN, providing day-to-day management of design development of the transit elements.
- Together with C-TRAN, determining maintenance and operations requirements of the transit elements.
- Executing construction contracts for the transit work in Oregon.
- Together with WSDOT performing construction administration of the Transit Elements in Washington and in Oregon.

#### 2.2.4 C-TRAN - Key responsibilities:

- Together with TriMet, day-to-day management of design development of the transit elements.
- Together with TriMet, determining maintenance and operations requirements of the transit elements.

## 2.3 Management Approach

The overall success of the CRC Program will rely on WSDOT's and ODOT's highway design, contracting, and construction experience. It will also rely on leveraging TriMet's extensive experience in LRT planning, design and construction. Listed below are key management principles for the CRC Program:

- The CRC Program will be funded, managed and constructed as a single, multi-modal Program.
- All material decisions regarding the funding, design, construction and operation of the CRC Program will require mutual agreement of the DOTs.

- WSDOT and ODOT staff supported by TriMet and C-TRAN staff will have total
  management involvement and retain control over all aspects and phases of the work. This
  includes self-performing the management functions of the Program, including, but not
  limited to, project controls, safety and security, procurement, financial management, real
  property acquisition management, design management, construction management, and
  operations of the LRT system.
- Overall policy direction for the CRC Program will be provided by an Executive Management Team comprised of Senior/executive level principals from WSDOT and ODOT.
- Overall management of the CRC Program will be provided by a Director from WSDOT and a Deputy Director from ODOT who will be responsible for developing a program that achieves the CRC's goals and objectives.
- Day-to-day management responsibilities of plans production and construction administration will reside with functional managers from WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN responsible for transit, structures and highway engineering, environmental permitting and mitigation monitoring, construction administration, project controls, and communications outreach.
- TriMet will advise WSDOT on grantee requirements for FTA New Starts Application and Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) process.
- Consultants will act as an extension of WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN staff. They will jointly locate with WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN staff in the project office.
- A Project Sponsors Council is charged by the governors of Oregon and Washington with advising the project. The Project Sponsors Council is composed of representatives from WSDOT and ODOT, and elected officials from the cities of Portland and Vancouver, Metro, RTC, TriMet, and C-TRAN, as well as two citizens who serve as co-chairs for the group.
- WSDOT and ODOT staff will manage the design and construction of the Main Bridge Elements and Bridge Approach Elements (Highway) improvements. TriMet and C-TRAN will manage the design of the Transit improvements. WSDOT and TriMet staff will manage the construction of the Transit improvements in both states. Responsibilities for executing procurement contracts are described in Chapter 8 - Program Delivery And Procurement.
- CRC's Project Controls is responsible for developing and maintaining all scheduling and cost estimating reviews. Business Services is responsible for all budgeting, cost tracking, and reporting.
- Document control procedures will be established and strictly observed by all WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet, C-TRAN and consultant staff working on the project.

The following describes the compositions and interrelationship of the several high management and advisory groups that the CRC Team relies upon support, approval and input:

- Executive Management Team: The Executive Management Team is an internal group composed of WSDOT and ODOT top management, including the ODOT Director and the WSDOT Secretary of Transportation. Its focus is providing overall policy and management guidance to the CRC Team on policy and strategy to accomplish Program's goals and objectives. The Executive Management Team defines the Program position on matters of importance that is destined for other groups such as the Project Sponsors Council. Appendix XX Technical Capacity and Capability Plan describes the composition and responsibilities of the Executive Management Group.
- **Project Sponsors Council**: The Project Sponsors Council (PSC) is the key external advisory committee for the Program. The PSC is composed of two top representatives each from the WSDOT and ODOT, and elected officials from the cities of Portland and Vancouver, Metro, RTC, TriMet, and C-TRAN, as well as two citizens who serve as co-chairs for the group. The PSC meets on an as needed basis. The PSC is charged by the governors of Oregon and Washington with advising the Program on issues of regional significance, including:
  - o Completion of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
  - Project design, including but not limited to: examining ways to provide an efficient solution that meets safety, transportation, and environmental goals.
  - o Timelines associated with the program's development.
  - Ensuring the Program is consistent with Oregon's and Washington's statutory reduction goals for greenhouse gas emissions.
  - o A finance plan that balances revenue generation and demand management.
- Integrated Project Sponsor Council Staff: PSC members and the Port of Portland and Port of Vancouver each appointed a staff delegate to meet on a regular basis as the Integrated Project Sponsors Council Staff (IPS) to discuss and resolve outstanding Program issues timely in a collaborative manner. They are charged with:
  - Coordination and communication of all policy and Program issues which may affect the agencies.
  - Make recommendations to the Project Sponsors Council on issues of regional significance.
  - o Work with the CRC Team at resolving technical issues
- Working Groups: The Working Groups are external advisory groups established to address specific issues and to provide a forum for open exchange of ideas from a broad prospective with emphasis on context sensitive solutions. They include specialists from partnering agencies, project staff as well as other organizations. The groups are facilitated by consultant staff with ODOT, WSDOT, TriMet and C-TRAN staff providing oversight

and communication. Information and recommendations to and from each group are communicated to other affected areas of the project by project staff assigned to each group. Most working groups meet regularly on a monthly, bi-weekly, or as needed basis. Current working groups are listed below.

- o Freight Working Group (FWG)
- Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Committee (PBAC)
- Urban Design Advisory Group (UDAG)
- o Vancouver Transit Advisory Committee (VTAC)
- o Portland Working Group (PWG)

## 2.4 Interface with Partnering Federal Agencies

The success of the CRC Program requires close partnering between the two state DOT's and the two co-lead federal oversight agencies, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Agency (FTA). The individual responsibilities of the co-lead federal agencies are listed below.

#### 2.4.1 Federal Transit Agency (FTA)

- Responsibilities:
  - o Oversight for Transit planning, preliminary and final design, and construction
  - Together with FWHA, oversight and approval for CRC environmental compliance

#### Project Management Oversight Contractor (PMOC)

FTA utilizes transit industry consultants to assist in monitoring grantee compliance with all applicable FTA requirements. The PMOC reports directly to the FTA on project progress and issues and serves as an extension of FTA staff. Typically, the PMOC conducts monthly on-site reviews, with other subject-specific reviews (Spot Reports) taking place as needed. The PMOC is expected to focus its assessment on scope, schedule, cost, and Grantee technical capacity and capability, with an emphasis on risk identification and mitigation. The PMOC consultant also participates in quarterly review meetings held with FTA. The PMOC conducts its work in accordance with the FTA's Project Management Oversight Operating Guidances (PGs).

Quarterly Reviews

Each quarter, the FTA and the PMOC will conduct a project review. Appropriate senior members of the CRC Team, are responsible for organizing the meetings, preparing the agenda with input from FTA and PMOC, and preparing meeting materials. At the meetings, CRC Project staff will present a review of the progress of the preceding quarter and the activities planned for the upcoming quarter. Discussions from the quarterly review are recorded in minutes of the meeting prepared by PMOC

and distributed to all parties. Consistent with FTA requirements, CRC's Project Controls will prepare and submit quarterly electronic reports on Program activities, budget, and schedule in the Transportation Electronic Award Management (TEAM) system.

#### 2.4.2 Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)

#### Responsibilities:

- Oversight for the Highway planning, preliminary and final design, and construction
- o Together with FTA, oversight and approval for CRC environmental compliance

#### • FHWA Mega-Project:

FHWA and the two DOT's have longstanding relationships that span the entire spectrum of project development and implementation from planning, to construction, and to maintaining roadway facilities. FHWA maintains an oversight role but has delegated the stewardship for development and maintenance of the interstate system to the respective DOTs. Typically, a regional FHWA Area Engineer provides oversight and approval for projects either scoped on the interstate system and/or state and local projects with an allocation of federal funding. Due to the size of the CRC Project, FHWA has assigned a Major Projects Manager dedicated full time to the CRC who is co-located in the CRC project office to facilitate daily coordination, including attending regular staff meetings. Additional details regarding roles and responsibilities between FHWA and state DOTs is found in the FHWA Stewardship and Oversight Agreement (Feb. 19, 2008).

#### FHWA Reviews

As the Program advances into final design, FHWA will establish a formal schedule of reviews. FHWA will review and provide comments on all environmental documentation, MOA/Us, Agreements, and design criteria. A structured three-tiered design review is anticipated with FHWA approval at each tier. This design review process is as follows:

- Design Approval/Design Acceptance: (~30% design) for scope, schedule, budget, geometric design, known deviations/exceptions, and intersection and interchange plans.
- Project Development Approval: (~60% design) for scope, schedule, budget design documentation, advanced roadway design, and review of current design decisions.
- Final Plan, Specification, and Estimate (PS&E) Approval: for concurrence on final plans, provisions, and estimate.

Additionally, FHWA has requirements on best project management practices that the CRC Program will need to meet, including:

- 0 Preparing and implementing a Project Management Plan
  - ✓ Required by SAFETEA-LU
  - ✓ Guidance is found at <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/programadmin/mega/</u>
- o Preparing and implementing a Financial Management Plan
  - ✓ Required by SAFETEA-LU
  - ✓ Guidance is found at <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/programadmin/mega/</u>
- o Conducting Value Engineering Studies

## 2.5 Interface with Local Partners

The foundation of the CRC Program is a partnership between the two DOT's and the Portland-Vancouver local jurisdictions comprised of the cities of Portland and Vancouver, the transit agencies, TriMet and C-TRAN, and the two metropolitan planning organizations (Metro and RTC). Key responsibilities of TriMet and C-TRAN were described earlier under Section 2.2. Key responsibilities of the cities of Portland and Vancouver, Metro and RTC are as follows:

#### 2.5.1 City of Portland

- Provide input and guidance related to local facility design in Portland
- Concurrence and approval of design elements affecting their jurisdiction
- Provide input and guidance for local coordination and community involvement in Portland
- Provide input for transit planning in Portland

## 2.5.3 City of Vancouver

- Provide input and guidance related to local facility design in Vancouver
- Concurrence and approval of design elements affecting their jurisdiction
- Provide input and guidance for local coordination and community involvement in Vancouver
- Provide input for transit planning in Vancouver

#### 2.5.3 RTC

- Together with Metro, provide oversight and concurrence for traffic modeling and travel demand forecasts
- Amending the RTC Metropolitan Transportation Plan to include the Locally Preferred Alternative

#### 2.5.4 Metro

- Together with RTC, provide oversight and concurrence for traffic modeling and travel demand forecasts
- Amending the Metro 2035 Regional Transportation Plan, Appendix 1.1, Financially Constrained System, to include the Locally Preferred Alternative

## 2.6 Program Organization during Final Design and Construction

The Program organization discussed below provides for the DOTs to jointly select one Director from WSDOT and one Deputy Director from ODOT who will be responsible to both DOTs for implementation of the Program to approved scope and budget by the DOTs. The CRC Director and the Deputy Director will report to the Executive Management Team (as described above in Section 2.1) and coordinate the Program with local jurisdictional partners. The Program organization provides for key managers who are responsible for all day-to-day engineering, administration, project controls, environmental, construction and community outreach.

The management of final design and construction of the Program will rely heavily on integrating WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN staff experience and best practices to deliver multimodal highway and transit designs that meet FHWA and FTA requirements and the Program goals and objectives. With the exception of the final design of certain highway element, WSDOT and ODOT will generally not self perform design or construction of transit and highway elements; rather, these functions will be performed by consultants and contractors, respectively, and managed by WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN Managers, under the supervision of the CRC Director and the Deputy Director. The number of agency and consultant staff engaged in the project will vary with the phase in progress, the specialties required for that phase, and the selected delivery method (i.e. traditional Design-Bid-Build, Design/Build, General Contractor / Construction Manager). A summary of the Program organizational chart by function is shown on Figure 2-1.

This page left blank intentionally.

Figure 2-1. CRC Program Organizational Chart by Function

UNDER DEVELOPMENT



This page left blank intentionally.



## 2.6.1 Responsibilities – Key Team Members

As presented in Figure 2-1, the organizational structure of the Program includes an Executive Management Team, one Director (from WSDOT), one Deputy Director (from ODOT), and Integrated Project Sponsor Council Staff from Sponsor Agencies (TriMet, C-TRAN, City of Portland, City of Vancouver, Metro, and RTC) who advise the CRC Team on project issues which may affect the agencies.

The CRC Director and Deputy Director are supported by the following Management Team members: Program Manager, Transit Manager, Environmental Manager, Business Services Manager, Delivery Manager, Specialty Services Manager, Finance Manager, and Communications Outreach Manager. The Management Team is supported by discipline managers that manage technical staff from WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and/or C-TRAN assisted by consultant staff with expertise in developing and executing large, complex multi-modal public projects. The discipline managers provide day-to-day project leadership to project staff and are responsible for project development.

Key responsibilities of the CRC Executive Management Team and the CRC Management Team members are summarized below.

#### • Executive Management Team:

- o Providing policy and management guidance to the CRC Team
- o Defining the CRC Program position on matters of importance

## CRC Administrator:

- Developing a program which attains the design and construction objectives of the DOTs.
- Keeping each DOT fully informed of all material design issues, and all material decisions are properly approved by both DOTs. Obligate WSDOT and ODOT resources.
- Ensuring the transit-related design and construction objectives are achieved, and C-TRAN and TriMet are kept fully informed of all material transit design and construction issues, and all material transit decisions are approved by both transit agencies.
- o Achieving the CRC Program goals, objectives, and schedule milestones.
- Coordinating and communicate policy and technical issues to partnering local jurisdictions, and obtaining approvals where required.
- o Providing effective communication to the Executive Management Team.
- Representing WSDOT and ODOT, respectively to outside agencies and interests including federal, state, and local governments. Serve as agency representative on the Project Sponsors Council.

 Developing the team organization presented on Figure 2.1; Project staffing; definition of project scopes; consultant selection; and contractor procurement.

#### Deputy Director

- In collaboration with the CRC Administrator developing a program which attains the design and construction objectives of the DOTs.
- Keeping each DOT informed of all material design issues, and all material decisions are properly approved by both DOTs.
- Responsible for developing and implementing a government relations and strategic communications strategy to support achieving the Program goals, objectives, and schedule milestones.
- In collaboration with the CRC Administrator developing the team organization presented on Figure 2-1; project staffing; definition of project scopes; consultant selection; and contractor procurement.
- Making all approvals required of ODOT throughout Final Design and Construction phases.
- o Providing effective communication to the Executive Management Team.
- o Ensuring applicable ODOT procedures and protocols are followed.

#### • Program Manager:

- Providing leadership to the Structures and Highway Design teams, Project Controls, and Business Services including consultant staff.
- Monitoring work progress; ensuring change management procedures are implemented; and ensuring open communications among the team's functional managers. Prioritize agency resources.
- Assisting the CRC Administrator in: developing the team organization presented on Figure 2-1; project staffing; definition of project scopes; consultant selection; and contractor procurement.
- o Achieving the CRC's goals, objectives, and schedule milestones.

#### • Transit Manager:

- Providing leadership and day-to-day coordination and management of the Transit Team completing the FEIS, the FTA New Starts/PE application, Final Design, and construction documents. Monitors work plans for all Transit Engineering activities to ensure performance to approved scope, schedule and budget.
- Assisting the CRC Director in: definition of transit project scopes and their implementation; project staffing; consultant selection; and contractor procurement.

- Overseeing the development and implementation of the CRC's Safety and Security Management Plan including the tracking of all hazards from identification through resolution.
- Overseeing the preparation of procurement documents for transit project packages to applicable design criteria, standards and policies for WSDOT, TriMet, C-TRAN, cities of Portland and Vancouver. Support the delivery schedule for letting construction contracts.
- Ensuring qualified LRT operations and maintenance personnel are trained and have the resources needed prior to taking maintenance and operational responsibility of the new CRC facilities and line.
- o Serving as liaison with the two transit agencies.
- Coordinating and presenting transit design and cost estimates to project stakeholders, FHWA, FTA and the public.
- o Implementation of the QC Plan.

## • Specialty Services Manager:

- Providing leadership and management to the Right-of-Way (ROW) team responsible for ROW appraisals and acquisition in both states, Monitors work plans to ensure performance to approved schedule.
- Providing leadership and day-to-day management of the Agreements/IGA and Utilities team activities to ensure performance to approved schedule.
- Overseeing the preparation and implementation of the Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan to Program schedule.

#### • Finance Manager

- Providing leadership and guidance to the Financial and Institutional Structures Team developing the CRC Program's Finance Plan.
- Tolling coordination with WSDOT's Toll Division to integrate tolled facility cash flow forecasts including alternative toll rate structures into the Finance Plan.
- Monitoring work plans for all Finance Team activities to ensure performance to approved scope, schedule and budget.
- Environmental Manager
  - Overseeing the environmental Team, including development of the FEIS, ROD and supporting technical documents.
  - Overseeing the environmental staffing, budget, and workload planning. Managing Environmental activities to approved scope, schedule and budget.

- Overseeing preparation of environmental permit applications and negotiations with regulatory agencies.
- Maintains the Mitigation Measures matrix and manages compliance with commitments in the NEPA documents and federal, state, and local environmental permits.

#### • Communications Outreach Manager:

- Directing external outreach efforts for the Program including community relations effort focused on residents, businesses, and neighborhoods along the alignment.
- Monitors work plans for all Communications Outreach activities to ensure performance to approved scope, schedule and budget
- Coordinating participation by special interest groups in final design activities and staffing advisory groups.

#### • CRC Delivery Manager

- Overseeing the preparation of procurement documents for Design-Build project packages in compliance with applicable state and federal (FHWA/FTA) procurement laws and policies. Ensures performance to approved bid let schedule milestones.
- Providing leadership and directing the activities of the CRC Construction Administration Team overseeing the construction of the Transit and Highway components of the CRC Program.
- Overseeing the safety, quality, cost, schedule, scope, and day-to-day administration of construction contracts.
- Providing leadership to staff preparing and implementing the Project Implementation (procurement) Plan defining project packaging, delivery methods and procurement strategy to meet the Program performance goals.
- Monitoring compliance with AA/EEO and DBE contract requirements, construction claim action, and contract close out.
- Facilitating field communication between the design team and construction staff to enhance the attainment of facility and environmental project commitments.
- Assisting the CRC Administrator in: construction project staffing, consultant selection, and contractor procurement.
- During the design phase, participate in developing specifications and special provisions for project contracts; respond to questions during the bid ad period; conduct and participate in pre-bid meetings.

A detailed organizational chart including roles and responsibilities of key Project staff can be found in Appendix XX – Technical Capacity and Capability Plan.

#### 2.6.2 Use of Consultants

WSDOT and ODOT will develop and construct the CRC Program with an integrated team composed of agency staff and consultants. Consultants are an extension of agency staff providing critical support and performing functions as follows:

- For specialized expertise.
- For short-term staff augmentation to assist staff during peak work periods.
- Events and conditions where the CRC Program may benefit from outside perspective and objectivity.
- Smaller consulting contracts for specialized services during various stages.
- Legal/claims support, environmental, consulting, materials testing, operations planning, real estate acquisition support, computer, support, partnering, safety and security, and public relations, among others.
- For long-term design services in support of major Program elements (e.g. transit elements), which can be more efficiently performed by outside services. This could include the following areas:
  - Civil Engineering provide design services in the areas of civil and structural facilities, trackwork, utilities, architecture, and landscaping. These contracts also include design services during construction.
  - Systems Engineering provide design services in the areas of operations facility revisions, electrification system, train signal system, train-to-central control communications system, LRVs, and fare collection system. These contracts also include systems design services during construction.
  - Program Management provide assistance in risk assessment, procurement, scheduling, database development, and cost estimating during Final Design and Construction phases.
  - Construction Management Consulting provide staff augmentation, including resident engineering, office engineering, and inspection.

Preparation, negotiations and execution of Consultant agreements will follow the procedures outlined in the WSDOT Agreements Manual, M 22-99.01, November 2009. <u>...\Procedures -</u> WSDOT\Agreements Manual.pdf.

# 3 Management Control

## 3.1 Overview

The CRC Management Team shall execute the Program during the Final Design and Construction phases within clearly defined project parameters as well as manage individual work elements to approved scope, schedule and budget baselines.

Program Management will monitor progress against established scope, budget and schedule baselines, and managers will implement procedures that accurately document changes made during the design and construction phases. Program Management will prepare and disseminate status reports frequently to the CRC Management Team to allow timely and pro-active response to project issues with potential impacts to approved scope, budget and schedule. The CRC's cost, schedule and scope objectives will be accomplished by instituting a framework for tracking the CRC's status as provided for with the Work Breakdown Structure, and implementation of procedures to control Program budget, scope and schedule supported by the Change Control management process described below.

The CRC Program will use best Project Control practices in compliance with WSDOT, ODOT and federal requirements. Management and administration procedures are based on those already in use at WSDOT and ODOT with new or revised procedures developed as required specifically for the CRC Program. Procedures in place will ensure compatibility with the framework of the Project Management Plan (PMP), effective project management and control, and clear distinctions between general WSDOT and ODOT procedures and CRC procedures.

## 3.2 **Project Control**

## 3.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The CRC Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) has been developed to provide consistent treatment of similar project costs and schedule tasks. The WBS established for the CRC Program is flexible in its ability to track all costs of the program and each project package described in Chapter 8 - Project Delivery and Procurement of this PMP while accommodating agreements, tasks, and subtasks, providing powerful reporting capabilities. The CRC WBS is deliverable-oriented and can be reported in any combination of the following nine levels.

The WBS is composed of nine levels. Levels 1, 2 and 3 as shown above are hierarchical and are dependent on one another. The final four levels are independent and are created to provide detail and reporting capabilities for the program, elements and projects. These levels are defined below:

- Level I (Program) The program is the entire CRC project and is a summary of all lower level WBS components. There is only one program level.
- Level II (Major Elements) Program elements include projects that are naturally grouped together and will likely be managed by similar or complimentary teams. These program elements include: Transit, Highway Or., Highway Wa., and Main River Crossing. All elements combine to form the entire program.
- Level III (Projects) Projects include individual work areas or packages that will likely have a designated project manager, budget and contracts. Each project can belong to only one element.

The following levels are detail levels that are not dependent on one another and can have multiple relationships. For example, all agreements can have multiple tasks and tasks can have multiple agreements. These levels of detail can be sorted and reported on in any combination.

 Level IV (Agreements) – This level includes identification of individual agreements and contracts. It can be reported on in any combination such as across the entire program, a major element or a project.

- Level V (Categories) Breakdown of all major areas of work associated with all projects so that every project can be viewed similarly. Categories include Sponsor Agency Staff, Consultant Staff, Environmental Analysis, Permits, Survey, Commissioning, Testing, Insurance, Design, Construction, Contingency, and ROW etc.
- Level VI (Standard Costs Categories (SCC) or Subtasks) SCC Codes or subtasks are activities that further delineate the major categories of work. In many cases, there are multiple SCC categories in relationship to one Category. Examples would include a roll up of all Construction would necessitate multiple SCC categories. SCC Codes are mandated by FTA. For purposes of the current phase of work, all activities of the project fall within one SCC code 0.80 Professional Services.
- Level VII (Responsibility) This is a delineation of responsibility associated with each individual SCC codes or subtasks by who is responsible for the work irrespective of what agreement or contract that it falls under. Examples would include WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet, C-TRAN, and consultants or contractors.
- Level VIII (Scope) This is to differentiate between direct expenditures to the project such as travel and labor costs.
- Level IX (Extension) This level provides the ability to differentiate even further for additional flexibility without effecting reporting.

All expenditures, budgets, and commitments will be entered based on all of the above described WBS structure. All contracts, including construction, will track all potential change orders (PCOs) or project risks or pressures to the Program.

#### 3.2.2 Maintenance of WBS

The WBS represents the organization of the Program and any changes to WBS require the approval of the Program Manager. This would likely require system and process changes so the implementation of any change should ultimately be used to best reflect the direction and structure of the project as it evolves. Changes will be minimized to prevent duplication and rework. Implementation of any WBS approved changes will be performed by the Business Services staff.

## 3.3 Budget and Cost Control

Appendix XX - Project Implementation Plan describes the project packaging strategy for the CRC Program. It divides the program into separate and distinct project packages. At the start of Final Design, a budget will be established for each project package. The budget is established based on engineers' cost estimate and schedulers' forecast durations developed at the end of the preliminary engineering (PE) phase to account for the effects of inflation and potential interim finance cost. Subsequently, all changes from approved baselines that impact scope, budget and schedule will follow the Change Control procedures discussed in section 3.6 of this PMP.

The Business Services Manager is responsible for ensuring that baseline documentation is available for the approved program and project package scope, and matching it to a baseline budget that coordinates with the program and project WBS, including any budget transfers between project packages. The Business Services Manager is responsible for tracking and reporting on the status of the budget and costs. The Highway, Structures and Transit Engineering Managers are responsible for initiating and justifying any changes to the budget as a result of design changes during the Final Design phase, the CRC Delivery Manager is responsible for tracking and justifying budget changes during the Construction phase, and the Business Services Manager is responsible for tracking all changes to the budget at the project package and program levels.

#### 3.3.1 Cost Control Process

The budget for the CRC's transit elements is established in the FTA Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) and WSDOT's Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and is based on the PE work. The budget for the CRC's Highway elements (both roadway and structures) is established in WSDOT's and ODOT's Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), respectively.

The objective is to conduct Final Design for each project package to the approved scope, budget and schedule. The budget for each project package will be closely tracked and adjusted based on actual design progress that recognizes increased definition of design elements and associated risks. The tracking of project packages against established aggregate PE level budgets allows early identification of design elements with cost variances at the project package level, mitigate issues before they cause significant risks, and keep the CRC Program within the overall FFGA and STIP budgets. The CRC's cost tracking system will effectively track during the Final Design phase the preparation of cost estimates at 60%, 90%, and 100% design levels for each project package, report all cost details and any variance from established budgets.

The CRC's cost tracking system will be updated during the Construction phase after construction bids are received, and actual bid items and costs tracked against the authorized budget for each executed construction contract so that cost variances can be identified and corrective action taken to keep each contract within authorized budget. The cost tracking of executed construction contracts will include monitoring of progress payments to contractors, executed construction change orders (CO's) and any associated potential cost pressures that could result in CO's for individual project packages, consistent with Section 3.6.4.2 - Construction Change Control. The cost tracking system will track the cumulative effect of construction changes at the Program level.

## 3.3.2 Cost Tracking System

The CRC Program uses Prolog for its cost tracking software. Prolog operates on CRC's local area network and is a Sequel-based database application. The purpose of the cost tracking system is to provide timely information at a sufficient level of detail to accurately track:

#### 3.3.2.1 Budget

All budget amounts will be tracked by WBS/SCC coding in a manner that complies with all cost category requirements as defined by FTA and FHWA. Information stored against each WBS and SCC will include the baseline engineering estimate and changes of scope or budget transfers as they occur. A second level of budget information will be entered against individual project
packages as procurement contracts are developed and committed. Pay items making up a contract are each assigned to a specific WBS/ SCC element and allocated a portion of that WBS/ SCC budget. A summary of the Program's cost breakdown in WBS/ SCC code is included in Appendix A - Work Breakdown Structure Hierarchy and Program Cost Breakdown. The following levels of budget will be tracked at the Program and project package levels:

# Original Budget

The original budget will be the approved budget as specified by the Oregon and Washington State Legislatures and the Full Funding Grant Agreement.

#### • Approved Budget Changes

Budget changes are those changes to the original budget or scope that have been approved by the CRC Director.

#### Current Budget

The current budget equals the original budget that was established combined with all approved budget amendments.

## • Proposed Budget Revisions

Proposed budget revisions are those amounts that have been proposed and have not yet been approved.

### Proposed Budget

Proposed budget includes current budget plus or minus any proposed budget revisions.

### Budget Variances

Budget variances are the differences between the current or approved budget and what is needed to complete the work.

### Commitments

Commitments are equal to the total encumbrances up to the current period. While committed costs generally represent contracts, they can also be Purchase Orders, Work Orders, payments made to employees, and others. In order to be a committed amount, it must be an actual obligation for payment of the services or goods to be provided or the amount must have been paid as is the case with DOT payroll and miscellaneous expenditures. Commitments are entered into the system using the WBS (including SCC coding) and funding sources, where known. Contracts will include contract number, description of the contract, the contract manager where applicable, and all dates and changes to the contract.

#### Uncommitted Amounts

Uncommitted amounts are those amounts that have been set aside in the budget but have not yet been task ordered or given specific notice to proceed on the work. An example includes a consultant base contract where some portion of it does not reside in a task order and therefore there is no authorization to charge against that amount.

#### Actual Costs

Actual costs to the project are tracked by WBS (including SCC coding and Funding codes, where applicable). Actual costs include all progress payments to consultants and contractors. Actual costs will be tracked both by period and cumulative to date.

## • Estimate at Completion

The Estimate at Completion (EAC) is the forecast of the cost at the end of the work. If applicable, pending changes are added to the system each reporting period so that it always reflects current information and progress to date. At the end of the work, the EAC equals the actual cost. The Project Controls Manager is responsible for preparing estimates of total expenditures through completion of the project with input from the Highway, Structures, Transit and Construction Managers. Estimate at Completion includes all contracts, change orders (COs), anticipated change orders, and planned contingency amounts.

### • Estimate to Completion

The Estimate to Complete (ETC) amount is the forecast of the cost remaining before the work is complete. It is defined as the difference between the EAC and the Total Committed Cost (TCC). The ETC value reflects the amount of Purchase Orders, contracts, etc. not yet placed.

### Forecasted Project Risks

Forecasted risks include estimates of pressures or potential budget and schedule impacts that have been identified that are not included in the budget and their anticipated outcome is still uncertain.

## • Funding Sources

The tracking of funding sources includes the name of the funding partner and the percentage or dollar amount of participation in each specific agreement. In addition to tracking the sources, the Project Controls Manager is responsible for tracking any known changes to the sources as well as how much has been drawn down on the source and when.

The cost tracking system provides the ability to enter, view, access, and distribute information in a manner that is conducive to the uniform understanding of the scope by stakeholders at differing levels, while also providing the ability to provide accountability on outstanding and underperforming elements of work through the current reporting period. The current reporting period includes all information entered into the database up to the date that the reports have been run.

The Project Controls Manager is responsible for all data entry of funding-related information, ensuring that appropriate accounting and project control procedures are followed.

#### 3.3.2.2 Budget Transfer Procedure

The CRC Program will be governed by the following guidelines for administration of budget and contingency transfers between project packages during Final Design, Construction and Start-up phases.

The CRC Director shall approve all budget transfers between contingency and individual project packages described in Chapter 8 - Program Delivery And Procurement of this PMP.

Budget transfers between line items within individual project packages may be requested by the Structures, Transit, Highway or Delivery managers and made with the approval of the CRC Director.

During Final Design, budgets (including contingency) will be established for each project package. Subsequently, all budget changes between project packages – or between project packages and contingency – shall be supported by written documentation approved by the CRC Director.

### 3.3.2.3 Contingency Planning and Management

A PE-level contingency management plan was submitted in the PE application along with the first version of the Project Management Plan. During Final Design, Construction and Start-up phases.......[Roger Kitchin is drafting this section]

### 3.3.3 Cost Allocation Plan

In accordance with 2 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 225 and FTA Circular 5010.1D, Grantees who intend to seek FTA reimbursement for indirect costs must prepare a Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) and/or Indirect Cost Rate Proposal. All costs in the plan must be supported by formal accounting records to substantiate the propriety of eventual charges.

CRC Project Controls will prepare an indirect cost plan to distribute central service (finance and human resource), project management, and administration costs of grantee support. The plan facilitates the consistent and fair distribution of the CRC's share of common costs to the project's account(s). CRC Project Controls is responsible for annual updates. Any plan changes that exceed the amounts and rate approved for the previous year(s) by more than 20 percent or a change in the Grantee's accounting system, thereby affecting the previously approved CAP/Indirect Cost Rate Proposal and its basis of application will also require resubmitting an updated plan for FTA approval. Costs commonly included in a cost allocation plan include:

- Indirect Materials and Services Costs incurred by CRC that are determined to be allowable under the guidelines of 2 CFR Part 225, allocated per FTA Circular 5010.1D will be considered joint costs.
- **Direct Personnel** Personnel costs reflect the positions identified in the organizational chart and position descriptions in the PMP (and associated sub plan Technical Capacity and Capabilities Plan under Appendix XX), and direct time charges from transit agencies. Costs include base salary and fringe benefits. These costs are usually recorded via employee timesheets or other time record.

• **Direct Materials and Services** – Costs that are determined to be allowable under the guidelines of 2 CFR Part 225 and that specifically benefit the LRT will be recorded as direct costs.

### 3.3.4 Invoices and Accounting

The initial receiving point for all CRC Program invoices is the Sr. Administrative Assistant at the CRC office that opens and date stamps the original invoice and enters it into the incoming invoice log.

The Contracts Technician under the supervision of the Budget Manager reviews the invoices to check for compliance with budget and procurement requirements and for assignment or confirmation of WBS categorization. Each invoice is then routed to the appropriate Task Manager(s) for verification of work and approval. The Business Manager reviews the payment voucher and delivers it to the Project Controls Manager or CRC Director for approval/signature of the invoice and payment voucher per the limits of their respective execution authority. Project Control enters the payment in Prolog cost tracking system.

Project Controls will provide information to WSDOT Program Management detailing payments and amounts of all dispersed funds as directed. This process allows reconciliation of requests for payment from Prolog with actual disbursement of funds.

Detailed records of work performed are kept, and cost trends and overall contract progress are reviewed with the task managers. The Project Controls Manager is responsible to assure that invoicing costs conform to the applicable federal regulations. Procedure 3.3.4 - Consultant Invoice Review/Payment describes in detail the review, approval and signature process of consultant invoices for work completed.

### 3.3.5 Reporting and Cost Forecasting

The CRC Program utilizes formalized ongoing reporting for the purpose of communicating activities performed during a performance period, describe problems encountered and the corrective actions planned and taken while also previewing the plan for the next period. The principal tool for communicating this progress is the monthly report. This report includes cost and schedule information, performance details, problems and issues as well as proposed and approved changes to Program plan.

The CRC Program currently has a standard set of project reports developed for specific groups and will develop others as required by the various funding agencies. These reports will be prepared monthly and where applicable will reference the approved FFGA benchmarks, including subsequent FTA-approved changes to provide a basis for evaluating the reported information.

Cost reports may include detailed line item reports as well as various levels of summary reports. Schedule reports include project status, schedule conflicts, critical path as well as changes and delays. As the need arises, Program Management will produce special studies and analyses of particular situations. The format and distribution of such reports will be tailored to the specific needs of the situation. The reports will provide a consistent basis for evaluating progress and will allow managers to focus on exceptional events or negative trends.

# 3.4 Scope Tracking

Scope tracking for the CRC Program will encompass the following elements:

- A clear listing of measurable, comprehensive, and definitive tasks will be created for each phase of the Program.
- The required tasks will be developed from the written project scope into an understandable format through the use of the CRC WBS.
- Project deliverables that are products of the tasks will be identified as benchmarks in the schedule and monitored very closely for slippage.
- Modifications to the baseline scope will be identified as changes consistent with accepted change standards, followed by re-establishing the baseline as needed for future reporting.

Any change which could affect or potentially change the project scope and WBS is managed through the change control process described below.

# 3.5 Schedule Control

Schedule control is the responsibility of Project Controls with input from all engineering (transit, structures and highway), environmental and construction disciplines. Schedule management will be accomplished through the use of several levels of schedules, a change control process, and a comprehensive monitoring and reporting system.

CRC's Project Scheduler has primary responsibility for schedule development and updates. He reports to the Project Controls Manager. All schedule updates including updates to the schedule's basis and assumptions (B&A's) shall be reviewed and approved by the Transit, Structures and Highway managers before publishing the updated schedule.

Schedule management for all phases of project development and during construction, will maintain the following elements:

- A well-defined project scope or WBS which forms the backbone for schedule development and the key to effective schedule management/control.
- A planning process beginning with the development of the initial or baseline schedule with clearly delineated B&A's.
- A process of obtaining and accepting revisions to the baseline schedule and B&A's including establishment of regular periodic updates.

Each successive schedule level represents a higher level of detail and each lower level will automatically "roll-up" and support (through ever-increasing levels of detail) to the next higher level.

# 3.5.1 Master Summary Schedule

This is a single-page summary of the Program t schedule for use by the CRC Executive Management Team and at public meetings including Project Sponsors Council and Advisory Group meetings. The Master Summary Schedule is included as Figure xx below. The development and maintenance of the Master Summary Schedule is the responsibility of the Project Scheduler. Error! Unknown document property name. Error! Unknown document property name. 17

Figure XX - Master Summary Schedule

### 3.5.2 Master Project Schedule

The Master Project Schedule encompasses the entire CRC Program. This schedule is an evolving document and is revised monthly to reflect the most current scope and organization of the Program. The Critical Path Method (CPM) master project schedule includes all major milestones and interrelationships among activities within the prime contract, with coordination activities with other entities and or contracts identified. The maintenance of the Master Project Schedule is the responsibility of the Project Scheduler. The Master Project Schedule includes Preconstruction activities such as PE, Final Design for Transit and Highways, Utilities, Agreements, Environmental, ROW, Advertise/bid/award, contract ad and award leading up to Design Build construction. Appendix XX - Baseline Schedule includes a detailed description of the schedule.

# 3.5.3 Schedule Coordination, Updates, and Revisions

The goal of the monthly Schedule Update process is to reflect the most accurate information available of the progress achieved by all levels (engineering and construction) involved in the Program, and to demonstrate the impact of this progress as compared to the overall Master Schedule. The Project Scheduler has the primary responsibility for coordinating and preparing monthly Project Schedule status information. The Transit, Structures and Highway managers shall review and approve all Final Design phase schedule updates and revisions. The Project Scheduler will review schedule updates received from contractors and incorporate this information into Project schedule updates after approval by the CRC Delivery Manager.

The Project Scheduler is responsible to ensure that various schedules from CRC's engineering, environmental and construction teams "dovetail" accurately into the CRC master schedule providing for effective work flow.

If discrepancies exist between any update proposed for the Master Program Schedule and the current Approved Baseline Master Program Schedule, the Project Scheduler will identify the impact(s) to the schedule. If the projected delays impact the critical path, corrective action is identified through discussions with the CRC Project Management and the Master Program Schedule updated accordingly.

### 3.5.4 Schedule Monitoring

The CRC Program uses Primavera 6 (P6) software for schedule monitoring and analysis. Proactive schedule monitoring provides a clear indication of performance and early warning to allow quick initiation of corrective action.

The Project Scheduler regularly monitors the Project critical path for any delays and adverse trends in administrative, design, or construction activities. He/she provides the information to CRC's functional managers and discipline leads for their immediate review, and development and implementation of corrective action to ensure schedule recovery.

# 3.5.5 Schedule Reporting

The Project Scheduler prepares and distributes to the Project Team monthly schedule reports that show project status, schedule conflicts (if any), changes, and delays. This information is included

in the CRC Program monthly and quarterly reports. As the need arises, Project Controls will produce special schedule analyses of particular situations.

### 3.5.6 Baseline Schedule Revisions

Situations may arise in which a delay is determined to be irrecoverable. In such cases, the CRC Program may recommend that a revision be made to the approved Baseline Master Project Schedule.

As potential delays become apparent to Project Management, the first action will be to initiate a schedule review to determine if the lost time can be made up. In most cases, the Project Scheduler will enlist the aid of the functional managers and task leads to research the delay and recommend strategies to the CRC Director to recover the time. No revisions affecting the critical path will be allowed without approval authorization from the CRC Director.

## 3.5.7 Construction Schedule Revisions

Together with the contractor, the Project Scheduler will review the schedule when construction delays occur, particularly whenever the contract falls behind the contractor's approved schedule by a specified number of days (taking into account all time extensions granted to date). The CRC Program may ask the contractor to prepare a recovery plan. This recovery plan may become the basis for a revision to the approved Baseline Master Program Schedule.

Time extensions affecting schedule completion dates may be granted to specific contracts for a variety of reasons, including:

- Changes in contract scope.
- Resolution of a dispute or claim.
- Weather delays (if more adverse than could have been anticipated).

Before any extension of time is considered, the Project Scheduler will conduct an analysis of the contemplated extension to determine the impact. Time extensions can have a "ripple" effect to follow-on contractors, systems installation, testing, and eventually to the revenue service date.

If a time extension is warranted without a significant effect on the Master Project Schedule, then a contract change order may be prepared granting the time extension. A revision to that particular element of the Master Project Schedule will then be made. If, however, the time extension is significant and will impact the schedule milestone date or critical path, options other than an extension of time will be considered. Examples of such options would be extended work hours, re-sequencing of work, weekend work, or additional crews or other resources.

# 3.6 Change Control

# 3.6.1 Overview

The CRC Team shall execute the Program during the Final Design, Construction and Start-up (Transit) phases within clearly defined program parameters as well as manage individual project

packages to approved scope, schedule and budget baselines. WSDOT and ODOT Executives recognize that changes are inevitable on a mega program such as the CRC. It is their expectation, however, that changes cannot exceed limits specified in budgets, schedules or documents which have been approved and authorized by the executives without their prior approval.

The CRC Change Control process is designed to ensure that the project scope, schedule, budget, and quality objectives committed to at completion of the Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase are achieved. Where this is not feasible, the Change Control process provides a consistent and well documented means of managing individual change and cumulative change in a manner that provides for evaluating, approving and documenting changes while minimizing the risk to program scope, schedule and budget baselines.

The CRC Change Control process will be implemented at the start of the Final Design phase and continue for the remainder of the program including Construction and Start-up (Transit) phases. It will apply to program management and administration, and individual project packages discussed in Chapter 8 - Program Delivery And Procurement and delivered by alternative delivery methods (e.g. Design-Build) or traditional delivery method (Design-Bid-Build).

### The CRC Change Control activities encompass:

- Monitoring progress against the established scope, budget and schedule plan baselines
- Identifying, coordinating, and controlling variances from plan baselines
- Evaluating and documenting plan variances including scope, budget and schedule impacts
- Processing in a timely manner scope, budget or schedule changes through the required approvals and execution authority levels prior to proceeding with the change. \

Business Services will prepare monthly and quarterly reports to address FTA requirements and, if needed, the reporting will be aligned to coincide with WSDOT's development of the quarterly Gray Notebook update.

# 3.6.2 Administration of Change Control

Business Services is responsible for ensuring project changes during Final Design, Construction and Start-up phases are thoroughly documented and communicated using the Change Control procedures described in this PMP, and scope, budget or schedule changes are processed in a timely manner through the required approvals and execution authority levels prior to proceeding with the change.

The Structures, Highway, Transit and Delivery Managers are responsible for documenting project changes in their respective areas throughout Final Design and Construction phases. The Business Services Manager is responsible for maintaining a consolidated data base and reporting project changes by individual project package and cumulative change effect at the Program level.

It is the responsibility of all CRC managers and team members to execute the CRC Change Control process and to execute their daily responsibilities while minimizing the risk to approved scope, schedule and budget baselines.

# 3.6.3 Baseline Change Control

The baseline scope is represented by those work elements (both Transit and Highway) approved at completion of the Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase at which time a scope, budget and schedule is established for each project package described in Chapter 8 - Program Delivery And Procurement of this PMP. The baseline for the transit work elements was formally approved by WSDOT executives and submitted by the CRC to the FTA in support of the application to enter into Final Design. This baseline documentation will become the basis by which performance will be tracked and reported during the Final Design, Construction, and Start-up phases of the CRC Program.

# 3.6.4 Change Control Management

CRC's Change Control management consists of the evaluation, coordination, and approval or disapproval of changes in the Final Design of work elements (Highway and Transit), and change orders to construction (Highway and Transit) or system installation or equipment (Transit) contracts after their baselines have been approved. The CRC Team will implement a Change Control system that uses a Work Breakdown Structure to document the initial scope, schedule and budget of each project package, and procedures for identifying, evaluating, approving and capturing significant changes that may occur during project design, construction and start-up which have an impact to approved project baselines. The PMP procedures described below clearly specify responsibility to initiate and approve changes, permit results to be achieved rapidly, provide for full evaluation of the impact of the changes, and specify the documentation of the changes. CRC's Change Control management will provide a record of changes deemed significant to affect the scope, budget and schedule of each project package including:

- Deletion, modification, or combination with other work elements
- Increase or decrease in cost or schedule
- Unforeseen project conditions and change in governing regulations
- Change in funding source or project package or
- Any other change materially affecting the approved scope, cost or schedule baselines including project de-scoping, if secondary mitigation becomes necessary to avoid cost overruns.

The Business Services Manager is responsible for tracking and reporting on all changes at project package and program levels throughout Final Design, Construction and Start-up phases.

# 3.6.4.1 Design Change Control

The Highway, Structures and Transit Managers are responsible for documenting and justifying any substantial changes to scope, budget and schedule baselines during Final Design on project packages implemented using the Design-Bid-Build (D-B-B) or General Construction / Construction Manager (GC / CM) delivery methods, and during the Request For Proposal (RFP) phase on all project packages implemented using the Design-Build (D-B) delivery method. They are also responsible for ensuring that design changes are approved and communicated using the process described in detail in Procedure XX.X - Design Change Control.

### 3.6.4.2 Construction Change Control

The CRC Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager is responsible for ensuring that each Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) leading the construction administration on executed construction contracts, including Design-Build contracts, prepares the required documentation and justifies changes during the Construction phase using the change order (CO) process discussed in Section 16. X.X.X - Construction Change Order Process of this PMP and described in detail in Procedure 16.XX.XX Construction Contract Change Orders. The Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) is also responsible for ensuring that changes are approved and executed by those authorized to execute a CO up to their respective limit of execution authority as described in Procedures 16.XX.X-A CRC Design-Build Construction Change Order Checklist.

Business Services is responsible for tracking costs of all approved or pending CO's including costs of potential changes that may have a cost impact in the future. A summary of that information will be recorded in the cost tracking system for each project package. The cost tracking system accumulates all CO's to show individual change and cumulative change for comparison against the budget and contingency at the project package and program levels.

# 3.6.4.3 Consultant Change Orders

When a change in scope for Final Design or Construction phase services is defined and the price for the additional or deleted services is agreed upon, the Consultant Project Manager initiates a contract amendment that is administered by the CRC Agreements Lead. Contract modifications may involve changes in scope, time, deliverables, etc. without a change in budget. The CRC Director approves all consultant contract modifications after verifying sufficient funds are available. The process to amend consultant contracts is described in detail in CRC Procedure 3.6.4.3 Consultant Agreement Amendments.

# 3.7 Document Control and Records Management

This section describes the manner in which CRC Program records will be managed, controlled and maintained in accordance with technical, management and legal requirements. Document control and records management procedures are used to handle, maintain, and manage all documents and supporting information, as well as contractual documents, financial and grant related records throughout the design and construction phases of the Program. Document control and records management tasks include: receipt, storage, retrieval and distribution of all project documents, including key project documents classified as "controlled documents".

The CRC's document control and records management system includes the Prolog database to monitor dates of receipt, transmittal, and final disposition of project management, financial, procurement, right-of Way, quality, environmental compliance, reference documents and legal records. It also includes ProjectWise software for the purpose of managing, retrieving and sharing original engineering CAD drawings, as-built drawings, and various design records.

### 3.7.1 Organization and Responsibilities

The Document Control Manager reports to the Business Services Manager and is responsible for document control and record management of the official project files, with the exception of engineering design drawings classified "controlled documents" as described below. The Document Control Manager oversees the day-to-day document control and record management duties including the facilitation of capturing, properly indexing, securing, archiving, versioning, and keeping the project documents current. Document Control files and codes controlled documents and their updates into Prolog. The Public Disclosure Lead reports to the Business Services Manager and is responsible for responding to public records requests.

The CAD Manager is responsible for the day-to-day management of CRC engineering design drawings designated as "controlled documents". The CAD Manager maintains full-sized and half-sized hardcopy drawings.

## 3.7.2 Controlled Documents

Certain key project documents will be classified as "controlled documents". They are documents that are either developed or used during the implementation and management of the CRC Program. These controlled documents include technical and engineering, environmental compliance, procurement, as well as management-approved plans, procedures, and manuals. Controlled-distribution documents will be prepared and administered at various levels of the Program.

#### 3.7.2.1 General Controlled Documents Guidelines

The following controlled document guidelines have been established on the CRC Program:

- Each discipline-specific task manager is responsible for identifying Program-specific controlled documents that are either developed internally or acquired from external sources, and require controlled distribution.
- The discipline producing a controlled document is responsible for any necessary updates, approvals and subsequent redistribution of that controlled document.
- Controlled documents prepared by contractors shall be prepared in accordance with CRC requirements and procedures, and approved or accepted by the responsible discipline manager.

### 3.7.2.2 Controlled-Distribution Procedure

Many documents will be subject to controlled distribution to see that changes and updates are made in a systematic manner, and that all parties are working on the latest version of the documents. Controlled-distribution procedures involve controlling or regulating the creation, approval and modifications to key documents that are either developed by the CRC team or used during the implementation and management of the Program.

Administrative assistants who report to the Highway, Structures, Transit and Delivery Managers are responsible for coordinating the administration of key documents and records produced internally within their respective discipline and those acquired from external sources and used as

authoritative references by CRC staff. Attachment XX – Controlled Documents in Appendix XX – Quality Assurance Manual describes in detail the controlled-distribution procedures for internally produced and external reference documents.

### 3.7.3 Records Management

Records management generally refers to the management of records as they are created or received and used and maintained, which may not be subject to formal document control, as well as the proper disposition of inactive documents when they are no longer needed and the retention periods have lapsed. This section describes records management processes and responsibilities that will be followed and/or executed by the CRC Program.

### 3.7.3.1 Active Records

Active records include those documents, records, and supporting information which are produced or received during the development, planning, design, construction, and closeout of the CRC Program. A variety of documents are subject to formal controlled distribution, as specified in Section 3.7.2. In addition, supporting information such as calculations and analysis, and other documentation must also be maintained. In some cases, records are maintained separately for legal and regulatory reasons. The following describes general records management processes that must be followed on the CRC Program.

All project files will be maintained at the CRC office. To ensure adherence with the overall document control goals, staff identified three primary types of documents:

- Reference material.
- Project work papers.
- Official project files.

### 3.7.3.1(a)Reference Material

Reference material includes any document (electronic or physical) that is not a direct product of the CRC Team or not produced for the CRC Program, but is helpful or necessary in order to perform project functions. Reference material may be subject to formal controlled distribution, as specified in Section 3.7.2. Reference material will be included in its own section of the Program filing structure and will not follow the traditional WBS structure as designated for official Program files.

The initiator of the reference material should coordinate with Document Control to determine the most appropriate placement of the information within the project library, thereby making the material available for all team members.

### 3.7.3.1(b) Project Work Papers

Project work papers include any document or file that is a direct product of the CRC Program, but that is not in its final or distribution draft format. Project work papers generally require further collaboration or processing among team members.

### 3.7.3.1(c) Official Project Files

An official project file is generally a product of the Program. It can be either electronic or paper, and is in its final form. Final form includes drafts that are issued for review. Common, well known examples of official project files include:

- **Project Management Records** Schedules, transmittal documents, Project Management Plan (PMP), Procedures Manual, reports, correspondence, analysis, and other records related to the management of the CRC Program.
- *Financial Records* Financial and grant related records and those associated with payments, accounts payable, accounts receivable, accounting, and financial reporting.
- **Procurement Records** Pre-solicitation documents, solicitation/addenda/amendments, statements of work/scopes of service, notices of award, records of any protest, bid/performance/ payment, or other bond documents and notices to sureties, notices to proceed, contract amendments and subcontract modifications, and construction administration records.
- *Right-of-Way Records* Records will be maintained both electronically and in hard copy format, as appropriate.
- **Design Records** Engineering and design reports including supporting analysis and records whether prepared on-site or by off-site consultants and contractors.
- *Construction Records* Construction-related records and documents will be maintained by the responsible resident engineer within the appropriate project package.
- **Quality Records** Objective evidence of quality, in accordance with FHWA and FTA QA/QC guidelines, during design and construction.
- *Environmental Compliance Records* Analysis, correspondence, reports and other documents associated with environmental site assessments, environmental analysis, environmental impact statements, environmental mitigation, and submittals to federal, state, and local regulatory agencies. CRC will maintain a controlled file, which will contain official environmental permits, Biological Opinion, and compliance records and reports.
- *Other Records* Other important records and documents produced by outside or internal sources including e-mail communications, photos, and presentations. Generally, these documents are distributed, as appropriate.

Procedure 3.7.3.1 – Document Control and Record Management in Appendix XX – Procedures Manual describes in detail the document control and record management work flow process for all active project records.

Attachment XX – Controlled Documents in Appendix XX – Quality Assurance Manual describes in detail the controlled-documents distribution procedure for internally produced and external references.

# 3.7.3.2 Document Security

In order to ensure the integrity of project files, the CRC Program has developed permissions that enable users to view, edit, and delete certain documents depending on where these documents are located and what user group the individual is assigned to. The table below summarizes the basic users and their related permissions:

Location	Group	Default Permissions			
Workpaper Files	All CRC Users	Add, Modify, Delete			
Preject Files (event DOT felder)	All CRC Users	Read Only			
Project Files (except DOT folder)	Administrators, Document Control	Add, Modify, Delete			
Preject Files DOT Folders	All CRC Users	No Access			
Project Files DOT Folders	DOT & Mgt Group	Read Only			
	Administrators, Document Control	Add, Modify, Delete			
Controlled Desuments	All CRC Users	Read Only			
Controlled Documents	Administrators, Document Control	Add, Modify, Delete			
Reference Files	All CRC Users	Read Only			
Reference Files	Administrators, Document Control	Add, Modify, Delete			
Decument Centrel In Box	All CRC Users	Add			
Document Control In-Box	Administrators, Document Control	Add, Modify, Delete			

In order to be assigned to a user group, the person must have a CRC network login.

Some files that have contents that are not considered to be appropriate for widespread distribution are kept in the DOT folders.

# 4 **Program Funding**

# 4.1 Capital Funding Sources

The Program seeks funding through Section 5309 New Starts (transit) program and Federal Discretionary Highway Funds from the Projects of National and Regional Significance (PNRS) program established under Section 1301 of SAFETEA-LU. The capital finance plan for the CRC Program also depends on toll revenues (i.e. toll bond proceeds and net toll revenues) and state transportation funds from ODOT and WSDOT, to cover a portion of project costs. A separate Section 5309 New Starts update report includes a detailed financial plan that describes the Program's expected resources and requirements. Continued refinements to this plan can be expected through Final Design. In summary, the funding plan:

- Draw on a combination of local, state, and federal funds, , as shown in Table 4.1.
- Include an interim borrowing program to insure cash flow supports the most cost effective development schedule.

•	Benefit from	placing	cost re	esponsibilities	with	those	most	able to	manage	them
---	--------------	---------	---------	-----------------	------	-------	------	---------	--------	------

Table 4.1 – Anticipated Capital Funding Sources: Assumes LPA Phase 1 at 90% CEVP (as of July 2011)		
Funding Source	Amount	
Existing Funding	\$147.4	
Toll Bond Proceeds/Toll Revenues	\$1210.4	
Federal Discretionary Highway Funds	\$400 M	
Section 5309 New Start Funds	\$850 M	
Additional State of Oregon Funds	\$450 M	
Additional State of Washington Funds	\$450 M	
	Total \$3,507.8	

# 4.2 Investor Relations

As described above, the funding plan relies on numerous investors. The CRC Team will lead efforts to communicate, collaborate, and coordinate with investors including but not limited to:

- FTA
- FHWA
- Executive and elected officials
- Financial Institutions associated with interim financing

These efforts will be supplemented, as needed, by experts from the project as well as partnering agencies to best respond to particular questions as they arise. The CRC's Finance Manager will disseminate information to the CRC stakeholders and Management Team.

### 4.2.1 Grant Administration – FTA

#### 4.2.1.1 Overview

WSDOT, the FTA Grantee for transit grants, in collaboration with TriMet and C-TRAN, as needed, will administer grants in accordance with FTA Circular 5010.1D, Grant Management Requirements.

### 4.2.1.2 Grant Analysis and Administration

The CRC Director and the WSDOT Assistant Director for Transit, with assistance from CRC Project Controls, are responsible to FTA for grant-related project issues. Areas of responsibility include the following:

- Coordination of the development of the grant applications and amendments.
- Coordination of grant application-related required activities.
- Coordination of response to FTA application review comments.
- Facilitation and execution of grant agreements, and notification of approval to Program staff.
- Maintenance of grant files, including all grant applications, grant agreements, grantrelated correspondence, quarterly reports, grant budgets, and revisions.
- Coordination of FTA quarterly report submittals and budget revisions.
- Distribution of Grant Status Reports and Labor Distribution Reports to Program staff and stakeholders.
- Distribution of information to appropriate Program staff about new or changing federal grant-related requirements or regulations.
- Guidance to Program staff on grant eligibility issues, budget management, and most appropriate use of grant resources.
- Preparation and distribution of monthly report with details on activities, schedule, forecast cost, and overall completion.

#### 4.2.1.3 Grant Accounting

CRC Project Controls will perform the following accounting functions for grant management:

- Establishing all grant accounting information within the general ledger system.
- Processing grant-funded project invoices and charges.
- Producing monthly grant status reports and labor distribution reports.
- Preparing financial status reports for quarterly reports.
- Processing grant draw-down transactions.

# 4.2.1.4 Cash Management

WSDOT as the FTA Grantee for transit grants, is responsible for receiving and dispersing all transit funds. CRC staff will be certified users of the WSDOT TEAM system. The Project Controls Manager is an authorized user of TEAM.

The CRC Program intends to follow the most expeditious and cost effective development schedule, that is, progress on the Program will not be delayed awaiting federal funds. Following Electronic Clearing House, Inc. (ECHO) procedures, the CRC Program will draw on federal funds to reimburse costs already incurred, or, in some instances, in advance, for large expenditures that will be made within three days. When federal funds are not available due to appropriation cycles, local funds and/or interim financing will pay expenditures.

### 4.2.1.5 Grants Closeout

Upon completion of the Program, CRC staff will prepare a report stating the actual expenditures on the Program broken down by contract and FTA line item code. Other reports will be prepared in accordance with all appropriate rules and regulations.

# 4.2.1.6 Full Funding Grant Agreement Reporting

The CRC Director is responsible for ensuring that the CRC Program prepares quarterly reports in FTA's electronic grant management system, against each element of the budget indicating physical progress. The Project Controls Manager will provide a report of actual cash draw-down against approved grants. Explanation of any existing or potential problems will be noted.

### 4.2.1.7 Force Account

Project Controls will prepare a force account plan in accordance with FTA Circular 5010.1C. The CRC Program expects that the value of the force account work will exceed \$100,000 but will not exceed \$10 million. Grantees are required to prepare such a plan, report on it quarterly, and keep it on file. Prior FTA approval is not required. The plan will include a discussion of use of WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet or C-TRAN forces for design and construction purposes; start up activities, and other activities that may be identified during final design.

## 4.2.2 Grant Administration – FHWA

# 4.2.2.1 Overview

CRC staff will administer highway grants in accordance with FHWA Guidelines.

### 4.2.2.2 Grant Analysis and Administration

WSDOT and ODOT will manage highway grant-related aspects of the Program as a normal course of business.

### 4.2.2.3 Grant Accounting

Currently, the WSDOT Office of Highways and Local Programs oversee the following accounting functions for grant management:

- Establishing all grant accounting information within the general ledger system.
- Processing grant-funded project invoices and charges.
- Producing monthly grant status reports and labor distribution reports.
- Preparing financial status reports for quarterly reports.
- Processing grant draw-down transactions.

# 4.2.2.4 Grants Closeout

WSDOT and ODOT will manage closeout work for the Program as a normal course of business.

# 4.3 Financial Audits

In addition to state audits, audit verification of expenditures by outside independent and federal auditors, usually conducted annually within four months of the close of the fiscal year would be incorporated into WSDOT's annual independent audit of federal grant-related projects. The audits will verify compliance with procurement policies and procedures as outlined in WSDOT's procurement certification and accepted cost principles. The Director of Internal Audits at WSDOT's Audit Office is responsible for coordination of the annual independent audit with assistance from the Project Controls Manager. The Program's transit-related activities will also be subject to FTA's Triennial Review.

# 5. Risk Management and Insurance

# 5.1 Overview

WSDOT is the FTA Grantee for transit grants and lead agency for the overall multi-modal project. Project Controls is responsible for the administration of risk management for the CRC Program. The Risk Lead provides leadership and guidance to various agency staff from WSDOT and ODOT (co-lead agencies) and partnering transit agencies, TriMet and C-TRAN, responsible for the day-to-day coordination of the following activities:

- Identifying the best method to protect WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet and C-TRAN from risk exposures due to the CRC Program.
- Determining the degree of indemnification and/or insurance protection requirements for consultants and contractors providing services to the CRC
- Preparing and implementing the Risk and Contingency Management Plan (RCMP)

# 5.2 Risk Assessment Management

The planning steps to a risk review process and development of a risk management plan include the following steps:

- Identification of risks
- Evaluation of risks
- Analyses of risk treatment alternatives, i.e., avoidance, prevention, mitigation/cost control, and insurance
- Assignment of risk
- Selection of risk treatment
- Monitoring and evaluating performance of treatments implemented

The overall objective of risk management for the CRC Program is to maximize the probability of success by responding to identified threat risks and making the most of opportunities. The CRC Team will identify and manage pro-actively risks for the CRC Program. The CRC Team will effectively manage project risks for each of its project packages and provide rapid ready retrieval of the following information throughout Program delivery:

- Risk profile (program level and project level)
- Status of key significant risks (monitor and control capabilities)
  - o Response actions taken

- o Cost of response actions
- o Results of response actions
- o Current status of risk (active, retired)
- Summary of risk management efforts and outcomes (for specific risks and overall)

Appendix XX - Risk and Contingency Management Plan – describes in detail the specific areas of risk assessment focus identified through the risk review process completed during the Preliminary Engineering phase and that will continue to be implemented during Final Design. The Risk and Contingency Management Plan for the CRC Program is consistent with:

- Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)
  - o Project Risk Management, Guidance for WSDOT Projects
  - Guidelines for Cost Risk Assessment-Cost Estimate Validation Process Workshops
  - Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA)
  - o Risk Review Process
  - o Risk and Contingency Management Requirements

The main components of the Risk and Contingency Management Plan are:

- **Project Risk Management Strategies** references the formally adopted risk management process to continuously identify, assess, and implement and carry-out response actions to key identified CRC Project risks;
- **Primary Risk Response Actions** an iterative process and the result of developing planned activities to act on identified key CRC Project risks during the earliest possible project phase. The primary response actions consist of implementing planned responses by integrating them into the project work plan. Responses may require managerial, administrative, and/or technical action;
- Secondary Risk Response Actions action taken if the primary responses, and the phase contingency values of time and money, are inadequate to maintain contingency levels within established ranges and avoid cost overruns and/or schedule delays;
- **Project Packaging and Delivery Methods** an overview of the proposed project packages and the delivery methods for a project to be completed on time and within budget as outlined in the PMP; and

• *Contingency Management* – discusses plans for managing the CRC cost and schedule contingencies to maintain contingency levels within established ranges and cover residual risk and uncertainties in the case of unsuccessful primary risk response.

Risk analysis, during Final Design, will continue to be treated separately from the base cost estimates. This enables a more rigorous and objective approach to this important component of the project, and includes anticipated variances in the base cost (for example, in unit costs and quantities) and impact of risk events.

Active risk management will follow the procedures detailed in Appendix XX - Risk and Contingency Management Plan. This includes holding Cost Estimate Validation Process (CEVP) and Cost Risk Assessment (CRA) workshops, as needed, to update the risk register and identify/quantify new uncertainties and risks. The task manager assigned to each risk will monitor the effectiveness of the current risk management strategy, assess any unanticipated effects, and recommend to CRC Management Team any mid-course corrections.

**Risk tracking** will occur using a spreadsheet developed by the WSDOT Cost Risk and Estimate Management (CREM) office and modified for transit elements. This spreadsheet offers a straightforward tool for tracking risks and a preliminary understanding of how to avoid or mitigate for risks if they occur. An example of this risk tracking spreadsheet can be found in the Risk and Contingency Management Plan. Proper tracking and maintenance of risks enables internal and external communication of risks among partners, stakeholders, CRC managers, and staff. Risks tracking provide effective communication of identified risks to independent teams during CEVP/CRA risk assessment workshops.

# 5.3 Insurance Claims Management

WSDOT's Enterprise Risk Management Office (ERMO) has responsibility for directing and coordinating the following risk functions:

- Tort Claims Adjusting
- Property Damage Recoveries
- Risk Analysis
- Tort Self-Insurance Management
- Attorney General Tort Defense Cost Management
- Tort Claim Reporting

The ERMO's Administrative Risk Manager will coordinate information and act in an advisory capacity to the CRC Program with regard to resolving torts, purchasing insurance, obtaining certificates of insurance for requesters, reporting tort claim information, and analyzing risk aspects of contracts, leases, agreements, or other legal documents.

The CRC Director is responsible for ensuring that the CRC Team conducts operations in accordance with departmental standards and statutory requirements. The CRC Director will

determine the degree of indemnification and/or insurance protection necessary in consultation with the Office of Attorney General/WSDOT Division or the ERMO's Administrative Risk Manager - Streator Johnson, and will report losses or claims in accordance with requirements in Chapters 5 and 8 of the WSDOT Risk Management Manual.

The CRC Program will coordinate with the ODOT, C-TRAN and/or TriMet Insurance Administrator who are responsible for identifying those areas of exposure that place partner agencies at risk and for taking the necessary actions to protect against that risk in a fiscally responsible manner.

# 5.3.1 CRC Insurance

Washington State policy, as expressed in Chapter 43.19, RCW, is to assume risks to the maximum extent possible, but to purchase commercial insurance when, among other reasons: the size and nature of potential loss make it in the State's best interest; coverage is cost effective; or it's required by a fiduciary arrangement. WSDOT's risk exposures are financed by various combinations of self-assumption, self-insurance, and commercial insurance.

### 5.3.1.1 Consultant Insurance

Prior to commencing work, the consultant shall obtain, furnish and keep in force during the terms of their Agreement with WSDOT certificates of insurance and endorsements with companies or through sources approved by the Washington State Insurance Commissioner pursuant to Title 48 RCW. The certificates of insurance must show the following minimum limits of insurance coverage:

- Worker's Compensation and employer's liability insurance as required by WSDOT.
- Commercial general liability insurance with minimum limits of one million dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence and two million dollars (\$2,000,000) in the aggregate for each policy period.
- Business auto liability insurance providing coverage for any Auto used in an amount not less than one million dollars (\$1,000,000) combined single limit each occurrence.

Excepting the Worker's Compensation Insurance and any Professional Liability Insurance secured by the Consultant, the State of Washington, and WSDOT shall be named as an additional insured.

The Consultants professional liability to WSDOT will be limited to the accumulative amount of the authorized task order(s) or one million (\$1,000,000) dollars, whichever is the greater.

### 5.3.1.2 Contractor Insurance

Each Contractor or supplier performing CRC related work inside the project site will obtain, at its expense, and keep in effect during the term of the contract, a Commercial General Liability Insurance and other insurance coverage, as indicated in the contract Special provisions, covering bodily injury and property damage in a form and with coverage that meet the requirements of the contract Special provisions. In addition, each Contractor or supplier will be responsible for their own insurance outside the project site. Contractors with executed contracts that involve both design and construction activities under alternative delivery procurement, such as Design-Build, will have both exposures insured under their own (or subcontractor) liability coverage.

### 5.3.1.3 Railroad Protective Insurance

Construction of the Program requires execution of a Construction and Maintenance (C&M) Agreement between WSDOT and BNSF Railroad prior to any construction on BNSF right-ofway. The C&M Agreement will include insurance and indemnification requirements. Each CRC project package with BNSF interface shall include contract Special Provisions that detail the Contractor's responsibilities including, without limitation, compliance with all Railroad requirements, Federal, State and Local Laws and applicable county or municipal ordinances and regulations. Railroad requirements include insurance coverage that the Contractor is responsible for and must provide before performing any work on BNSF right-of-way. Coverage levels and specific requirements will be finalized in the executed C&M Agreement and most likely include the following coverage:

- **Railroad protective liability** Coverage may be \$2M single, \$6M aggregate or \$5M single, \$10M aggregate.
- **Commercial General Liability** This insurance contains broad form contractual liability with a combined single limit of a minimum of \$2,000,000 each occurrence and an aggregate limit of at least \$4,000,000 but in no event less than the amount otherwise carried by the Contractor. Coverage must be purchased on a post 1998 ISO occurrence form or equivalent and include coverage for, but not limit to the following:
  - o Bodily Injury and Property Damage
  - o Personal Injury and Advertising Injury
  - Fire legal liability
  - Products and completed operations

# 5.3.2 Pre-Construction Surveys

A pre-construction survey will examine select building, utilities and underground structures within areas potentially influenced by CRC construction activities. The pre-construction survey will assess and record any existing cracks or damages, and to provide a pre-construction record of the facilities in the event any damage is claimed due to CRC construction activities. If any deep excavations adjacent to existing structures are required, ground movement monitoring instrumentation may be used during construction. The need for any such instrumentation will be determined through discussions with the project engineer and the structural engineer.

A pre-construction survey of select facilities is planned for the CRC Program with partial implementation completed during PE at several select locations and additional ones anticipated to be carried as the Program progresses into Final Design. Post-construction surveys may also be conducted if there are reasons to believe damage may have occurred or a claim is filed.

Other types of surveys will also occur as required by Washington and Oregon statutes. ODOT requires a pre-construction record of survey (ROS) prior to bid-letting. WSDOT requires either an ROS or stamped and signed monumentation map prior to property acquisitions occurring. For

project consistency, a full pre-construction ROS will be produced for the Project. Both departments require a post-construction ROS once the Program is complete. The following statues, policy, and regulations apply:

- Washington Administrative Code (WAC)
  - 0
  - 0
  - WAC 196 Licensing WAC 332-120 Survey Monuments removal or destruction WAC 332-130 Minimum Standards for land boundary surveys and geodetic 0 control surveys and guidelines for the preparation of land descriptions
- Revised Code of Washington •

RCW 58 - Boundaries and Plats

Oregon Revised Statues ٠

ORS 209 - County Surveyors

- Washington State Department of Transportation ٠
  - Highway Surveying Manual M22-97 0
  - Plans Preparation Manual M22-31 0
- Oregon Department of Transportation
  - Pre-Construction Survey Standards and Procedures 0
  - ODOT R/W Monumentation Policy 0
  - Right of Way Monumentation Surveys 0
  - Control Recovery and Retracement Surveys 0

# 6. Real Estate Acquisition and Property Management

# 6.1 Scope and Purpose

Two key elements of the Right of Way (ROW) function are the early identification of the needed property rights by the transit and highway design teams and to schedule adequate time for the ROW process to operate. Through early identification of temporary and permanent ROW limits by the project designers, the Right of Way team can acquire rights prior to the construction contractor's need for those properties. The objective of this approach is to provide necessary time to perform the acquisition program and ensure that all acquisition and relocations are performed in conformance with all applicable federal, state, and local regulations. Careful consideration of all the steps from appraisal to property management will allow for timely availability of property, and reduce the risks of costly delays to the CRC Program.

The Right of Way Manager will manage the ROW function on the Program supported by ROW staff from ODOT, WSDOT and qualified consulting staff. During each stage of the project, the Support Services Manager will identify key staff responsible for coordinating the ROW tasks and secure added staff from WSDOT, ODOT, or qualified consulting firms, as needed. The Right of Way Manager (Coordinator) will certify necessary rights have been acquired, or how they will be acquired, prior to the time the project (or sub-projects) proceed to construction bid letting.

For the highway parcels needed in Washington and Oregon, the property acquisition will be performed in accordance with applicable provisions and procedures of the current version of WSDOT's and ODOT's Right of Way Manuals, respectively (attach link to the documents). The Right of Way Team recognizes that acquisition timelines in Oregon and Washington are different. For example, in Oregon the project can start the condemnation proceedings and may obtain occupancy as soon as 30 days after the offer is made, provided there is no relocation. The Right of Way Manager (Coordinator) will coordinate with the project Scheduler to ensure that the acquisition timelines of highway parcels in Oregon and Washington are incorporated, and carefully tracked, in the project master schedule.

For the transit portion of the Program, the property acquisition and management functions are described in Appendix XX - Real Estate Acquisition Management Plan (RAMP). The overall goal of the RAMP is to guide the Right of Way Team, as well as other project personnel, in a common effort to secure real property needed for construction and operation of the light rail extension to Vancouver, WA, including track way, stations, overhead power lines, substation sites, operator facilities, park–and-ride lots, utility relocations, and any other project needs.

The Right of Way team's approach will follow established best practices and all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. The RAMP serves as a guide for implementing the real estate acquisition requirements for the transit portion of the Program. The intent of the RAMP is to:

- Provide a description of the project and background.
- Define the roles of Right of Way Team staff and their coordination with other staff and consultants, including, but not limited to, title reports, appraisal and appraisal review, acquisition, relocation, property management, environmental review, and demolition services.
- Outline acquisition priorities and strategies.
- Define property rights to be acquired for the transit portion of the Program.
- Define tasks necessary to advance the transit portion of the Program through the acquisition process.
- Describe the reports and tracking systems to monitor the progress of the acquisition schedule.
- Provide a framework to advance through the acquisition process and remain in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and procedures.

The RAMP is presented in several sections, including the Project Description, Acquisition Program Approach, Acquisition and Relocation Process, and Scheduling, Reporting, and Record-Keeping.

Some acquisitions, especially those necessary for partial acquisitions, construction easements, and staging areas may not be identified until final design nears completion.

# 7. Community Relations

# 7.1 Overview

The CRC Program is employing an extensive community relations strategy to provide consistent, timely and accurate information to interested parties, facilitate dependable lines of communication with the public and build broad support for the project. Community relations and engagement activities support the planning, design and eventual construction of the Program.

The CRC Management Team has assembled an integrated inter-agency communications team to educate and involve the public and stakeholders in Program decisions. The team is composed of C-TRAN, TriMet, WSDOT and consultant communication and public information staff to provide accurate and consistent messages to a variety of audiences. The CRC Director has ultimate authority to direct the communications program and strategic approach. The CRC Communications Manager reports to the CRC Director and approves implementation of Program public materials, events, workshops and communications.

# 7.2 Communications Activities – Outreach

The activities outlined below are representative examples of community involvement efforts that have been and will continue to be undertaken on the CRC Program. An annual communications plan will be written and approved by the project directors that include detailed messages and strategies. Records are kept to document outreach activities, contacts made with the public, and comments that are received.

### 7.2.1 Policy-related outreach to decision-makers

- Project Sponsors Council (PSC) and Integrated Project Sponsors Council Staff (IPS) meetings. The Governors of Oregon and Washington formed the Project Sponsors Council (PSC) to advise the departments of transportation on project development. PSC recommendations are made after considering technical information, receiving input from integrated project staff and CRC advisory groups and reviewing public comments. The Integrated Project Sponsors Council Staff group works collaboratively to advance recommendations on outstanding and new policy matters. IPS provides regular updates to PSC on findings and recommendations.
- Jurisdictional, institutional, and elected official briefings, community, business, and employer organization briefings. CRC provides regular briefings on project status and schedule to state government, community and business leaders, especially elected officials. For example, the Program provides periodic updates to the councils or boards of its local project partners, to individual members and committees of the state legislatures, and to the federal congressional delegations of both states.

### 7.2.2 Outreach to the region

- *Fairs, festivals, and community events.* Staffed information booths are used to provide information at local community events, generally held in the summer months. This informal outreach allows CRC to reach thousands of people at locations that are convenient for them.
- *Open houses and workshops*. Public open houses and workshops are held for the general public, advisory group members and project stakeholders before key project decisions and milestones. Open houses are typically held in sets of two across the project area (one in Portland and one in Vancouver). Design workshops tend to focus on a specific issue and provide area residents with hands-on opportunities to learn about, and make recommendations on, project elements interchange design, transit location and station design.
- *Public hearings*. Public hearings, sometimes held in conjunction with an open house event or advisory group meeting, to provide an opportunity for the public to submit verbal and/or written testimony.
- Social service, civic and business group updates and briefings. Small group discussions or individual briefings are used to respond to requests from groups for current project information.
- *Drop-in events*. Informal meetings will be held in and out of the project area with detailed project information available for review and discussion with project staff.
- *Project information.* Current information about the project is published in project newsletters and fact sheets (see *Outreach Materials,* below), as well as on the project website. Information available to the public includes a project overview, current schedule, advisory group materials and technical resources. Outreach materials include translated pieces targeted to several known populations within the project area with limited English proficiency.
- *Constituent contacts.* Public comments and questions received by phone, email and in writing are tracked and responded to.
- *Surveys*. Responses to surveys are provided online, by mail or in-person and designed to inform project or advisory group deliberation on specific topics.
- Social media. Timely updates on project news are provided via social media outlets.
- Media. More information in the section "Media Relations", below.

### 7.2.3 Outreach in the Program area

• *Property owners, business owners and managers, and residents.* Periodic one-on-one contact will be made with property or business owners, managers and residents along the transit and highway alignment by phone, email and in-person to share information and

solicit comments. Owners of properties with potential impacts on bridge/transit/highway alignment have been offered one-on-one meetings with staff since the project began.

- *Neighborhood and community outreach.* The Program will maintain and build relationship with residents in neighborhoods in Portland and Vancouver directly affected by the project, as well as to social service and advocacy organizations that serve populations within the project area. Neighborhoods within the project area include the following: (Portland) Hayden Island, Bridgeton, East Columbia and Kenton neighborhoods; (Vancouver) Esther Short, Arnada, Hudson's Bay, Shumway, Lincoln, and the Rosemere/Rose Village neighborhoods. The project team will continue to expand information sharing and coordination with Clark County and City of Portland neighborhoods and community and social service coalitions to ensure project emails and print materials receive wider distribution in the metropolitan region.
- *Environmental justice communities.* Outreach to environmental justice populations within the Program area and related organizations to provide information on project plans, potential impacts, and mitigation measures, as necessary.

# 7.2.4 Advisory Groups

The work of the Program's advisory groups will continue throughout the project development process. Each group will focus on specific key issues for the design refinements through the publication of the FEIS in 2011. Additional groups or sub-groups may be created to address specific time-sensitive project concerns. Groups currently meet on a scheduled basis, as needed. Current advisory groups include:

- Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Committee (PBAC)
- Freight Working Group (FWG)
- Urban Design Advisory Group (UDAG)
- Portland Working Group (PWG)
- Vancouver Transit Advisory Committee (VTAC)
- Vancouver Advisory Committee (VAC), to be formed in 2011.

Previous advisory groups have included:

- Task Force, 2005-2008
- Marine Drive Stakeholder Group, 2009
- Community and Environmental Justice Group, 2006-2009
- Vancouver Working Group, 2009
- 7.2.5 Outreach materials

The materials outlined below are representative examples of the various information tools that are utilized on the Program. The form and content of outreach materials vary according to application and the target audience, including translated materials for predominant non-English languages spoken within the Program area.

- Monthly email updates
- Website
- *Fact sheets* (including translated materials)
- *Presentation materials* (including PowerPoint presentations, display boards, maps, slides or static displays)
- Newsletters
- Advertising (including display ads, web banner ads, postcards, posters and fliers)
- Public comment forms

# 7.2.6 Media Relations

Communications with media will be led by the co-directors, who may appoint media spokespeople for the Program. Coordination with WSDOT/ODOT communications managers will occur on an ongoing basis.

- *Reporter briefings and materials.* Members of the media will receive project briefings at key milestones. Press kits could include Program descriptions, graphics, timelines and key decision dates. The press kits will serve as a tool for the accurate and updated transmittal of new Program information and details.
- *Media releases*. Media releases will coincide with significant Program events and milestones, including public meetings, findings and decisions.
- *Editorial board briefings*. Editorial board meetings will be scheduled with a variety of publications within Clark and Multnomah Counties in an effort to inform the editorial boards and their reporters of the Program. A team of trained project staff will be formed to provide these types of briefings to the media.
- *Minority and small press.* Minority and neighborhood-based media will be kept informed about the Program through the distribution of press materials, reporter and editorial briefings and monthly email updates. Press releases will be translated as needed.

# 8 Program Delivery and Procurement

# 8.1 Overview

The delivery of the CRC Program will follow the framework developed during the PE phase to advance the Program post-NEPA – into final design and construction. The framework optimally divides the Program into separate and distinct functional project packages that meet broad technical and financial needs. The framework also identifies the delivery method (Design-Bid-Build, Design-Build, or General Contractor/Construction Manager) that optimally assigns roles and responsibilities for the performance of each project package activities – design and construction – and facilitates the optimal performance of these activities, with respect to the Program objectives.

Appendix XX - Project Implementation Plan (PIP) describes the major procurements for final design and construction of the CRC Program. The plan identifies the preferred project packages; preferred delivery methods to perform the design and construction activities of each project package; procurement options (e.g. Best Value) to be utilized in combination with the delivery methods; the procuring agencies; and the procurement schedule.

The PIP builds on the work undertaken during preliminary engineering (PE) Phase, including the Bridge Expert Review, the Cost Estimate Validation Process (CEVP), Project Sequencing workshops (PIP Part I – Appendix Report), Constructability Review, Project Packaging and Delivery Method workshop (PIP Part II – Appendix Report), Construction Contractor Open House, and Construction Trade Survey Questionnaire . It also incorporates outcomes of the following significant developments:

### 1. Columbia River Bridge – Type

The Oregon and Washington departments of transportation convened a 16-member Bridge Expert Review to discuss risks, constructability, aesthetic opportunities and costs of the proposed Open Web Box Girder design and other river bridge types and configurations that are feasible for the project. The Bridge Expert Review panel consisted of national and international experts with experience in designing, managing and constructing large bridge projects. The Bridge Expert Review panel met over four months (October 2010 through January 2011).

The Bridge Expert Review panel concluded its evaluation of bridge types and delivered a report on February 3, 2011. The report recommended the Program discontinue work on the Open Web Box Girder design and select a new bridge type from three options offered by the panel: composite deck truss, cable stayed and tied arch. The governors of Oregon and Washington accepted the key recommendations in the report and, as a result directed the CRC Program to discontinue further design work on the Open Web Box Girder and begin an expedited review of the panel's three options. The governors said the analysis must consider cost, schedule, environmental impact, commitments made to communities and stakeholders in both states, and overall risk.

At the governors' direction, the CRC Program conducted an expedited review of bridge types and issued a draft recommendation to the governors on February 25, 2011, recommending a deck truss bridge type, based on the criteria above and consultation with local partners. The Program gathered feedback from its project sponsors staff and local elected officials and reached out to citizen advisory groups and the general public through a series of meetings to gather feedback on the draft recommendation.

On April 25, 2011 the governors announced their plan to take advantage of federal funding opportunities and break ground on the Program in 2013. They identified the deck truss bridge type as the best replacement structure for the Columbia River Bridge because it provides the most certain path to keep the project on schedule and on budget and allow the project to receive its Record of Decision by the end of 2011.

### 2. Columbia River Bridge - Delivery Method

The Open Web Box Girder required connection testing to validate the design before fabrication. This introduced high cost and schedule risks that were significantly reduced as a result of the decision to discontinue further design work on the Open Web Box Girder. The CRC Program has decided to use the D-B delivery method for the design and construction of the proven deck truss bridge type based on the following key benefits:

- Reduced schedule risk: lower potential for contractor delay in opening the new southbound bridge to start tolling revenue collection
- Increased flexibility to achieve community acceptance with enhanced bridge architecture detailing through innovative contractor proposals and RFP requirements
- Increased schedule certainty with prescribed bridge layout, established environmental approvals, and fixed marine and air navigational constraints

### 3. Project Funding

In July 2011, the Oregon treasurer's office provided a report to Oregon Governor John Kitzhaber that validated much of the Program's work and made tangible recommendations that reduce and manage financial risk. The review found that the Program's tolling financial projections should be adjusted to account for the depth and length of the current economic recession. New funding sources were also suggested. Governor Kitzhaber accepted the more conservative financing plan that helps clarify the path to move the project forward.

Based on the recommendations Gov. Kitzhaber also requested that the Program describes how project construction can be adapted to available resources. The Program is developing a project sequencing plan that describes how project elements will be funded and built according to anticipated cash flow and engineering realities. The CRC Program will be prepared to discuss the sequencing plan with both governors and their interim legislative committees later this year. Procurement of architectural/engineering (A&E) design services will comply with applicable WSDOT and Federal procurement rules.

Procurement of construction contracts, equipment and materials whether by sealed bid or by negotiation, will be governed by applicable WSDOT, ODOT or TriMet's contracting rules, and will be conducted in a manner that provides maximum open and free competition consistent with applicable state and federal procurement requirements.

WSDOT complies with FTA guidelines regarding "self-certification" from FTA in accordance with FTA Circular 4220.1E. All CRC staff involved in project procurements shall strictly comply with the governing requirements of the publications referenced in Section 8.2.3.1 of this PMP.

# 8.2 **Program Delivery and Procurement Framework**

The CRC framework for Program delivery and procurement of construction contracts addresses three key needs:

- **Project Packaging Strategy** that would optimally divide the Program into separate and distinct functional construction packages that meet broad technical, political, and financial needs;
- **Delivery Method Strategy** that would optimally assign roles and responsibilities for the performance of each project package activities design and construction and facilitate the optimal performance of these activities, with respect to the owner's project objectives;
- **Procurement Strategy** that is most suitable in combination with the delivery methods where evaluation and selection of contractors would optimally deliver each project package and would be based on price, technical qualifications, or on a combination of price, technical qualifications, time, and other factors.

# 8.2.1 Project Packaging

The PIP describes in details the project sequencing strategy of how Program work elements will be built according to anticipated cash flow. The project sequencing strategy informs the Program's framework for project packaging. Key factors influencing project packaging include: consideration of level of design, inherent risks, interdependencies, and schedule criticality for each project package; oversight required for multiple interfaces among packages; urban features and jurisdictional change along the alignment; financial cash flow; early packages to reduce schedule risks; separate packages for long lead items; separate packages for specialty work; maximize the opportunities for Oregon and Washington contractors to participate; and contracting opportunities for DBEs.

Appendix XX - Project Implementation Plan describes in detail the project packaging strategy and the assignment of project packages to three categories:

• Large Project Packages (Highway and Transit)

Error! Unknown document property name. Error! Unknown document property name. 46

- Small / Early / Specialty Project Packages (Highway and Transit)
- Long Lead Project Packages (Transit)

Tables 8.1 and 8.2 below each include a listing of the large and long lead project packages identified in the PIP, and corresponding contracting agency (for contract bid letting and contract execution.

Project Packages	Contracting Agency
Special Track Work Procurement	TriMet
Mainline Rail Procurement	TriMet
Concrete Ties Procurement	TriMet
LRT Vehicles	TriMet
### 8.2.2 Delivery Methods

The Project Implementation Plan describes the delivery methods to execute the CRC Program delivery. This includes the following delivery methods:

- Design-Bid-Build (D-B-B)
- Design-Build (D-B), and
- General Contractor / Construction Manager (GC/CM)

The D-B and GC/CM delivery techniques provide opportunities for alternative methods of delivery along with the more traditional D-B-B method. The D-B method of project delivery allows the CRC Program to execute a single contract with one design-build entity ("Design-Builder") for the design, construction, and quality management necessary to deliver a completed project package. The D-B method can expedite schedules and, with proper planning and controls, save total project costs.

The GC/CM method enables the CRC Program to select contractors to perform work on the basis of a variety of selection factors in addition to price, such as experience in similar complex work, schedule performance, and cost control. The selection of contractors under the GC/CM delivery normally occurs after completion of the PE phase and allows the CRC Program to integrate value engineering into the Final Design phase thru maximum synergy between the "Designer" and the "Builder" to accomplish higher schedule and budget certainty.

Tables 8.3 and 8.4 (under development) include a listing of the large and long lead project packages and the corresponding delivery method for each project package.

### 8.2.3 Procurement Strategy

The CRC Team is finalizing its procurement strategy for each highway and transit project package listed in the Project Implementation Plan during the PE phase. The procurement strategy for each project package is the most suitable in combination with the delivery method assigned to that package, meets the project schedule and budget, satisfies applicable federal and state procurement requirements, and results in the best value to the Program.

The CRC Program's procurement strategy recognizes three contract categories:

- 1. Architectural and Engineering (A&E) and Personal Services contracts
- 2. Construction contracts
- 3. Equipment, Material and Supplies contracts

Procurement of contracts in the three categories varies according to the type of goods or services, the estimated dollar value of the contract, market conditions, or other factors. The CRC Team will choose the method of procurement that will maximize competition and will result in the best value to the CRC Program.

The procurement for a D-B contract includes two steps: (1) the request for qualifications (RFQ), and (2) the request for proposals (RFP). The qualifications are basic in nature and are intended to confirm that a contractor has demonstrated ability to perform the required work. The proposals require greater focus on schedule, design innovation, project price and other selection factors. The RFP phase typically includes instructions to proposers, conceptual design and reports, general specifications and performance standards. Once selected based on best value (highest score), the Design-Builder will advance the design in a fashion similar to the D-B-B review periods and is allowed to start early construction on select work elements concurrent with other design activities.

The procurement for a GC/CM contract includes two steps: (1) the request for proposals (RFP), and (2) negotiation of a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP). The proposals step is to select a contractor based on a demonstrated ability to perform the required work, and a percent fee on the estimated maximum allowable construction cost and the fixed fee amount for the general conditions work specified in the request for proposal for the life of the project. The negotiations step is based preferably on a 100% completed design reducing the estimation involved in developing the GMP and to allow the contractor to lock in subcontractors. If the CRC Program is unable to negotiate a satisfactory GMP with the selected contractor, negotiations will be formally terminated and the CRC Program shall negotiate with the next highest scored contractor.

#### 8.2.3.1 Laws and Policies Governing CRC Procurement Contracts

The WSDOT will procure all Architectural and Engineering (A&E) services during Final Design and Construction phases for contracts procured using the traditional Design-Bid-Build and GC/CM delivery. Procurement of architectural/engineering (A&E) design and construction services will comply with applicable WSDOT and Federal procurement rules.

The procurement of construction services, equipment and materials for the project packages identified in the Project Implementation Plan will be divided between WSDOT, ODOT and TriMet. To that effect, procurement of construction services, equipment and materials, will be governed by applicable WSDOT, ODOT or TriMet contracting guidelines, and conducted in a manner that provides maximum open and free competition consistent with FTA Circular 4220.1F, Third-Party Contracting Guidelines, and the Department of Transportation 49 of the CFR Part 18, Employees' Code of Ethics.

The CRC procurement practices will also conform, as appropriate, to the requirements of the following publications:

- Washington Administrative Code
  - WAC 458.20.101 Tax registration and tax reporting
- Revised Code of Washington
  - o RCW 18 Business and Professions
  - o RCW 18.08 Architects
  - RCW 18.43 Engineers and Land Surveyors

- o RCW 18.96 Landscape Architects
- o RCW 39 Public Contracts and Indebtedness
- o RCW 39.04 Public Works
  - ✓ RCW 39.04.250 Payments Received on Account of Work Performed by Sub-contractor – Disputed Amounts – Remedies. (Prompt Pay Law)
  - ✓ RCW 39.19 Office of Minority and Women's Business Enterprises
  - ✓ RCW 39.29 Personal Service Contracts
  - ✓ RCW 39.76.011 Interest on Unpaid Public Contracts When Payment is Considered to Be Made
  - ✓ RCW 39.80 Contracts for Architectural and Engineering Services
- o RCW 48 Insurance
- o RCW 51 Industrial Insurance
- o RCW 81 Transportation
- o RCW 81.104 High-Capacity Transportation Systems
- o RCW 82 Excise Taxes
  - ✓ RCW 82.32.030 Registration certificates Threshold levels
  - ✓ RCW 82.32.045 Taxes When due and payable Reporting periods Verified annual returns – Relief from filing requirements
- Oregon Revised Statutes
  - o ORS 279A.060
  - o Chapters 279A, 279B, and 279C (the Oregon Public Contracting Code)
- Washington and Oregon Statutory and Case Law
- Code of Federal Regulations
- Brooks Act 40 USC Chapter 10 Subchapter VI Selection of Architects and Engineers
- Federal Certification, Assurances, and Guidance
  - Civil Rights Act of 1964
    <u>42 USC Chapter 21 Subchapter V Section 2000d through 2000d-4a</u> Federally Assisted Programs

- Federal-aid Highway Act of 1973
  <u>23 USC Chapter 3 Section 324</u> Prohibition of Discrimination on the Basis of Sex
- Rehabilitation Act of 1973
  <u>29 USC Chapter 16 Subchapter VI Section 794</u> Nondiscrimination Under Federal Grants and Programs
- Age Discrimination Act of 1975
  <u>42 USC Chapter 76 Sections 6101 et seq</u> Age Discrimination in Federally Assisted Programs
- Justice System Improvement Act of 1979 42 USC 3701 et seq
- Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 Public Law 100-259
- American with Disabilities Act of 1990
  <u>42 USC Chapter 126 Section 12101 et seq.</u> Equal Opportunity for Individuals with Disabilities
- o CFR Title 23, Highways, Approved Alternate Procedures
- o Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Title 48, Federal Acquisitions Regulations
- Department of Transportation
  49 CFR Part 18, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments
- Participation by Disadvantaged Business in Department of Transportation Programs, 49 CFR Part 26
- Department of Transportation's Final Rules on Department of Contractors, Subcontractors Performing Under Grants, 49 CFR Part 29
- o Buy America, 49 CFR Part 661
- o FTA's Master Grant Agreement
- o FTA Circular 4220.1F, Third Party Contracting Guidelines, as revised.
- o FTA's Best Practices Procurement Manual

All CRC staff involved in procurements will strictly comply with the governing procedures referenced above. It is anticipated that FTA will audit WSDOT's compliance at the Triennial Procurement review.

#### 8.2.3.2 Grantee's Self-Certification

WSDOT receives FTA funding for the Ferry Division as well as the Public Transportation Programs. Each year the WSDOT Public Transportation Division completes the annual selfcertification by completing the certifications and assurances electronically. The certifications and assurances deal with a variety of compliance areas including the procurement process:

- Authority of Applicant
- Standard Assurances
- Intergovernmental Review Assurance
- Assurance of Non-discrimination on the Basis of Disability
- Suspension and Debarment
- US Office of Management and Budget
- Lobbying
- Procurement Compliance
- Protections for Private Transportation
- Public Hearing
- Acquisition of Rolling Stock for Use in Revenue Service
- Acquisition of Capital Assets by Lease
- Bus Testing
- Charter Service Agreement
- Demand Responsive Service
- Alcohol Misuse and Prohibited Drug Use
- Interest and Other Financing Costs
- Intelligent Transportation Systems
- Labor Provisions

### 8.2.3.3 Architectural and Engineering (A&E) and Personal Service Contracts

The CRC Program will procure (through WSDOT) the Architectural and Engineering (A&E) Services and Personal Service contracts by approval from the CRC Director. Personal Service contracts are used to obtain specialized services requiring formal training and technical experience. Personal Service contracts include, but are not limited to, contracts for Project Management Services (including construction management, estimating and scheduling assistance), and Legal Services.

The CRC Program will procure A&E and Personal services to supplement agency staff during the PE, Final Design, and Construction phases through one or a combination of the following options:

- Extensions to existing CRC A&E consultant agreements
- Existing WSDOT Statewide On-Call Services Agreements
- Selection of A&E consultants through a Qualification Based Selection (QBS) process to support preparation of final design documents on project packages designated for D-B-B and/or GC/CM procurements

### Selection of Design Builders through a Best Value Selection (BVS) process to deliver construction ready plans and specifications for project packages designated for D-B procurement

The procurement of A&E design and construction services for each project package will match the delivery method identified in the Project Implementation Plan. The CRC will utilize the procedures outlined in the WSDOT Consultant Programs Division Procedures Manual (http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Business/Consulting/ProceduresManual.htm) which sets forth the minimum standards for procuring and administering professional service contracts that CRC staff shall follow to ensure the CRC Program is compliant with state and federal laws described in Section 8.2.3.1 above.

WSDOT's Toll Division will directly contract on behalf of the CRC Program the planning, design development and procurement documents for the tolling facilities.

Procurement requests for A&E services are initiated by the CRC Director and routed for approval through WSDOT's Director of Consulting Services. The CRC Delivery Manager is responsible for development of solicitation documents with input from the Discipline Managers.

### 8.2.3.4 Construction Contracts

The CRC Program will follow applicable federal and state procurement laws described in Section 8.2.3.1 above and applicable procurement rules and procedures of the agency advertizing and procuring construction contracts on behalf of the CRC Program for approved project packages listed in Appendix XX - Project Implementation Plan. The CRC Team will draw upon existing best management practices from the procuring agency. The CRC Team anticipates implementing the following contracting strategy:

- WSDOT will execute one or multiple procurement contracts for the Main Bridge Elements including associated approaches in Hayden Island (Oregon) and SR 14 (Washington).
- WSDOT will execute procurement contracts for highway and transit construction in Washington.
- ODOT will execute procurement contracts for highway construction in Oregon.
- TriMet will execute procurement contracts for transit construction in Oregon, LRT Systems, and Light Rail Vehicles.

The CRC Program will coordinate with the procurement administrator of the agency responsible for publication of a specific CRC contract advertisement as described above. Contracts will be advertised on WSDOT's, ODOT's, or TriMet's electronic advertising system, as appropriate.

Tables 8.1 and 8.2 above each include a listing of the large and long lead project packages identified in Appendix XX - Project Implementation Plan, and corresponding contracting agency (for contract bid letting and contract execution).

#### 8.2.3.4.1 Non Low-Bid Competitive Procurement for Highway and Transit Civil Work

Non low-bid competitive contracting techniques deviate from the competitive bidding provisions in 23 USC 112 or use a method of award other than lowest responsible bidder. They may include *Design-Build* (Best Value where price and other factors are considered in the selection process), *General Contractor / Construction Manager* (Qualifications-based bidding first, following by price negotiations), or modified *Design-Build* (e.g. A+B or A+B+C bidding where price and other factors are considered in the selection process).

It is important for CRC team members to understand that state laws governing the use of non low-bid competitive procurement vary between the two states. Washington state law has special rules for alternative procurement, specifically allowing public agencies to become certified and use D-B and GC/CM delivery methods. WSDOT is certified to use D-B and has implemented this alternative delivery on many projects using best value selection (price and qualifications) to select contractors. Washington's RCW 39.10.390 code requirements on GC/CM delivery are limiting for the highway construction industry (work performed and equipment and materials supplied by the prime contractor may not exceed 30% of the negotiated maximum allowable construction cost) and, therefore, GC/CM has not been used to date by WSDOT on highway projects.

In contrast, Oregon state law requirements on GC/CM procurement are less limiting for the highway construction industry with no maximum limit placed on work performed and equipment and materials supplied by the prime contractor, except as required to meet DBE goals. The rules governing non low-bid competitive procurement in Oregon include development of formal Findings of Fact for Exemption (FFE) from the competitive bidding requirements, publication of the availability of the findings, conducting a public hearing, adoption of the FFE by ODOT's Director (on work procured thru ODOT) or the TriMet Board (on work procured thru TriMet) consistent with Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) 279C.335, and post-contract evaluation. Additionally, Oregon law permits use of best value selection (price and qualifications) with the traditional Design-Bid-Build (D-B-B) delivery, consistent with ORS 279C.335. Washington law, however, requires low bid procurement (best value is not allowed) with the traditional Design-Bid-Build (D-B-B) delivery.

It is the responsibility of the CRC Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager to prepare the necessary documentation to obtain approval for any non low-bid competitive procurement that deviates from the competitive bidding provisions in 23 USC 112 or use a method of award other than lowest responsive bidder. They will ensure that the following is undertaken:

- For each Highway or Transit project package that ODOT or TriMet procures using the non low-bid competitive procurement complete the following activities consistent with the requirements of ORS 279C.330 and .335:
  - Prepare the necessary documentation for the Findings of Fact for Exemption (FFE) from the competitive bidding requirements. Seek and obtain review comments from agency staff and the Department of Justice. Incorporate the review comments and advertize the draft FFE.

- Prepare and publish Notice of Public Hearing in at least one trade newspaper of general statewide circulation a minimum of 14 days before the hearing.
- Organize and hold a public hearing to accept oral and written comments on ODOT's or TriMet's draft findings in support of an exemption from competitive bidding.
- Incorporate relevant comments or information obtained from the public hearing into the final FFE document. Prepare the documentation required for ODOT's Director of Transportation's or TriMet Board's adoption of the FFE.
- For each Highway project package that ODOT or WSDOT procures using the non lowbid competitive procurement:
  - Prepare the necessary documentation and seek FHWA approval under Special Experimental Projects (SEP-14).
- For Transit project packages that WSDOT procures using the GC/CM non low-bid competitive procurement complete the following activities:

### XXXXXX

#### 8.2.3.4.2 Light Rail Vehicle Procurement

The CRC Program will draw upon TriMet's success with Light Rail Vehicle Procurement on past projects. The light rail vehicle procurement will follow a competitive negotiation type process with WSDOT, TriMet, and C-TRAN coordinating and preparing a detailed Technical Specification and a Request for Proposals that TriMet would advertize on behalf of the CRC Program. An evaluation committee will review and score the proposals on a combination of technical factors and price, and establish a competitive range. In the second step, proposers in the competitive range will meet with the evaluation committee to explore ways to improve their proposed designs, and then submit Best-and-Final Offers. The evaluation committee will make a final selection using a combination of technical factors and price, including pricing of any options. This Best value procurement strategy to procure the vehicles will be performed thru TriMet's procurement system.

The contract will include federal requirements which incorporate the contractor's responsibilities regarding clean air, clean water, environmental compliance, energy conservation, privacy, cargo preference, Fly America, seismic safety, Buy America, recycled products, and notification of federal participation. The contract will also require the contractor to design the vehicles to the contract criteria, which will specify vehicle configuration including; critical dimensions; weight and passenger loading; operating environment; supply voltages; performance requirements; noise, vibration, and ride quality; electromagnetic interference and compatibility; mock-ups and samples; reliability; maintainability; and system safety program. The selected contractor will be required to comply with Buy America provisions for rolling stock, including with pre-award and post-delivery audits. The CRC Program with assistance from TriMet will perform these audits.

The CRC Program will assign a Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) to manage the procurement, design, manufacture, and testing of the vehicles. The Project Engineer reports to CRC's Systems Lead.

### Light Rail Vehicle Procurement Management

The selected contractor will be required to designate a project manager and submit a management plan. Required elements in the plan may include:

- Organization chart, including all primary personnel involved in the contract
- Management chart depicting design, manufacturing, and testing responsibilities of the contractor and each subcontractor
- Progress schedule, including deliverables and milestones
- Quality assurance plan
- Systems integration plan

### Light Rail Vehicle Procurement – Design

Details associated with the design of the light rail vehicles will be finalized following a negotiated procurement.

### Light Rail Vehicle Procurement – Manufacture

Details associated with the manufacture of light rail vehicles will be finalized following a negotiated procurement.

### 8.2.3.5 Buy-America Requirements

The CRC Program evaluated the need to pursue a waiver from FTA's Buy America requirements for Girder Rail procurement. Research, however, by the Transit Team indicated that a modified T-Rail design that improves T-Rail performance can be manufactured in the states. At the time of preparing this PMP update, the CRC Team believes that it is highly unlikely that the Program would pursue a waiver from FTA's Buy America requirements for Girder Rail procurement, or pursue a waiver under FHWA's waiver process.

### 8.2.3.6 Equipment, Material and Supplies Contracts

Equipment, materials and supplies will be procured through competitive low bid procurement, unless circumstances justify an alternative procurement method. Materials and equipment contracts include, but are not limited to, contracts for permanent materials and system components.

### 8.2.4 Procurement Schedule

The CRC Team is developing a critical path schedule to advertise and procure construction (and design) services for approved large and long lead project packages listed in Tables 8.1 and 8.2

above. The CRC procurement schedule is described in Figure 8.1 and meets the Program's cash flow needs.

This page left blank intentionally.

Figure 8-1. Procurement Schedule – Under Development

This page left blank intentionally.

# 9. Labor Relations and Policy

Overview

[Update pending]

## 10. Agreements, Permits, and Environmental Mitigation

### 10.1 Overview

The CRC Program encompasses two states, two cities, two county jurisdictions, and two MPO's. It is subject to both Oregon and Washington regulations, as well as many federal requirements. Completion of the Program requires agreements between finance partners, agreements for services from some of these authorities, and permits from federal and state agencies some of which include:

- Agreements between finance partners for delivery of project funds (State of Oregon, State of Washington, FTA, etc.).
- Agreements for design services between partner agencies (ODOT, WSDOT, C-TRAN, and TriMet).
- Agreements for design support services from jurisdictions (cities of Portland and Vancouver).
- Permits related to rail and utility rights of way (Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF), etc.).
- Federal and State permits such as the Clean Water Act Section 404, Section 9 & 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act, and Clean Water Act National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System 402 (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington and Oregon state agencies, etc.).

The Specialty Services Manager is responsible to ensure that all agreements and permits (federal, state, local jurisdictions, rail and private utilities) are obtained within the timelines identified in the Program schedule. Various CRC staff will be tasked with day-to-day coordination of establishing agreements and negotiating permits. CRC staff from all disciplines will provide support within their areas of expertise.

The CRC Team has identified all agreements and permits, and established a tracking matrix to monitor progress with assigned responsibilities, and expected dates to execute necessary agreements and secure permits.

CRC Procedure 10.3A - Term Sheets Preparation and Approval and CRC Procedure 10.3B -Agreement Development And Approval (*for Public Entities - agencies of Washington State, other states' agencies, federal agencies, a city or other public entity*) each describe in detail the process for drafting, reviewing and approving Term Sheets and agreements, respectively, between the dot's, with partner agencies (TriMet, C-TRAN) and Local Jurisdictions (cities of Portland and Vancouver, and Metro and RTC).

### 10.2 Funding Agreements

The funding plan will be finalized towards the end of the PE phase and followed with execution of a bi-state agreement between the leading partners, WSDOT and ODOT. Detailed information on the current funding plan can be found in the capital finance plan for the CRC.

The bi-state agreement between the leading partners, WSDOT and ODOT, will be prepared in accordance with the WSDOT Agreements Manual (M 22-99.01, November 2009, Chapter 4: GCA Agreements – Agreements with Government Agencies).

### 10.3 Design Services Agreements

Negotiations between WSDOT, ODOT, TriMet, C-TRAN and local jurisdictions (cities of Portland and Vancouver) are on-going to define the process and fee structure for obtaining permits and services related to utility relocations and reconstruction, land use, construction management, inspections, street lighting, traffic engineering, parks, street trees, planning and plan reviews, fire permits, and all other permits/procedures required by the respective local jurisdiction.

WSDOT will negotiate separate agreements with partner agencies and local jurisdictions including, but not limited to:

- C-TRAN
- TriMet
- · City of Portland
- City of Vancouver
- Metro
- RTC

The terms and conditions of such cost reimbursement agreements will be in writing, incorporate required FTA contract requirements, if using FTA grant funds, and identify the correct federal cost standards applicable to the work.

CRC Procedure 10.3A - Term Sheets Preparation and Approval and CRC Procedure 10.3B -Agreement Development And Approval (*Public Entities*) each describe in detail the process for drafting, reviewing and approving Term Sheets and agreements, respectively, with partner agencies (TriMet, C-TRAN) and Local Jurisdictions (cities of Portland and Vancouver, and Metro and RTC).

In general, the design service agreements establish a project manager from each agency who will act as the "point of contact" for the duration of the agreements. The agreements also establish the mechanisms by which partner agencies and local jurisdictions will accept improvements in their rights-of-way for local maintenance, generally involving a joint WSDOT/local agency inspection effort.

Partner agencies performing work for the CRC Program under executed design service agreements will submit invoices to the Agreements/IGA/Invoices Lead under the terms of the agreements. These invoices are subject to the same review and approval process as other contracts.

### 10.4 Federal and State Permits

Any project that has impacts to Federal and State managed or owned lands, waters or resources will require environmental permitting prior to construction. As discussed in detail below, the Environmental Manager is responsible for obtaining and tracking regulatory permits. Environmental Procedures Manuals from WSDOT and ODOT were used as guidance in producing this section of the PMP.

### 10.4.1 Regulatory Agency Coordination

As a bi-state project the CRC Program is subject to both Oregon and Washington regulations, as well as many federal requirements. The Project team worked with state and federal agencies to develop an effective approach for coordinating their involvement, and streamlining regulatory reviews and permits during the NEPA phase. The result is explained in the Interstate Collaborative Environmental Process (InterCEP) Agreement.

InterCEP allows the CRC Program to efficiently plan, design, and build a solution that successfully addresses the Program's goals and meets state and federal environmental regulations. The following regulatory agencies signed the InterCEP Agreement:

- National Marine Fisheries Service
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- Washington State Department of Ecology
- Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development
- Oregon Department of State Lands
- Oregon State Historic Preservation Office
- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

The main goal of this process is to ensure the project development team is aware of any potential concerns that could complicate the permitting process in the final proposed project. In addition, the project team engages in an ongoing dialogue with the necessary state and federal agencies prior to making major decisions. By getting concurrence and comments at key milestones, CRC can work toward reducing environmental impacts and avoid delays often associated with large scale projects.

InterCEP serves as a key advisory group to the CRC, providing formal feedback to the project development team at milestones including, but not limited to:

- Project Purpose and Need
- Screening Criteria for Alternatives
- Methods for analyzing impacts
- Range of Alternatives to carry into the DEIS
- Preliminary Draft EIS
- Draft EIS
- Biological Assessment
- Final EIS

According to the InterCEP Agreement, the contract terminates when a Final EIS and ROD have been issued for the Program. However, it is suggested the Signatory Agencies may use the collaborative framework as a model through the environmental permitting and construction.

### 10.4.2 Obtaining Federal and State Permits

The Environmental Manager is responsible for the day-to-day coordination of negotiating the permits with federal and state agencies to Program schedule. The Environmental Manager created during the PE phase a work plan outlining all necessary information to be submitted to obtain the permit and schedule for its submittal. The process for obtaining permits generally consists of a pre-application meeting, production of the necessary information for the permit, an application completeness review, submittal of additional information to complete the application, public notice/comment, agency review, public appeal period, applicant appeal period, and a post-appeal decision. The Specialty Services Manager is responsible for monitoring status to ensure timely execution of federal and state permits to Program schedule.

#### 10.4.3 Federal Permits and Approvals

Some approvals were granted from federal agencies prior to publication of the FEIS or through the ROD on the FEIS. Some of which include:

- Air quality conformity determination in RTP and MTIP
- National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Approval

- Department of the Interior Section 4(f) Report Formal approval for mitigation measures addressing impacts on parklands.
- Biological Opinion Federal Endangered Species Act, Magnuson-Stevens Act.

Various post-ROD environmental permits and approvals from federal agencies will be required. These permits will be obtained during the Final Design phase prior to construction:

- Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit
- Section 9 & 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act
- Federal Aviation Administration 7460 Permit
- Marine Mammal Protection Act Letter of Authorization

### 10.4.4 State Agency Permits

Permits from both Washington and Oregon state agencies will be required regarding issues under their respective jurisdictions. These permits will be obtained during the Final Design phase:

- Clean Water Act Section 401 Certification (OR and WA)
- Clean Water Act National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System 402 Permit (OR and WA)
- Construction Stormwater 1200-C Permit Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (OR)
- Construction Stormwater General Permit Washington Department of Ecology (WA)
- Stationary Source Permit (OR and WA)
- Hydraulic Project Approval (WA)
- Shoreline Management Act Permit (WA)
- Critical Areas Protection Permit (WA)
- Removal-Fill Permit Oregon Department of State Lands (OR)
- Lease-Easement Application (OR and WA)

### 10.5 Other Permits and Agreements

Local jurisdiction permits will be required by the cities of Vancouver and Portland including land use permits. Agreements with Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad and utilities to relocate individual utilities are also required. The Specialty Services Manager is responsible to monitor status and ensure timely issuance of all Project permits to Program schedule. These will be obtained during Final Design and include:

- Numerous land use permits from the cities of Portland and Vancouver to locate facilities. The Environmental Manager is responsible for coordinating preparation of land use permit application documents and securing approval from local agencies to Project schedule.
- Building permits from the Cities of Portland and Vancouver to build the CRC facilities. The Transit, Highway and Structures Managers are responsible for the coordination of securing Building permits from the cities to Project schedule.
- Demolition permits from the City of Portland for removal of the existing bridges. The Structures Manager is responsible for the coordination of securing the permits to Project schedule.
- Agreement/MOU with the BNSF railroad to locate the new grade-separated crossing of BNSF at the south end of downtown Vancouver, where the freeway bridge and light rail will pass over the freight tracks. The Structures Manager is responsible for the day-to-day coordination of securing the Agreement/MOU with the BNSF railroad to Project schedule. The agreement will reflect indemnification and operations and maintenance of the crossings. The WSDOT Utilities Manual (<u>G:\CRC\CRC Workpaper Files\1.0 Project Management\CRC Project Controls Procedures\CRC Procedure Manual - 2011\2011 -Term Sheets\References\Utilities Manual.pdf</u>), Chapter 3: Railroads, describes in detail the procedure for drafting, reviewing and approving the agreement with BNSF.
- Continuing Control Agreements will be established between state and local jurisdictions whose right-of-way is necessary for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the new facilities. These agreements will establish the respective responsibilities of the jurisdictions and the state with regard to continuing control. CRC Procedure 10.3B Agreement Development And Approval (*Public Entities*) describe in detail the procedure for drafting, reviewing, and approving Continuing Control Agreements. Agreements will be required between:
  - o ODOT
  - o WSDOT
  - o City of Vancouver
  - o City of Portland
  - o C-TRAN
  - o TriMet
- Utility agreements to relocate individual utilities in advance of CRC work in their area. The CRC's Utilities Lead with support from the Washington and Oregon State Attorney General offices is responsible for day-to-day coordination with utilities for preparation of permit documents and securing approval from each utility. CRC Procedure 10.3C -Agreement Development And Approval (Utilities) describes in detail the procedure for drafting, reviewing and approving agreements with utilities.

### 10.6 Private Utility Interface

Numerous private utility companies maintain facilities that will be affected by construction activities or permanent facilities. During PE, composite utility base maps were created based on information gathered in meetings with the individual utilities and other means. Preliminary relocation plans and schedules are being developed in consultation with the specific utilities.

During Final Design, the CRC Team will continue working with the individual utilities to agree on specific details establishing the impacts to the utilities' facilities. Each utility will be responsible to prepare relocation plans and relocate their infrastructure in advance of CRC work in their area.

The Utilities Lead is responsible for the day-to-day coordination with private utilities preparing relocation plans and ensuring utility relocation is completed to Program budget and schedule. The Specialty Services Manager is responsible to monitor status and execution to Program budget and schedule.

### 10.7 Environmental Mitigation

The CRC Program is scheduled to publish the FEIS in early fall 2011, with the Record of Decision (ROD) expected in late fall of 2011.

The FEIS identifies specific measures to mitigate Program impacts. The mitigation measures address:

- Neighborhood impacts.
- Residential and commercial displacements.
- Property acquisitions.
- Public service impacts.
- Visual and aesthetic impacts.
- Noise and vibration impacts.
- Impacts to fish.
- Water quality impacts.
- Wetlands impacts.
- Geologic impacts.
- Hazardous materials.
- Construction impacts.
- Transportation impacts.

- Adverse impacts to historic and archaeological resources.
- Public parks and recreation areas

The Environmental Manager will coordinate with regulatory agencies and local jurisdictions to further develop appropriate mitigation measures. The commitments to mitigation measures are documented in the ROD. The Environmental Manager is responsible for monitoring the Program's compliance with those measures.

### 10.7.1 Tracking Environmental Commitments

This section outlines the processes used to track environmental commitments. Related procedures will be adopted by the Environmental Manager during Final Design and Construction phases.

The Environmental commitments are entered into a commitment tracking database matrix with the following information: FEIS references, a description of the measure, and the responsible party. Commitments that are the responsibility of the Contractor will be written in the contract provisions in language that is biddable by the Contractor, buildable in practice, and enforceable. Once responsibilities are assigned, the progress against each measure is tracked in the commitment tracking system. This ensures that the Contractor and the CRC staff clearly know their respective responsibilities and assures the permitting agency that the CRC is fulfilling its commitments. The tracking database matrix will be updated and submitted quarterly to the FTA.

### 10.7.1.1 Implementation of Environmental Commitments during Final Design Phase

The Environmental Manager is responsible for ensuring, during Final Design, that the environmental commitments are included in the design plans and contract provisions. The following steps will be taken to verify the fulfillment of environmental commitments in the Record of Decision, environmental permits, and other environmental approvals:

- The Environmental Permitting Specialist shall enter the environmental commitments into the commitment tracking database matrix, and regularly monitor compliance. The database matrix will function as the CRC's single point of environmental compliance tracking. The status of each environmental commitment will be updated regularly by the Environmental Permitting Specialist and the database matrix submitted quarterly to the FTA.
- The Environmental Manager will determine at the beginning of Final Design the risk level for each environmental commitment. This risk level (low/moderate/high) is based on how likely it is for project design to violate environmental regulations or permit conditions. Risk is based on a number of factors, including, proximity to resource, sensitivity of resource, nature of work, etc.
- The Environmental Manager will meet on a regular basis with design staff to ensure coordination of design development with environmental commitments.
- The Environmental Manager will regularly review project designs to ensure that the environmental commitments continue to be incorporated into design documents.

- If surveying of property occurs during Final Design, the environmental resources that are at risk of impact should be fully delineated and surveyed.
- The Environmental Manager will proactively coordinate with the resource agencies to ensure early and constant communications of issues and requirements.
- As permits are acquired, incorporate any new environmental commitments described in permit terms and conditions into design plans and contract provisions.
- Design plan sets will include Environmental Compliance Plan and Environmental Compliance Notes plan sheets to identify sensitive areas, cross-referenced to environmental commitment type.
- The Environmental Manager will maintain constant contact with resource agencies and a working knowledge of environmental issues to ensure that the FEIS and permit commitments are addressed.
- The Environmental Manager will attend the pre-construction conferences and will present the environmental issues using the Environmental Compliance Plan, Environmental Compliance Notes and commitment tracking system as the basis.

### 10.7.1.2 Implementation of Environmental Commitments during Construction Phase

During the Construction phase, the CRC Program will use a proactive approach for monitoring and inspecting field work to help guard against environmental violations that could potentially introduce cost and schedule impacts. Requirements and procedures will be developed during Final Design and described in contract provisions. An outline of key requirements and procedures during the construction phase follows:

- Require the Contractor to prepare and submit an Environmental Compliance Plan.
- Include a listing of Environmental Commitments in the construction contract.
- Designation of the party responsible for acquiring permits to be described in detail in the contract provisions.
- Include a listing of permits conditions in the contract provisions.
- Develop record keeping and reporting procedures that CRC staff will use during Final Design and Construction phases.
- Include requirements for environmental protection training for the Contractor's staff and subcontractors before field work begins.
- Include a requirement that the Contractor shall submit, before field work begins, required environmental plans for review by the Environmental Manager.
- Develop procedures for permit modification during construction, if deemed necessary, including strategies for guarding against cost and schedule impacts while still acting as a good steward to the environment.

- Continue updating the commitment tracking database matrix with quarterly reporting to FTA.
- Monitor Contractor activities that may affect the environment.
- Coordinate CRC's environmental monitoring staff's daily activities with the CRC's Construction Team.
- Identify fluctuating work schedules among the environmental monitoring staff members to ensure constant coverage of field activities that may affect the environment.

# 11. Safety and Security

### 11.1 Overview

WSDOT, ODOT, and partnering transit agencies, TriMet and C-TRAN will strive to design and build a safe and secure multi-modal project that delivers the needed highway and LRT improvements to the growing Vancouver and Portland metropolitan areas. Safety (and security) is a value that affects all levels of the highway and transit activities, including planning, design, construction, testing, and operations.

Safety is not optional. All CRC Program staff and contractors are charged with the responsibility of following applicable safety and health standards of the State Department of Labor and Industries or other regulatory agencies. It is the responsibility of every CRC Program staff to provide for workplace safety utilizing safe work practices, employing applicable WSDOT or ODOT standards and procedures regarding public safety in work zones, and for providing a cooperative working environment for all fellow CRC staff. Prompt reporting of unsafe conditions, incidents and accidents, and discriminating or harassing behavior is required to their immediate supervisor and/or the applicable safety office or Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO) representative.

The CRC Program established the Safety and Security Committee during the PE phase to develop, implement, and administer a comprehensive and integrated safety and security program in compliance with the requirements of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Circular C5800.1. The committee is made up of representatives from WSDOT, ODOT, C-TRAN, and TriMet, as well as local law enforcement in both Portland, Oregon and Vancouver, Washington. Appendix XX - Safety and Security Management Plan – details the specific strategies to identify, prevent, and control hazardous conditions affecting LRT elements of the CRC Program, its customers, and those who come in contact with it.

### 11.2 Risk Control (Safety)

WSDOT and ODOT are committed to the safety of their employees. Providing CRC staff (both agency and co-located consultant staff) a safe environment in which to work is WSDOT's and ODOT's top priority. CRC staff operating at CRC offices in Vancouver, WA is covered by the policies and procedures in the WSDOT *Safety Procedures and Guidelines Manual* (http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/publications/manuals/fulltext/M75-01/Safety.pdf), and other related policy documents. CRC staff operating in the field is covered by the applicable WSDOT *Safety Procedures and Guidelines Manual or* ODOT Safety *and Health Manual* depending on location of field work in Washington or Oregon, respectively. (Because we could have CRC personnel working in WA and OR, I would recommend reviewing both state standards and utilize the more stringent of the two. This would make it easier for CRC staff to follow, as opposed to trying to remember which state policy they need to abide by).

### 11.2.1 Office Safety

The following is a site specific building evacuation plan that describes steps to be performed by all CRC staff and visitors immediately when a fire alarm is activated at the CRC office in downtown Vancouver.

### **CRC** Building Evacuation Plan

- All CRC staff and visitors must evacuate the building immediately when a fire alarm is activated, using the north and south stairwells. Do not use the elevators. (See Figure 11.1 and Figure 11.2 below for a diagram of the evacuation plan.)
  - The egress map in the elevator lobby shows the direction to the two stairwells from the lobby.
    - ✓ Stairwell #6 is the north stairwell, just north of the bathrooms.
    - ✓ Stairwell #7 is the south stairwell, just south of the lunchroom.
  - The two doors to the elevator lobby will automatically be unlocked in case of emergency.
  - For those with a disability, please wait at the landing area of the two stairwells for assistance to go down the stairs.
  - Two designated sweepers will walk around the office to ensure that all CRC staff has evacuated the office. The sweepers shall take the visitors log, a copy of the staff roster, and CRC emergency contact list with them to the designated meeting area (see below).
  - All visitors must sign in and sign out on the visitors' log at the front desk. CRC staff shall be responsible for escorting their visitors out of the building.
- Proceed to the first floor (street level) and exit the building.
- All CRC staff and visitors are to meet at the designated meeting area, which is the gazebo in Esther Short Park, located just south of 8<sup>th</sup> Street and just west of W. Columbia Street (across the street from Starbucks – 1 block west of Vancouver Center). The designated sweepers will meet with all evacuees and conduct a head count in this waiting area. Do not leave this waiting area until you have been authorized to do so by the designated sweepers.
  - The key contact for WSDOT is Keith Daly (the alternate is Ray Barker). The key contact for ODOT is Aaron Myton (the alternate is Marilyn Webb). The key contact for the consultants is Dann Horowitz (the alternate is Lyn Wylder). For TriMet, the key contact Steve Witter and for C-Tran the key contact is Wesley King.
  - The designated 3<sup>rd</sup> floor sweepers, Keith Daly and Devin Reck, will meet with key contacts of WSDOT and ODOT to conduct head counts.
  - The designated  $2^{nd}$  floor sweepers, Mike Williams and Frank Green, will meet with key contacts of WSDOT and ODOT to conduct head counts.

This page left blank intentionally.

### Figure 11.1. Third Floor Evacuation Plan



This page left blank intentionally.

### Figure 11.2. Second Floor Evacuation Plan



This page left blank intentionally.

### 11.2.2 LRT Safety and Security Verification

The CRC Safety and Security Committee developed the Safety and Security Management Plan (SSMP) that lays out strategies to identify, prevent, and control hazardous conditions affecting the LRT elements of the CRC. The SSMP describes the integration of safety and security activities to reduce the potential for incidents and accidents and increase the efficiency of LRT operations. It establishes accountability and responsibility for safety and security during each CRC phase – preliminary engineering through start-up, integrates the safety and security functions and activities throughout the CRC, C-TRAN, and TriMet's organizational structure, and identifies parties responsible for operating and maintaining the CRC's LRT facilities and equipment. The Transit Manager, assisted by the Transit Safety and Security Lead is responsible for implementing the SSMP in collaboration with the TriMet's Director of Safety and Security and C-TRAN's Director of Operations. The draft SSMP was reviewed by the PMOC, and comments have been addressed and incorporated in the January 2011 update.

### 11.2.2.1 Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) and Threat and Vulnerability Analysis

The Transit Manager is responsible to ensure that a Hazard Analysis (HA) and a Threat and Vulnerability Assessment (TVA) are conducted during the Final Design phase. The PHA and TVA will incorporate the elements of the FHWA Threat and Vulnerability Analysis for both states, Oregon and Washington. The analyses will help determine security enhancement needs for the new main river crossing, at new station locations, and park-and-ride facilities. Once the PHA and TVA risk level (criticality) matrices have been developed, the Transit Design Manager shall track their respective items through design, construction and startup for resolution. Details of the Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA) and Threat and Vulnerability Analysis process can be found in Appendix XX - Safety and Security Management Plan.

#### 11.2.2.2 Safety and Security Certification

The Transit Safety and Security Lead will coordinate with TriMet's Director of Safety and Security and C-TRAN's Director of Operations the development of Certifiable Elements, Certifiable Items Lists (CILs) and conformance checklists, followed by verification of design conformance, construction conformance and operational conformance, including Certificates of Conformance for each Certifiable Element as completed. Details of the design safety and security certification procedure, including Design Criteria Verification, Testing/Inspection Verification, Hazard and Vulnerability Resolution Verification, Operational Readiness Verification, are included in Appendix XX - Safety and Security Management Plan.

#### 11.2.3 Highway Operational Safety

The CRC Program encompasses the states of Washington and Oregon and is subject to applicable DOT's safety requirements depending on work zone location. CRC staff (both agency and consultant staff) in the field will be routinely exposed to a variety of hazards. They must take adequate safety precautions at all times. The following items represent common activities that CRC staff may encounter, and should be addressed in pre-activity safety plans, as needed.

• When traffic control measures are necessary, approved Traffic Control Plans (TCPs) should be used in conformance with the *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, as

adopted by WSDOT or ODOT. CRC staff should ensure that the appropriate TCP is used and that the necessary signs, devices and equipment are available.

- Parking of CRC vehicles too close to the path of construction equipment, behind standing equipment, or in other hazardous locations is not permitted.
- Where traffic is maintained in work zones, care must be taken to avoid approaching traffic when it is necessary for CRC staff to step onto or cross the traveled portion of the roadway. Whenever possible, work activities, ingress and egress, should be conducted within the relative safety of the work zone.
- CRC staff working on foot in the highway right of way and other areas exposed to vehicular traffic must comply with the high visibility clothing requirements of the applicable WSDOT *Safety Procedures and Guidelines Manual* or the ODOT Safety *and Health Manual*. (Would recommend adopting whichever state has more stringent requirements. Both states have to follow Federal high visibility requirements, so this may not be an issue.)
- Where CRC staff is working adjacent to traffic, without positive barriers, the work area should be marked with proper signs and traffic control devices as shown on the appropriate Traffic Control Plan (TCP). CRC staff should use a spotter as needed.
- When CRC staff is working under the protection of the Contractor's flaggers and signs, other signs may not be needed. Good communication with the Contractor and Flagger is needed to ensure that they are aware of CRC staff activities within the work zone.
- A survey crew is typically exposed to traffic hazards and should conduct survey work under approved TCPs from the applicable WSDOT's *Work Zone Traffic Control Guidelines* or ODOT's *Oregon Temporary Traffic Control Handbook.* (Would adopt the more stringent TCP of the two states).
- CRC staff shall ensure that an area is safe before entering it for the purpose of inspection. For example, a deep trench must be adequately shored and braced before entering it.
- Aggregate production and material processing plants should be inspected for safety hazards. Corrective measures should be called to the attention of the Contractor or producer. Corrections must be completed before CRC staff will be permitted to proceed with entry or work upon the premises.
- CRC staff must, at all times, watch for backing trucks and not depend upon hearing alone for warning. The noise of plants and other equipment often make it impossible to hear trucks approaching and the truck driver's vision area is restricted when backing a truck.
- When CRC staff is injured during field work to the extent that the services of a doctor are required, the Safety Manager, and applicable WSDOT or ODOT Regional Safety Officer shall be notified immediately.

### 11.2.4 Incident Reporting during Field Work

The CRC Procedure 11.2.4 - Field Incident Notification describes how information is distributed to appropriate CRC managers in the event of an incident during field work. Each CRC field crew has a plan that includes safety and notification information related to situations which could emerge during their field work. CRC field crews are responsible for notifying any necessary permitting, regulatory or other responsible agency as dictated in their scope of work. CRC field crews are also responsible for notifying the Safety Manager, CRC in-office field staff for internal distribution of information, Communications Outreach Manager and CRC Director and Deputy Director.

### 11.2.5 Construction Safety

### General

Contractors doing work for the CRC Program must provide safety controls for the protection of life and health of the Contractor's employees and other persons, for the prevention of property damage, and for the avoidance of interruptions in the performance of the work under each CRC contract. WSDOT or ODOT, as contracting agencies, represented by CRC staff performing day-to-day contract administration have the responsibility for enforcement of the provisions of each CRC contract, however, provisions and regulations which are by law the fundamental responsibility of other agencies, both from the standpoint of interpretation and enforcement, should be monitored by CRC staff, but with full recognition as to the responsibilities and authorities of those agencies. The CRC Delivery Manager with support from the Safety Manager will cooperate fully with the responsible agency.

Any violations noticed by the CRC Delivery Manager (or representatives) will be brought to the attention of the Contractor for correction. The CRC Delivery Manager will also notify the responsible agency (if that action is deemed necessary) and utilize such sanctions as are consistent with contract terms in assisting the responsible agency in enforcing laws, rules, and regulations.

#### Pre-contract Preparation

- The CRC field staff shall review contract plans and contract provisions to identify those aspects of the work meriting special attention from the standpoint of potentially dangerous types of work and hazard elimination.
- The CRC field staff shall review the project site to identify those aspects of the location that present hazards such as limited sight distance, confined spaces, difficult terrain, extreme temperatures, illegal encampments, or exposure to biological and physical hazards associated with animals or humans.
- The CRC field staff shall review and become familiar with the requirements of the LRT Construction Safety and Security Manual (CSSM), developed during the Final Design phase and included as part of the contract provisions on LRT contracts.

### Preconstruction Duties

As part of the Preconstruction Meeting, the Contractor's safety program should be discussed. Some key items that the CRC Delivery Manager, or representative, should highlight include:

- The contractual obligation of the Contractor for complying with State and Federal construction safety standards.
- The availability of the safety standards that apply to the contract including CRC's LRT Construction Safety and Security Manual (CSSM), if applicable.
- The Contractor's site specific Construction Safety and Security Plan (CSSP), if applicable, must be submitted for review before any physical work on LRT contracts will be allowed.
- The accident prevention program of the Contractor organization, staff, names of responsible individuals, meetings, training, reports, etc. A review of specific areas for which plans are required (especially those also affecting CRC field staff). These might include Fall Protection, Confined Spaces, Respirators, Hearing, and Hazardous Materials plans. Implementing a mechanism for employees to report "near misses" and/or work zone accidents.
- The Contractor's responsibility for seeing that subcontractors comply with safety regulations.
- The Contractor's plans for meeting specific safety requirements and for eliminating potentially critical hazards on the project for all Contractor employees, CRC field staff, and the public.

#### CRC Delivery Manager's Role in Safety on the Program

It is difficult to generalize about safety. It's a judgment call which is dependent on risk, knowledge, authority to direct corrections, etc. As people, professionals and representatives of the states of Washington and Oregon, the CRC Delivery Manager and field representatives have an obligation to take action if they become aware of a situation that presents an immediate threat. CRC Procedure 11.2.4 - Field Incident Notification describes to CRC field staff the lines of communication and the process for alerting the responsible agencies, Safety Manager and CRC Delivery Manager with regard to serious safety hazards.

CRC field staff will be made aware that the Contractor is obligated to make the work-site safe, to their satisfaction, for inspection activities. CRC field staff uncomfortable with access for inspection will inform the Contractor of the situation and expect resolution. CRC field staff will also be made aware of project specific hazards and trained in specific areas as the work zone warrants. For example; fall protection and confined space requirements. The Safety Manager is responsible to ensure that each CRC field staff has the proper safety training.

CRC field staff will be made aware that the construction contract requires the Contractor to perform any measures or actions the CRC Delivery Manager (or representative) may deem necessary to protect the public, and that the CRC Delivery Manager (or representative) may suspend work if the Contractor fails to correct unsafe conditions. Additionally, they will be made aware that LRT contracts require the Contractor to perform specific job hazard analysis (JHA) for LRT construction activities and to submit these JHAs to the CRC Delivery Manager (or representative) for review and comment. CRC field staff will continuously monitor the Contractors' work activities for potential violations of legal safety requirements, and for any

condition that poses an immediate threat to the health of any person. CRC field staff will immediately notify the Contractor upon becoming aware of any such condition.

### 11.2.6 Transit Operations and Maintenance Personnel Training

The Transit Manager is responsible for ensuring that qualified LRT operations and maintenance personnel are trained and have the resources needed prior to taking maintenance and operational responsibility of the new CRC facilities and line. A timeline for when resources should be hired and their training needs completed by will be developed during the Final Design phase, including specific job classifications and numbers of personnel that will be required in each. This information will be included in the Safety and Security Management Plan update submitted with the Program's Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) application. Details of the training program are included in Appendix XX - Safety and Security Management Plan.

### 11.2.7 Transit Emergency Plans, Rules and Procedures

The Transit Safety and Security Lead will coordinate with TriMet and C-TRAN the update of their existing Security and Emergency Preparedness Plan (SEPP) during the Final Design phase and succeeding phases. The updates generally occur on an annual basis in accordance with agency commitments contained within the SEPP, with consideration for, and acknowledgement of the CRC Program, as appropriate.

The Transit Safety and Security Lead will ensure that TriMet and C-TRAN develop new plans, rules, and procedures to close any gaps that may exist in the updated materials. New and updated procedures will be included in training programs for the effected personnel. Emergency responders that could be involved in a response to an incident involving the CRC's LRT alignment will also receive familiarization training of the alignment, vehicles, right-of-way equipment, and emergency procedures. Unique areas of the alignment will be given special attention to ensure response protocol and procedures are developed for those particular areas. Details are included in Appendix XX - Safety and Security Management Plan.
# 12. LRT Design

# 12.1 Overview

This section summarizes the design requirements, design management, and design activities for the Transit portion of the CRC Program. The definition of "Design" function will normally vary by delivery method used to execute a project package. The "Design" function discussed in this chapter is defined as follows:

- Preliminary engineering (PE) and Final Design phase activities (including system integration) in support of developing procurement documents (100% plans, specifications and bid values) to select a contractor(s) to build specific portions of the Transit elements of the CRC Program under the traditional Design-Bid-Build (D-B-B) delivery.
- Preliminary engineering (PE) and Final Design phase activities (including system integration) to support negotiating a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) lump sum price agreement with the contractor selected at the start of the Final Design phase to build specific portions of the Transit elements of the CRC Program under the General Contractor / Construction Manager (GC/CM) delivery.
- Conceptual engineering activities (varies from 10 to 30% level design) during the PE phase to support preparation of the project package configuration and related procurement documents, and the selection of a Design-Builder using Best Value procurement to build specific portions of the Transit elements of the CRC Program. This definition applies to the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) and Request for Proposals (RFP) phases of the Design-Bid-Build (D-B) delivery.

Chapter 14 - Design Oversight For Design-Build Delivery discusses in details the Design Oversight post-selection of a Design-Builder to ensure satisfactory development and management of work plans, products conform to the "Design Work" portion of executed D-B contracts, satisfactory compliance with the design deviation process, and verifying Design-Builder compliance with design QC and QA requirements.

The CRC Transit Team (agency and consultant staff) will manage Transit design activities toward minimum feasible costs for design, construction, capital facilities, operating expense, minimum energy consumption, and minimum disruption of local facilities and communities. The design shall be consistent with maximum passenger safety, system reliability, service comfort, mode of operation, type of LRT vehicle to be used, sustainability and ease of maintenance.

# 12.2 LRT Design Requirements and Standards

The Transit Team has prepared a Transit Design Criteria Technical Memorandum that defines applicable design standards, technical requirements and design references for the LRT portion of the CRC Program. The criteria leverages TriMet's extensive design experience on several LRT extensions completed and opened to service in the Portland metropolitan area, and reflects

lessons learned on previous work as well as industry advances. The CRC's Transit Design Criteria Technical Memorandum establishes the basic criteria to be used in the design of the LRT system and facilities and will take precedence over other existing design standards which may have been used on other LRT projects prior to the CRC Program.

The Transit Team has updated the Transit Design Criteria during the PE phase, and will update individual design criterion on an as-needed basis during the Final Design phase. The Transit Design Manager maintains the Transit Design Criteria throughout the life of the project. The Transit Design Manager shall coordinate with the Systems Manager any necessary updates with input from the Civil, Park & Ride, Vehicles, Signals, Communications and TES/OCS Engineering Managers. Updates to the criteria will be documented as described in CRC Procedure 12.2 - Design Criteria Approval And Modifications. The Transit Manager shall approve any updates in writing, before it can be used in the design. In addition, drafting standards have been prepared to standardize and guide design activities and preparation of contract documents.

The CRC Transit Design Criteria covers the following LRT work elements:

- Civil Engineering
- Small Buildings
- Utilities
- Sustainability
- Landscaping
- Public Art
- Stations
- Electrical System
- Noise and Vibration
- Structures Arts and Amenities
- Fare Collection
- Light Rail Vehicles
- Clearances
- Operations Facilities
- Bus Facilities
- Traction Electrification
- System Security
- Signal System
- Elevators
- Communications
- Lighting
- Stray Current/Corrosion Control
- Amenities
- Light Rail Crossing Safety
- Signage and Graphics

- Track Geometry and Trackwork
- Parking Facilities

The Transit Team shall prepare drawings and technical specifications for each LRT project package designated for delivery under the traditional D-B-B or the GC/CM method in accordance with the design requirements in the Transit Design Criteria Technical Memorandum as follows:

- Within the State of Oregon, LRT design will be governed by the TriMet Design Criteria (Revised June, 2010) with the exceptions documented in the most current CRC Transit Design Criteria Technical Memorandum (May 2009).
- Within the State of Washington, LRT design will be governed by the TriMet Design Criteria (Revised June, 2010) with the exceptions documented in the most current CRC Transit Design Criteria Technical Memorandum (May 2009).
- Structural and seismic LRT bridge design related to the Main River Crossing and the Vancouver Touchdown Bridge (from the northern interface with the Main River Crossing to the north) will be governed by the WSDOT Bridge Design Manual (BDM) and Geotechnical Design Manual (GDM), and the AASHTO Guide Specifications for Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) as modified by the most current CRC Structural Design Criteria for the River Crossing Report (May 2008).
- Structural and seismic LRT bridge design related to the Hayden Island and North Portland Harbor structure (from the southern interface with the main river crossing to the south) will be governed by the TriMet Design Criteria (Revised June, 2010).

Deviations from the approved Transit Design Criteria may be made in particular situations. The Transit Design and Systems Managers shall each identify, explain, and justify any deviation from established design criteria in their respective areas.

The Transit Manager shall approve any design deviation in writing, before it can be included in the design.

# 12.3 LRT Design Management

The CRC Program has developed a project implementation strategy summarized in Chapter 8 -Program Delivery and Procurement of this PMP and discussed in detail in Appendix XX -Project Implementation Plan. The Project Implementation Plan divides the LRT portion of the CRC Program into separate and distinct project packages. It also identifies the delivery method that would optimally assign roles and responsibilities for the performance of each project package activities – design and construction. During PE and Final Design, the Transit Manager will oversee all activities of the Transit Team including track and systems engineering, parking garages, station design, LRT vehicles procurement, signals and communications, and equipment installation and testing. He/she will be assisted by the Deputy Transit Manager and supported by the Transit Design and Systems Managers who oversee:

 The day-to-day conceptual engineering activities to support preparation of the project configuration and procurement documents for any Design-Build procurement package(s), and • The production of the LRT final design plans including production of construction documents for all other Transit improvements.

Civil Engineering Managers for Oregon and Washington Transit packages and a Small Contract (Transit) Manager will report to the Transit Design Manager and lead the preparation of final design plans in their respective work areas to approved scope, schedule and budget.

A Park & Ride Delivery Engineer will lead the preparation of conceptual plans for the Parking Garages to support any D-B procurement, or to prepare final design plans if D-B-B procurement.

The design managers for Vehicles, Signals, Communications and TES/OCS will report to the Systems Manager and lead the preparation of final design plans in their respective work areas to approved scope, schedule and budget. Appendix XX – Technical Capacity and Capability Plan discusses in detail the design management organization of the CRC Transit Team during the Final Design phase.

# 12.4 LRT Design Execution

Transit design execution encompasses preliminary engineering (PE) and Final Design phases. The PE phase is part of the project development phase, and through this process several design alternatives were developed and analyzed, the project definition was determined, and the environmental documents (DEIS and FEIS) were prepared. The PE phase also includes developing the project configuration and procurement documents to support issuance of the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) and Request for Proposals (RFP) to select a Design-Builder for any project package designated for D-B delivery, and developing procurement documents to select a contractor for GC/CM delivery.

The Final Design phase is the process to develop 100% design plans and specifications for Transit project packages designated for D-B-B or GC/CM delivery.

Chapter 14 - Design Oversight For Design-Build Delivery discusses in details design oversight post-selection of a Design-Builder.

# 12.4.1 LRT Preliminary Engineering

The goal of the PE phase is to define all transit work elements sufficient to "freeze" the design and establish the project definition prior to entering the final design phase. The preliminary engineering design of transit work elements was developed to the generally accepted industry standard level of PE phase design of approximately 30%. Because the ultimate project cost was also determined by the completion of the PE phase, emphasis was placed on development of the elements that may be of the highest inherent risk in order to minimize cost uncertainties. The scope of the PE design effort and the corresponding milestones and deliverables are described in the contract documents with the design consultants.

During the PE phase, the preparation of the FEIS was also in progress requiring close coordination between preliminary design and environmental efforts. Upon successful completion

of the FEIS, the FTA awards a Record of Decision (ROD), which allows the project to move into final design. At the conclusion of the PE phase, the following had been determined:

- Project definition that establishes the baseline scope, baseline schedule and baseline budget, including a WBS structure;
- Existing conditions of the proposed site for the project including geotechnical conditions, utilities, hazardous materials and archaeological conditions;
- Type, Size and Location of all major structures;
- Limits of cuts and fills, and right of way impacts including a complete breakdown of partial and full takes;
- Conceptual design report to support the CRC's application to FTA to enter into Final Design;
- PE drawing set;
- Prepared project configuration and procurement documents to support issuance of the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) and Request for Proposals (RFP) to select a Design-Builder for any project package designated for D-B delivery;
- Developed procurement documents to advertise and select a contractor for any project package designated for GC/CM delivery;
- Identification of and mitigation strategies for environmentally sensitive areas;
- Updated and fully integrated master project schedule;
- Updated the cost estimate for each Transit project package to carry forward for the remainder of the Program;
- Updated design criteria and standard drawing and specification templates;
- Developed a Risk and Contingency Management Plan (RCMP) that includes primary and secondary mitigation activities to address Transit-related Program risks;
- Updated the Project Management Plan that meets the approval of the FTA and provides clear direction on agency policies and procedures to enable capable management of final design and construction phases.

# 12.4.2 LRT Final Design

The goal of the Final Design phase is to elevate the preliminary plans to 100% level of design, refine project quantities, identify bid items for all work activities reflected in the 100% plans, prepare special contract provisions, and assemble biddable documents to:

• Advertize specific project packages for the purpose of selecting contractors to implement Transit project construction under the D-B-B delivery; and

• Support negotiating a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) price agreement with the contractor selected at the start of the Final Design phase to implement Transit project construction under the GC/CM delivery, if any.

The Transit Manager assisted by the Deputy Transit Manager and supported by the Transit Design Manager and the Systems Manager will oversee preparation of contract bid documents including plans and specifications for Transit project packages identified for D-B-B and GC/CM delivery, if any. During the Final Design phase, the Transit Team will:

- Control the scope, schedule, cost and quality of the transit work;
- Coordinate regular design reviews and incorporate input from the GC/CM contractor as final design progresses on any project package identified for GC/CM delivery. Develop an independent cost estimate at the end of Final Design and negotiate with the GC/CM contractor a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) price agreement;
- Manage formal transit design reviews at prescribed milestones and to Design Review procedures discussed in Appendix XX Quality Control Plan (QCP);
- Manage the deviation from approved design criteria and standards and ensure the traceability of scope, schedule and budget against the project definition;
- Implement procedures related to quality assurance and quality control, design reviews, constructability reviews, VE studies, and changes to in-progress designs.
- Manage, review and approve the packaging of transit construction and procurement contracts, their scopes, sequencing, schedules and interfaces;
- Manage, review and approve each set of transit contract bid documents including plans and specifications;
- Assist the Agreements/IGA Lead in developing third-party agreements and related relocation designs for impacted facilities and systems;

# 12.4.3 LRT Drawing Management

The CADD Manager will oversee the drawing management system for the project's transit drawings. Detailed procedures for accessing and managing the development of Architectural and Engineering drawings that are controlled documents are described in CRC Procedure 12.4.3 - ProjectWise Architectural And Engineering Drawing Management. The CRC designers and technicians will comply with established ProjectWise procedures for preparing and delivering electronic engineering data for Transit project packages. The Transit Design Manager and the Systems Manager are expected to manage CRC's transit designers and technicians to established protocols and procedures.

The QA/QC Manager will perform periodic audits of the drawing management process, the asbuilt drawing process, and on performed design work.

# 12.5 LRT Design Control

A critical element to successful delivery of PE and final design phases is ensuring that the design criteria and other specified requirements including requirements of the relevant regulatory agencies are met. The CRC Team shall give important management considerations to the following design control activities: design coordination, design reviews, value engineering, constructability reviews, operations and maintenance reviews, system integration, peer reviews, and reliability and safety.

#### 12.5.1 Design Coordination

The Transit Team will institute regular internal design coordination meetings and coordinate closely with partnering local jurisdictions, advisory groups established during the preliminary design phase, and third party stakeholders to communicate project information and work through final design issues.

## 12.5.1.1 Internal Coordination

The Transit Team will hold internal design coordination meetings during the PE and Final Design phases to discuss project issues, review design production progress, project schedule and upcoming delivery milestones. These meetings are the responsibility of the Transit Design Manager.

The Transit Manager and Deputy Transit Manager attend weekly task managers meetings to discuss interface issues between transit, highway and bridge design functions, to coordinate with the Project Controls Manager on schedule, budget and scope interface issues, and to coordinate with the Communications Outreach Manager on upcoming public meetings. Design meetings will be held weekly with the contractor during Final Design of any project package identified for GC/CM delivery to maximize CRC design staff and contractor synergy.

## 12.5.1.2 Local Jurisdictions Coordination

Local jurisdictions (cities of Portland and Vancouver) involved in the project will be invited to participate in regularly scheduled external coordination meetings attended by the CRC's transit staff to discuss various project issues, seek consensus, and provide informal guidance to project staff. The meetings will be the primary forum for Local jurisdictions coordination and exchange of views on design issues. Local jurisdictions design coordination meetings are the responsibility of the Transit Manager, Deputy Transit Manager and Transit Design Manager.

#### 12.5.1.3 State and federal Coordination

The Transit Team will interface with state and federal, as required by law and administration procedures. Such agencies include FTA for all transit funding and grant matters, the Department of the Interior for 4(f) and 106 considerations, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for water permit concerns (such as 404 permits), the State Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) for various environmental matters, and various other regulatory agencies.

### 12.5.1.4 Advisory Groups Coordination

The Transit Manager, assisted by the Communications Outreach Manager are responsible for coordination with the appropriate external interface groups during Final Design phase to communicate project information and seek informal guidance to project staff on design issues:

#### 12.5.1.5 Third Party Coordination

The Transit Team will interface with the BNSF to address and reach agreement on operations and maintenance (O&M) of a new transit crossing in Vancouver, WA. The CRC will hold regular coordination meetings with BNSF to ensure timely design input and reviews, resolution of any issues, and execution of the O&M agreement to project schedule.

The Utilities Lead is responsible for ensuring utilities within the Program area (Transit and Highway areas) are properly located, impacts identified, and relocation (or protection) designs developed and implemented to ensure timely relocation to the Program schedule. This work effort requires close coordination between the Utilities Lead, the Transit Team, and all known utility companies and agencies within the Program area. Policies and procedures specific to utility relocation in Washington and Oregon are described in detail in Section 13.5.1.5 – Third Party Coordination of this PMP. The Transit Team will build on the extensive mapping of utility networks in project areas prepared during the PE phase and continue coordination with jurisdictional utility districts and utility companies through the Utilities Lead during the Final Design phase to produce the necessary relocation plans.

## 12.5.2 Design Review Process

The Transit Team will conduct, and document, quality control (QC) design reviews at major milestones during PE and Final Design phases in accordance with written procedures in the QCP. The Transit Team will coordinate formal design reviews by internal and external stakeholders following the completion of a QC review.

# 12.5.2.1 Quality Control Design Review Process

Milestones for QC design reviews of transit work elements will be included in the project schedule. QC design reviews will begin no later than five business days before the submittal date. The following defines the major milestone submittals for the PE phase: 15% and 25% and 30% Design. Major milestone submittals for the Final Design phase include: 60%, 90%, and 100%.

The Transit Team will perform QC design reviews to the approved engineering criteria, applicable codes and standards, and regulatory requirements. Quality-related activities will be documented and records maintained as discussed in Chapter 15 - Design Quality Control and in accordance with CRC's quality control procedures described in the QCP.

#### 12.5.2.2 Stakeholders Design Review Process

The Transit Team will distribute the 15%, 25%, 60% and 90% design documents (following completion of QC design reviews) to internal and external stakeholders along with comments sheets on which reviewers may record their comments on the documents. Reviewers will include representatives from the cities of Portland and Vancouver, FTA and its PMOC representative,

and internal TriMet and C-TRAN divisions representatives (Facilities Management, Project Planning, Real Property, Safety and Security, etc), WSDOT and ODOT.

The design review process allows internal reviewers and FTA and its PMOC representative to evaluate the design products (i.e., plans, specifications, and estimates) as they progress. The Transit Manager will conduct plans-in-hand review sessions with internal reviewers following each distribution of the design documents. The Transit Design Manager and Systems Manager will compile and maintain a list of all comments received and will distribute written responses to those comments in a timely manner. All comments will be tracked through resolution. The Transit Engineering Leads in specific subject matters (e.g. civil engineering, signals, and communications) will be responsible for the resolution of those comments in their respective areas.

The cities of Portland and Vancouver will review the design from the perspective of local code and ordinance compliance and compatibility with existing facilities or planned development. The Transit Team will pay special attention to design comments that may impact the project schedule or budget. The Transit Design Manager will meet with the cities representatives to resolve any issues identified. All design service agreements will specify the participation of the cities of Portland and Vancouver in the design review process and the required time frames for submitting comments to CRC.

The Transit Design Manager and Systems Manager review any external comments that the Transit Engineering Leads believe would be a change to the scope of work. They may determine that the change is minor and necessary, and direct that the design documents be revised. Comments that the Transit Design Manager and Systems Manager believe could pose a threat to the Project's budget or schedule are discussed with the Transit Manager and CRC Director. The CRC Director determines if the issue needs to be brought before the Integrated Project Sponsor Council Staff for discussion as described in Section 2.3 of this PMP if it is a potential change to the project definition.

# 12.5.3 Value Engineering

The CRC Program places a high degree of importance on VE studies. VE is a systematic process of review and analysis by a multi-disciplined team of persons not involved in the project. VE studies ensure that essential functions are procured at the lowest capital and life cycle cost, and that costs are consistent with needed performance, quality, reliability, aesthetics, safety, and operation. The CRC Team will coordinate VE studies through WSDOT's Value Engineering Program and comply with federal requirements. The CRC's process will be compatible with FTA guidance and practice.

WSDOT's program emphasizes participation from interdisciplinary industry experts and construction contractors independent of the CRC Team to ensure an unbiased interest in the project design. VE Team make-up may vary depending on the scope of the study. VE of transit design work elements may be done by independent teams of qualified professionals.

The VE Facilitator (a Certified Value Specialist through the Society for American Value Engineering (SAVE) International who must also be a licensed professional engineer) submits a plan outlining the VE study phases and time allotment following WSDOT's VE policy and

SAVE International best practices, for approval by CRC's Highway, Structures and Transit Managers. Each VE study will include investigation, speculation (brainstorm), evaluation, development, and presentation phases. The presentation phase results in a report and presentation from the VE Study Team.

The CRC Team completed several VE studies to date, and several more are planned. Below is a list of studies conducted and planned:

- Study No. 1 Transit Options May 21-25, 2007
- Study No. 2 River Crossing Options May 21-25, 2007
- Study No. 3 Washington Approach June 4-8, 2007
- Study No. 4 Oregon Approach June 4-8, 2007
- Study No. 5 25% Design Evaluation Date and Content To be Determined
- Study No. 6 60% Design Evaluation As needed Date and Content To be Determined
- Studies No. 7 and 8 Design/Construction Specific As needed Date and Content To be Determined

The Transit Manager is responsible for reviewing and preparing a formal response to VE recommendations specific to the Transit portion of the Program, and indicating adoption or an explanation why CRC disagrees with any particular recommendations. Adoption of recommendations will only occur with appropriate notification to WSDOT and ODOT Executives and CRC Director on scope and budget revisions.

During final design, the Transit Manager is responsible for ensuring that all agreed upon VE proposals specific to the Transit portion of the Program are tracked and incorporated into the design documents.

## 12.5.4 Constructability Reviews

Constructability is a matter of continual evaluation of the technical details of the engineering solutions to the overall CRC Program components during the design process for the purpose of ensuring that each project package is readily biddable, buildable, and maintainable. It concerns both the engineering attributes and the possible means and methods by which the project components can be constructed. Given the complexity of the multi-modal CRC Program, constructability reviews will occur at key process steps during PE and Final Design phases. Additionally, the reviews will focus on maintainability to assure that quality CRC facilities are provided continuously throughout the operational phase. Specifically, maintainability will assess ease of maintenance, workability, accessibility, and minimizing, wherever possible, operational conflicts and the exposure of maintenance staff and equipment to moving traffic.

Constructability reviews will occur during the phases of work described below and will focus on raising issues, resolve problems, recommend modifications, suggest actions and provide guidance that is endorsed by the CRC Team before moving further with the PS&E development.

- Conceptual/Schematic A conceptual constructability review was held from June 16, 2008 to June 20, 2008. The constructability review panel provided feedback on several areas including staging, schedule durations, cost, risk identification, and ideas for improvement. A final report was prepared detailing findings of the study.
- 30% Design Review The 30% constructability review would mainly focus on a constructability assessment of the project's primary geometric features. Geometric details would be reviewed, and guidelines/directions would be given to the Transit Team so that it could develop the required/ultimate design details for the PS&E during Final Design phase.
- **60% Design Review** The 60% constructability review would focus on several features and details and would address all items that would be critical to the completion of a constructible maintainable project. Reviews include plans, costs and structural requirements including special foundation considerations or materials involved, construction staging and traffic control requirements, details of hydraulics requirements and special drainage structures.
- **90% Design Review** The 90% constructability review would be the review of the contract plans and the special provisions as the project design is being completed and advertisement for construction being readied. The review also would include any design modifications or variations from the agreements reached at the 60% constructability review. (For project packages procured through TriMet also perform a biddability review as described under PS&E below.)
- **PS&E Review** Near the completion of the final design phase (for project packages procured through WSDOT), a biddability review will be conducted for major civil, structures and systems contract(s). This review of the plans, specifications and estimates will focus on the following elements:
  - o Completeness of scope
  - o Correctness of cross-references from drawing to drawing and detail clarity
  - Agreement of intent between general conditions, special provisions, and technical sections of the specifications
  - o Compatibility between drawings and schedule of bid items
  - o Compatibility of civil, structures and systems construction
  - o Expectations for construction schedule

The Highway Engineering Manager coordinates the scheduling of constructability reviews for the CRC Program including transit elements. The reviews for transit and highway elements will be held concurrently since both are constructed within the same area, therefore, aiding in identifying potential issues and overlapping construction problems. The Highway Engineering Manager, with input from the Transit Manager, is responsible for developing the agenda, times for each segment of the review meeting, and background information to provide to reviewers

prior to any meeting. He/she will also ensure that all disciplines involved have checked the applicable documents and plans, and completed the applicable checklists.

At each constructability review meeting, the CRC staff will distribute the design documents along with constructability review checklists for various project features. Reviewers may include outside professional consultants, construction trade experts, or any other agency discipline as necessary, depending on the expertise required. The review should be recorded by a designated note keeper. At a minimum, all decisions and agreements and all directions should be documented. A brief report should be developed outlining the results of the review (issues raised, decisions or solutions) and documenting the direction discussed and agreed to for the next phase of project development. The Highway Engineering Manager is responsible for coordinating with the Transit Manager to address any resolved transit-related issues that remain at the conclusion of the review meeting and ensuring expedited resolution of any outstanding problems that affect PS&E progress. The noted action or response will be audited by the QA/QC Manager to ensure compliance with the final set of contract documents.

#### 12.5.5 Operations Reviews

The Transit Team will coordinate with TriMet and C-TRAN's operations, safety, security and maintenance staff to review and provide input to the preliminary and final design documents as described below to ensure that new CRC transit facilities and equipment mesh seamlessly with those already in place and to support coordinated LRT operation linking Portland and Vancouver communities:

- Participate in constructability reviews to ensure operability, maintainability, safety, and convenience.
- Participate in the CRC's Safety and Security Committee to develop, implement, and administer a comprehensive, integrated, and coordinated System Safety and Security Program that identifies, prevents, and controls hazardous conditions affecting LRT resources and customers.
- Review all designs for compatibility of bus-rail passenger transfer facilities.
- Participate in establishing test requirements and provide requirements on test summary sheets for on-site tests (acceptance, systems, and pre-revenue).
- Provide support to on-site acceptance testing as required.
- Allocate operations and maintenance personnel and equipment resources as identified on test summary sheets.
- Actively participate in the planning, test procedure writing, management, and implementation of LRT systems and pre-revenue tests.
- Establish test procedures. These will include: Project safety rules for test operations; general operating rules for conducting test operations; equipment operating procedures; and test scheduling procedures.

# 12.6 System Integration

The purpose of this management function is to ensure compatibility and seamless integration of new and existing light rail systems. The Transit Manager is responsible for ensuring system integration within CRC's transit contract elements, as they relate to other concurrent or follow-on CRC contracts and with TriMet's existing operating systems. The Systems Manager oversees the day-to-day management of the overall integration effort.

# 12.6.1 Preliminary and Final Design

The Systems Manager supported by the Vehicles, Signals, Communications and TES/OCS Leads will work closely with TriMet and C-TRAN operations and maintenance representatives to verify that:

- Documents under review fulfill the functional requirements of the design criteria, and are consistent with operating plans, procedures, and rules; and
- Train signaling, traffic signal, vehicle traction power, fare machine, and communication system documents are mutually compatible and coordinated. At the same time, verify that the right-of-way, trackwork, stations and storage, maintenance, and other permanent facilities defined in the documents under review will accommodate the system elements.

#### 12.6.2 Pre-Revenue Testing

The Systems Manager will coordinate with TriMet and C-TRAN operations and maintenance personnel to perform pre-revenue testing. The purpose of the testing is to insure compatibility between various elements of systems and to make sure they work together. Also the test provides assurance that there are no conflicts between the civil and systems installations that would interfere with transit operations. The pre-revenue test program will be developed by TriMet's Test Program Coordinator in conjunction with TriMet's System Safety Manager, operations and maintenance representatives and contractors as described in detail in Chapter 18 - LRT System Testing And Start-Up.

# 12.6.3 Compatibility with Existing Systems

The Systems Manager oversees systems integration activities to ensure that the new facilities and systems are compatible with the existing system. Civil, Vehicles, Signals, Communications, OCS and TVM Leads will pay special attention to development of final design plans and specifications for the civil line sections, system-wide elements, and the LRVs that share certain characteristics with the existing equipment and facilities. Among these characteristics are:

- Traction power voltage compatibility with the existing system
- Communication and signal systems features including automatic train stop and train-towayside
- Car body crush strength, collision post and anti-climber strength/location, and clearance envelope

- Vehicle performance such as motoring and braking rates, train line commands, mechanical and electrical coupling
- Consistent station platforms to enable all riders to board and depart
- An integrated approach to the provision of service to elderly and disabled patrons

# 12.7 Reliability, Dependability, Safety

Quality, safety, and other review processes are described below.

# 12.7.1 Quality Assurance Reviews

The QA/QC Manager, as described in Appendix XX – Quality Assurance Manual, shall conduct formal quality assurance audits after major design submittals. These audits will assess compliance with CRC's quality control program requirements described in detail in Appendix XX – Quality Control Plan.

## 12.7.2 Safety and Security Reviews

The Transit Manager is responsible for implementing the components of the Safety and Security Management Plan, described in detail in Appendix XX. This will be carried in close collaboration with TriMet's Director of Safety and Security and C-TRAN's Director of Operations.

The Transit Design Manager is responsible to coordinate design reviews of proposed LRT facilities and equipment designs by TriMet's operations and safety staff in coordination with C-TRAN, ODOT, and WSDOT. These reviews will be conducted in accordance to the Safety and Security Management Plan and will focus on operational safety and security of the completed system for passengers, automobile drivers, bicyclists and pedestrians, and ensure designs comply with TriMet's safety and security requirements, and give full consideration to concerns unique to C-TRAN's operating environment. Contracted safety specialists may also be included in the reviews depending on the special features of the design under study.

Changes or exceptions to critical safety and security components of the design criteria during the course of the design and construction of CRC's LRT elements will be brought to the attention of CRC's Safety and Security Committee for review and approval. The Committee will review the information and either approve or not approve the exception. If the exception is not approved, the Committee may require project staff to return with additional alternatives to review until an acceptable solution is found. This process will be in place throughout the design and construction of the LRT elements. For further details see Appendix XX - Safety and Security Management Plan.

#### 12.7.3 ADA Reviews

The CRC Program will conduct design reviews to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). ADA compliance specialists may also be brought in for design reviews.

#### 12.7.4 Peer Reviews

A peer review involves the focused review of selected project elements by a group of specialists (professionals and/or construction experts) experienced in the affected type of work. Such reviews generally entail a comprehensive study of a particularly challenging matter, subject or problem, for example, the complexities of construction of a major new river crossing, as recently done by the Bridge Expert Review Panel convened by CRC Management to evaluate Columbia River Bridge types and configurations.

The CRC Program will use peer reviews to provide technical and constructability guidance to staff where appropriate. Some LRT elements that are potential candidates for peer review include:

- Track sections
- Maintenance facility modifications
- Major structures
- Safety, security, signal, and communication systems
- New technologies being considered for use

Peer review panels will be composed of experienced design team personnel, experienced managers or operators from similar transit systems, and independent professionals and/or construction experts. Peer reviewers will be selected based upon several general guidelines:

- Experience in the specific areas under review
- Experience in the design and construction of other light rail systems
- Experience with the start-up period and actual operation of a similar system.

The Transit Manager coordinates with the Highway and Structures Engineering Managers the staffing and scheduling of peer reviews. The Transit Manager, assisted by the Transit Design and Systems Managers, is responsible for developing the transit portion of daily agendas for the meetings and the background information to provide to peer review members prior to any meetings so they can develop a familiarity with the purpose of the meetings, the subject matter, and the project. A brief report should be developed outlining the results of the review (issues raised, solutions, and recommendations). The Transit Manager coordinates with the Highway and Structures Engineering Managers to address recommendations in the report and ensures expedited response to avoid affecting PS&E progress.

# 13. Highway Design

# 13.1 Overview

This section summarizes the design requirements, design management, and design activities for the Highway (roadway and structures) portion of the CRC Program. Chapter 8, Tables 8.1 thru 8,4 include a listing of large Highway project packages and the corresponding delivery method for each package. The Highway packages will be delivered using a combination of Design-Build (D-B) and traditional Design-Build (D-B-B) delivery.

The definition of "Design" function will normally vary by delivery method used to execute a project package. The "Design" function discussed in this chapter is defined as follows:

- Preliminary engineering (PE) and Final Design phase activities in support of developing procurement documents (100% plans, specifications and bid values) to select a contractor(s) to build specific portions of the Highway (roadway and structures) elements of the CRC Program. This definition applies to the traditional D-B-B delivery.
- Conceptual engineering activities (varies from 10 to 30% level design) to support preparation of the project package configuration and related procurement documents, and the selection of a Design-Builder(s) using Best Value procurement to build specific portions of the Highway elements of the CRC Program. This definition applies to the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) and Request for Proposals (RFP) phases of the D-B delivery.

Chapter 14 - Design Oversight For Design-Build Delivery discusses in details the Design Oversight post-selection of a Design-Builder to ensure satisfactory development and management of work plans, products conform to the "Design Work" portion of executed D-B contracts, satisfactory compliance with the design deviation process, and verify Design-Builder compliance with design QC and QA requirements.

The CRC Highway and Structures Teams (agency and consultant staff) will manage and direct Highway and Structures design activities toward minimum feasible costs for design and construction, minimum disruption to interstate commerce and the traveling public, and minimum impacts of local facilities and communities. The design shall be consistent with maximum freight mobility, passenger safety, system reliability, environmental commitments, sustainability and ease of maintenance.

# 13.2 Highway Design Requirements and Standards

The CRC Highway and Structures Teams have developed a mandatory design standards and technical requirements ("design criteria") documentation for the roadway and structures portion of the CRC Program. The design criteria recognize the Program spans two states and two separate local jurisdictions (cities of Portland and Vancouver). The design criteria defines applicable design standards and design references based on published engineering design

standards from the Oregon and Washington DOTs for the area influenced by the crossing structure, defined as the main structure and approach spans. It also summarizes critical design standards for areas outside of the immediate crossing area for both the Oregon and Washington DOTs and the cities of Portland and Vancouver. The Highway and Structures Teams have updated the roadway and structures design criteria, respectively, during the PE phase, and will update individual design criterion on an as-needed basis during the Final Design phase.

The CRC Highway and Structures Managers maintain the CRC's roadway and structures design criteria throughout the life of the Program. The Highway and Structures Managers shall coordinate any necessary updates to the design criteria with their respective engineering managers who will document design criteria update recommendations and confirm they are consistent with the appropriate State DOT's manuals and current engineering practices and procedures. Updates to the criteria will be documented as described in CRC Procedure 12.2 - Design Criteria Approval And Modifications. The Highway and Structures Managers shall approve any updates in writing, before they can be used in the design. In addition, drafting standards have been prepared to standardize and guide design activities and preparation of contract documents.

The CRC's roadway and structures design criteria covers the following major design features:

- Major Route and Functional Classification
- Speed Design
- Structures design standards including seismic considerations (bridge, retaining wall soundwall, and culvert)
- Horizontal and vertical clearances
- Highway and local street geometrics (lane width, shoulder width, cross slope, max. super-elevation, spiral curve, vert. curves, stopping site distance, etc.)

- Pedestrian/Bicycle Path design requirements
- Stormwater runoff and discharge
- Geotechnical design standards including seismic considerations for foundations (footings, piles, and shafts); and, earth structures (retaining walls, slopes, and embankments)
- Special design standards -Aviation clearance, marine navigation clearances

The Highway and Structures Teams shall prepare drawings and technical specifications for each Highway project package in accordance with the design requirements in the most current roadway and structures design criteria as follows:

- Roadway design shall be governed by ODOT and WSDOT Highway Design Manuals, for project packages in Oregon and Washington, respectively, supplemented by CRC's Final Design Criteria Technical Memorandum and amendments including Design Criteria Worksheets and Design Decision Memos.
- Within the State of Oregon, structures design shall be governed by ODOT Bridge Design and Drafting Manual (BDDM) and Geotechnical Design Manual (GDM), and AASHTO Guide Specifications for Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) seismic bridge design,

supplemented and/or updated by CRC's Structural Design Criteria for the River Crossing Report (May 2008).

- Within the State of Washington, structures design shall be governed by WSDOT Bridge Design Manual (BDM) and Geotechnical Design Manual (GDM), and AASHTO Guide Specifications for Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) seismic bridge design, supplemented and/or updated by CRC's Structural Design Criteria for the River Crossing Report (May 2008).
- Structural and seismic LRT bridge design of the Main River Crossing shall be governed by the WSDOT Bridge Design Manual (BDM) and Geotechnical Design Manual (GDM), ODOT Bridge Design and Drafting Manual (BDDM) and Geotechnical Design Manual (GDM), and AASHTO Guide Specifications for Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD), supplemented and/or updated by CRC's Structural Design Criteria for the River Crossing Report (May 2008).
- Structural and seismic LRT bridge design of the Vancouver Touchdown Bridge (from the
  northern interface with the Main River Crossing to the north) shall be governed by the
  WSDOT Bridge Design Manual (BDM) and Geotechnical Design Manual (GDM), and
  the AASHTO Guide Specifications for Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD),
  supplemented and/or updated by CRC's Structural Design Criteria for the River Crossing
  Report (May 2008).
- Structural and seismic LRT bridge design of the Hayden Island and North Portland Harbor structures (from the southern interface with the main river crossing south) shall be governed by the TriMet Design Criteria (Revised June, 2010).

Deviations from the approved CRC roadway and structures design criteria may be made in particular situations. The CRC's discipline-specific roadway and structures engineering managers shall identify, explain, and justify any deviation from the established criteria in their respective area.

The Highway and Structures Managers shall approve any deviation, in their respective area, in writing, before it can be included in the design.

# 13.3 Highway Design Management

The CRC Team has developed a project implementation strategy summarized in Chapter 8 -Program Delivery and Procurement of this PMP and discussed in detail in Appendix XX -Project Implementation Plan. The Project Implementation Plan divides the Highway portion of the CRC Program into separate and distinct project packages. It also identifies the delivery method that would optimally assign roles and responsibilities for the performance of each project package activities – design and construction.

During Final Design, the Program Manager supported by the Highway and Structures Engineering Managers will oversee all activities of the Highway and Structures Teams. The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers are assisted by their respective Assistant Manager and supported by discipline-specific task leaders in various areas including: roadway, structures, stormwater, geotechnical, traffic, landscaping, erosion and sediment control, right-of way, survey and utilities. The discipline-specific engineering managers oversee:

- The day-to-day conceptual engineering activities to support preparation of the project configuration and procurement documents for the Columbia River Bridge and any approaches designated for implementation under the D-B delivery.
- The production of roadway and structures final design plans including production of construction documents to approved scope, schedule and budget for all other Highway packages designated for implementation under the D-B-B delivery.

Appendix XX – Technical Capacity and Capability Plan discusses in detail the design management organization of the CRC Highway and Structures Teams during Final Design.

# 13.4 Highway Design Execution

Highway design execution encompasses preliminary engineering (PE) and Final Design phases. The PE phase is part of the project development phase, and through this process several design alternatives were developed and analyzed, the project definition was determined, and the environmental documents (DEIS and FEIS) were prepared. The PE phase also includes developing the project configuration and procurement documents to support issuance of Request for Qualifications (RFQ's) and Request for Proposals (RFP's) to select Design-Builders for specific project packages designated for D-B delivery.

The Final Design phase is the process to develop 100% design plans and specifications to procure construction services to implement specific portions of the Program using the traditional Design-Bid-Build delivery method.

Chapter 14 - Design Oversight For Design-Build Delivery discusses in details design oversight activities post-selection of a Design-Builder.

# 13.4.1 Highway Preliminary Engineering

The goal of the PE phase is to define all roadway and structures work elements including associated Design Decisions sufficient to "freeze" the design and establish the project definition prior to entering the Final Design phase. The preliminary engineering design of roadway (and to a lesser extent of structures) work elements was developed to approximately 20 to 30%. Because the ultimate project cost was also determined by the completion of the PE phase, emphasis was placed on development of the elements that may be of the highest inherent risk in order to minimize cost uncertainties. The scope of the PE design effort and the corresponding milestones and deliverables are described in the contract documents with the design consultants.

During the PE phase, the preparation of the FEIS was also in progress requiring close coordination between preliminary design and environmental efforts. Upon successful completion of the FEIS, the FHWA and FTA award a Record of Decision (ROD), which allows the project to move into Final Design. At the conclusion of the PE phase, the CRC's Highway and Structures Managers, assisted by their respective Assistant Manager shall ensure the following had been determined:

- Project definition that establishes the baseline scope, baseline schedule and baseline budget, including a WBS structure for the Program and approved project packages;
- Existing conditions of the proposed site for the Program including geotechnical conditions, utilities, hazardous materials and archaeological conditions;
- Type, Size and Location of all major structures including stormwater treatment facilities;
- Limits of cuts and fills, and right of way (ROW) impacts including a complete breakdown of partial and full ROW takes;
- PE drawing set for project packages designated for D-B-B;
- Prepared the project configuration that assists in developing the scope of the project package and supports developing the content of the Request for Qualifications (RFQ's) and Request for Proposals (RFP's) used to select Design-Builder(s) for project packages designated for D-B delivery.

The project configuration will include the necessary base data (survey, geotechnical borings, etc.) to appropriately allocate risk between the CRC Program and the Design-Builder, define the critical elements or limitations of the project package so that they can be incorporated into the RFP, and identify and resolve, early in the process, any "fatal flaws" that may require design exceptions, additional Right-of-Way, or other considerations.

- Identification of and mitigation strategies for environmentally sensitive areas;
- Updated and fully integrated master project schedule;
- Updated the cost estimate for each Highway project package to carry forward for the remainder of the Program;
- Updated the CRC Design Criteria and standard drawing and specification templates;
- Identified design deviations from the WSDOT or ODOT Highway (or Bridge) Design Manuals and/or AASHTO's A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Street. And,
  - For Washington-based project packages, prepared deviation (or exception) requests and obtained approvals following the procedures in Exhibits 300-7 and 300-2, respectively, Chapter 300 – Design Documentation, Approval, and Process Review – of the WSDOT Design Manual (...\..\Background Materials - WSDOT General\design Manual.pdf).
  - For Oregon-based project packages, prepared design exception requests (<u>H:\Background Materials\_ODOT\design\_exception\_request\_form.doc</u>) and obtained approvals following the procedures in Chapter 13 – Design Exception Process – of the ODOT Highway Design Manual (<u>..\..\Background</u> Materials\_ODOT\ODOT-2003HDM.pdf).
- Evaluated obtaining Design Approval before entering into Final Design phase to secure

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed early, approved documentation that locks in design policy for Washington-based project packages designated for D-B-B delivery in Washington. And, if seeking Design Approval prior to Final Design phase,

- Completed the documentation of the Design Approval Package to the requirements in Exhibit 300-5, Chapter 300 of the WSDOT Design Manual (......)...Background Materials - WSDOT General/design Manual.pdf); and,
- Coordinated with WSDOT SW Region and WSDOT Headquarters Design Office the review of the Design Approval Package and obtained the necessary approvals (including FHWA's), for the levels described in Exhibits 300-2, 3 and 4, Chapter 300 of the WSDOT Design Manual (<u>..\..\Background Materials - WSDOT</u> <u>General\design Manual.pdf</u>).
- Incorporated the Design Approval Package documentation into the Design Documentation Package.
- Completed the Design Acceptance Package (DAP) for each Oregon-based project package designated for D-B-B delivery to the requirements in the Designer Check List (<u>...,..,Background Materials ODOT\2003E-AppE.pdf</u>), and completed respective Design Acceptance Checklists (<u>...,..,Background</u> Materials ODOT\DesignAcceptanceChecklist.dot).
- Coordinated with ODOT Technical Services DAP reviews and obtained Certification of Design Acceptance from the ODOT Technical Center Manager for project packages designated for D-B-B delivery in Oregon.
- Developed a Risk and Contingency Management Plan (RCMP) to address Highwayrelated Program risks;
- Updated the Project Management Plan to provide clear direction on agency policies and procedures to enable capable management of final design and construction phases.

# 13.4.2 Highway Final Design

The goal of the Final Design phase is to elevate the preliminary plans to 100% level of design, refine project quantities, identify bid items for all work activities reflected in the 100% plans, prepare special contract provisions, and assemble biddable documents to advertize project packages for the purpose of selecting contractors to implement Highway construction under the D-B-B delivery. The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers assisted by their respective Assistant Managers and supported by the discipline-specific task managers will oversee preparation of contract bid documents including plans and specifications, and estimates to procure construction services for each highway and bridge project package identified in the Project Implementation Plan for D-B-B delivery. They will:

- Prepare 60%, 90%, and 100% design submittals;
- Manage formal roadway and structures design reviews at 60%, 90%, and 100% design submittal milestones;

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

- Control the scope, schedule, cost and quality of the roadway and structures work;
- Manage the deviation from approved roadway and structures design criteria and standards and ensure the traceability of scope, schedule and budget against the project definition;
- Develop a Traffic Management Plan (TMP), as discussed in Section 13.4.2.1 below to minimize traffic impacts on freight and commuter traffic during the construction of the CRC Program.
- Implement procedures related to quality assurance and quality control, design reviews, constructability reviews, VE studies, and changes to in-progress designs.
- Manage, review and approve the packaging of roadway and structures procurement contracts, their scopes, sequencing, schedules and interfaces;
- For ODOT let project packages, complete PS&E bid documents for each set of roadway and structures contract bid documents including plans and specifications to the following requirements:
  - o PS&E Delivery Manual (... \Procedures -ODOT \PSE Delivery Manual.pdf), and
  - Final PS&E Check List (<u>...\Procedures -</u> ODOT\Final PSE submittal checklist.doc)
- For WSDOT let project packages, complete PS&E bid documents for each set of roadway and structures contract bid documents including plans and specifications to the following requirements:
  - WSDOT Plans Preparation Manual, M 22-31.02, December 2009 (...\..\Background Materials - WSDOT General\PlansPreparation.pdf
  - Design Documentation Checklist (<u>...\..\Background Materials WSDOT</u> <u>General\DDPChecklist.xlsx</u>).
- For WSDOT let project packages,
  - Prepare and submit the Project Development Approval Package to the requirements in WSDOT Design Manual, Division 3, Chapter 300, Exhibits 300-4 and 300-5 (...,..).Background Materials WSDOT General\design Manual.pdf).
  - Obtain Project Development Approval when PS&E bid documents are completed for the approval levels described in Division 3, Chapter 300, Exhibits 300-2, 3 and 4 of the WSDOT Design Manual (<u>..\..\Background Materials - WSDOT</u> General\design Manual.pdf).
  - Enter the Project Development Approval documentation into the Design Documentation Package, as discussed in Section 13.4.4 -Design Documentation Management below.

r each set of roadway	
ations to the following	
ry Manual.pdf), and	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
for each set of	
specifications to the	
2009	
aration.pdf)	Field Code Changed
als - WSDOT	Field Code Changed
cage to the	
ter 300, Exhibits 300-4	
<u>design Manual.pdf</u> ).	
uments are completed	
, Exhibits 300-2, 3	
ateriais - wSDOT	
o the Design	
sign Documentation	
C I	

- For ODOT let project packages, coordinate the review and acceptance of completed PS&E bid documents by ODOT's Technical Services Office of Project Letting. Additionally, coordinate through ODOT's Program and Funding Services Unit for final processing and submittal of PS&E bid documents to FHWA for authorization to advertise for bids.
- Assist CRC's Project Controls Manager in developing third-party agreements and related relocation designs for impacted facilities and systems.

#### 13.4.2.1 Traffic Management

- During the Final Design phase a detailed Traffic Management Plan will be developed to minimize traffic impacts on freight and commuter traffic during the construction of the CRC. This plan will address, at a minimum, the following:
- Roles and responsibilities of the CRC's Traffic Management Team
- Review of Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) plans at various design stages, for conformance with approved standards and the ability of proposed construction staging schemes and associated traffic shifts to maintain minimum required corridor mobility thresholds during construction
- Coordination with local agencies regarding placements of temporary signing and traffic control devices within their jurisdictions during construction
- Coordination with local agencies regarding restrictions and management of special events
- Coordination with all local emergency providers, to ensure adequate passage of emergency vehicles through the construction zones
- Coordination of traffic maintenance among multiple contractors, in order to integrate temporary signing and traffic control devices among various contracts
- Conducting periodic MOT reviews during construction (including nighttime inspections), for conformance with plans, specifications, and approved standards; and to ensure that all traffic control devices are functioning properly
- Incident management within the CRC limits, including accident prevention strategies, emergency procedures, reporting requirements, and mitigation strategies
- Coordinating with the CRC's Communications Outreach Team concerning traffic switch, lane closures, traffic delays, alternate routes available, work zone accidents, etc.
- Traffic management monthly reporting

## 13.4.3 Drawing Management

The CADD Manager will oversee the drawing management system for the project's roadway and structures drawings. Detailed procedures for accessing and managing the development of Architectural and Engineering drawings that are controlled documents are described in CRC

Procedure 13.4.3 - ProjectWise Architectural And Engineering Drawing Management. The CRC designers and technicians will comply with established ProjectWise procedures for preparing and delivering electronic engineering data for Highway project packages. The discipline-specific engineering managers are expected to manage CRC's structures, geotechnical, roadway, stormwater, traffic, landscaping, erosion and sediment control, right-of way, illumination, intelligent transportation system, survey and utilities designers and technicians to conform to the established protocols and procedures.

The QA/QC Manager will perform periodic audits of the drawing management process and the as-built drawing process.

## 13.4.4 Design Documentation Management

The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers are responsible to ensure that their respective discipline-specific managers follow the general retention schedule or special records retention schedule and records laws that govern the retention and disposition of records in Washington and Oregon, and provide DOT staff with guidance and tools to assist with project close-out records management requirements. General records retention procedures are described in detail in the following controlled documents:

- For WSDOT let project packages, use the WSDOT Project Design Documentation Check List (<u>...\..\Background Materials - WSDOT General\DDPChecklist.xlsx</u>) which identifies all the documents necessary for records retention requirements. The Project Design Documentation Checklist categorizes documentation into two categories Project File (PF) and Design Documentation Package (DDP). PF documents are retained by the CRC for at least three years after construction is completed. DDP documents are retained at the WSDOT Headquarters Office for at least 75 years after construction is completed. The discipline-specific managers are responsible for properly categorizing documents as PF or DDP prior to transmitting to Document Control.
- For ODOT let project packages, use the ODOT Highway Division Records Retention Matrix and the ODOT Records Manual (<u>...\Procedures -ODOT\Records Manual.pdf</u> and <u>...\Procedures -ODOT\m\_HWY.PDF</u>). The records retention schedule in these documents identifies the minimum and maximum length of time the Department records have to be kept in accordance with legal regulations.

# 13.5 Highway Design Control

The CRC Team shall give important management considerations to the following design control activities: design coordination, design reviews, value engineering, constructability reviews, operations and maintenance reviews, peer reviews, and reliability and safety.

## 13.5.1 Design Coordination

The Highway and Structures Teams will institute regular internal design coordination meetings and coordinate closely with partnering local jurisdictions, advisory groups established during the preliminary design phase, and third party stakeholders to communicate project information and work through final design issues. Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

#### 13.5.1.1 Internal Coordination

The Highway and Structures Teams each holds regular internal design coordination meetings to discuss project issues, review design production progress, project schedule and upcoming delivery milestones. These meetings are the responsibility of the Highway and Structures Engineering Managers.

The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers attend weekly Task Managers meetings to discuss interface design issues between transit, highway and structures design functions, to coordinate with the Project Controls Manager on schedule, budget and scope interface issues, and to coordinate with the Communications Outreach Manager on upcoming public meetings.

# 13.5.1.2 Local Jurisdictions Coordination

Local jurisdictions (cities of Portland and Vancouver) will be invited to participate in external coordination meetings attended by the CRC's Highway and Structures staff to discuss and seek consensus on important project design issues. The meetings will be the primary forum for local jurisdictions coordination, communicating project information, exchange of views and working through final design issues, and providing informal guidance to project staff. Local jurisdictions design coordination meetings are the responsibility of the Highway and Structures Engineering Managers.

#### 13.5.1.3 State and federal Coordination

The CRC Program will interface with state and federal agencies as required by law and administration procedures. The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers are responsible for regular coordination with FHWA's designated CRC representative that is co-located with Project staff on highway and structures-related design, and environmental issues. The Environmental Manager is responsible for regular coordination with the Department of the Interior for 4(f) and 106 considerations, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for water permit concerns (such as 404 permits), the State Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) for various environmental matters, and various other regulatory agencies.

#### 13.5.1.4 Advisory Groups Coordination

The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers, assisted by the Communications Outreach Manager are responsible for coordination with the appropriate external interface groups during Final Design phase to communicate project information and seek informal guidance to project staff on design issues.

#### 13.5.1.5 Third Party Coordination

The Utilities Lead is responsible for ensuring utilities within the Program area are properly located, impacts identified, and relocation (or protection) designs developed and implemented to ensure timely relocation to the Program schedule. This work effort requires close coordination between the Utilities Lead, other discipline-specific managers, and all known utility companies and agencies within the Program area. Policies and procedures specific to utility relocation in Washington and Oregon are described in detail in the following controlled documents:

- For project packages in Washington, the CRC Program shall follow the procedures in WSDOT's Utilities Manual (March 2010) (...\Procedures - WSDOT\Utilities Manual.pdf)
- For project packages in Oregon, the CRC Program shall follow ODOT's accommodation policy OAR 734-055 (http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us/rules/OARS 700/OAR 734/734 tofc.html), and:
  - ODOT's Highway / Utility Guide (<u>http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/ROW/utility\_resource.shtml</u>), and
  - ODOT's Utility Manual (http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/ROW/docs/row\_manual\_chapter\_10.pdf)

The CRC Program will build on the extensive mapping of utility networks in the project area prepared during the PE phase and continue coordination with jurisdictional utility districts and utility companies during the Final Design phase to produce the necessary relocation plans. The Utilities Lead will coordinate closely with:

- AT&T
  - Chevron

**Clark Public Utilities** 

Electric Lightwave

Level 3 Communications

- Portland General Electric
  - Qwest
- Sawtooth Technologies

Pacific Power & Light

- Sprint
- Time Warner Telecom
- Verizon

MCI

•

•

NW Natural

Comcast

The CRC Program will also interface with the BNSF to address and reach agreement on operations and maintenance (O&M) of a new I-5 crossing in Vancouver, WA. The Structures Engineering Manager will hold regular coordination meetings with BNSF to ensure timely design input and reviews, resolution of any issues, and execution of the O&M agreement to project schedule.

## 13.5.2 Design Review Process

The Highway and Structures Teams will conduct, and document, quality control (QC) design reviews at major milestones during PE and Final Design phases in accordance with written procedures in the QCP. The CRC Program will coordinate formal design reviews by internal and external stakeholders following the completion of a QC review.

# 13.5.2.1 Quality Control Design Review Process

A critical element to successful delivery of PE and Final Design phases is ensuring that the design criteria and other specified requirements including requirements of the relevant regulatory agencies are met by instituting thorough QC design reviews. Milestones for QC design reviews of roadway and structures work elements will be included in the project schedule. QC design reviews will begin no later than five business days before the submittal date. The following defines the major milestone submittals for the PE phase: 30% Design. Major milestone submittals for the Final Design phase include: 60%, 90%, and 100%.

The Highway and Structures Teams will perform QC design reviews to the approved engineering criteria, applicable codes and standards, and regulatory requirements. Quality-related activities will be documented and records maintained as discussed in Chapter 15 - Design Quality Control and in accordance with CRC's quality control procedures described in the QCP.

#### 13.5.2.2 Stakeholders Design Review Process

The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers will distribute the 30%, 60% and 90% roadway and structures design documents (following completion of QC design reviews) to internal and external stakeholders along with comments sheets on which reviewers may record their comments on the documents. Reviewers will consist of internal WSDOT and ODOT representatives, FHWA, and representatives from the cities of Portland and Vancouver.

The design review process allows internal WSDOT, ODOT and FHWA reviewers to evaluate the roadway and structures design products (i.e., plans, specifications, and estimates) as they progress for project packages designated for D-B-B delivery. The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers will conduct plans-in-hand review sessions with internal reviewers following each distribution of the design documents. Their respective Assistant Manager will compile and maintain a list of all comments received and will distribute written responses to those comments in a timely manner. All comments will be tracked through resolution. The discipline-specific task managers will be responsible for the resolution of those comments in their respective areas.

The cities of Portland and Vancouver will review the design from the perspective of local code and ordinance compliance and compatibility with existing facilities or planned development. The CRC's Highway and Structures Managers will pay special attention to design comments that may impact the project schedule or budget. The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers or designated representatives will meet with the cities representatives to resolve any issues identified. All design service agreements will specify the participation of the cities of Portland and Vancouver in the design review process and the required time frames for submitting comments to the Highway and Structures Engineering Managers.

The Highway and Structures Assistant Managers review any internal or external comments that their discipline-specific task managers believe would be a change to the scope of work. They may determine that the change is minor and necessary, and direct that the design documents be revised. Comments that the Highway and Structures Assistant Managers believe could pose a threat to the Project's budget or schedule are discussed with the Highway and Structures Engineering Managers, in their respective areas and with the CRC Director. The CRC Director determines if an external comment needs to be brought before the Integrated Project Sponsor Council Staff for discussion as described in Section 2.3 of this PMP if it is a potential change to the project definition.

#### 13.5.3 Value Engineering

The CRC Program places a high degree of importance on VE studies. VE is a systematic process of review and analysis by a multi-disciplined team of persons not involved in the project. VE studies ensure that essential functions are procured at the lowest capital and life cycle cost, and that costs are consistent with needed performance, quality, reliability, aesthetics, safety, and operation. The CRC Program will coordinate VE studies through WSDOT's Value Engineering Program and comply with federal requirements.

WSDOT's program emphasizes participation from interdisciplinary industry experts and construction contractors independent of the CRC Team to ensure an unbiased interest in the project design. VE Team make-up may vary depending on the scope of the study. VE of roadway and structures design work elements may be done by independent teams of qualified professionals.

The VE Facilitator (a Certified Value Specialist through the Society for American Value Engineering (SAVE) International who must also be a licensed professional engineer) submits a plan outlining the VE study phases and time allotment following WSDOT's VE policy and SAVE International best practices, for approval by CRC's Highway, Structures and Transit Managers. Each VE study will include investigation, speculation (brainstorm), evaluation, development, and presentation phases. The presentation phase results in a report and presentation from the VE Study Team.

The CRC completed several VE studies to date, and several more are planned. Below is a list of studies conducted and planned:

- Study No. 1 Transit Options May 21-25, 2007
- Study No. 2 River Crossing Options May 21-25, 2007
- Study No. 3 Washington Approach June 4-8, 2007
- Study No. 4 Oregon Approach June 4-8, 2007
- Study No. 5 30% Design Evaluation Date and Content To be Determined
- Study No. 6 60% Design Evaluation Date and Content To be Determined
- Studies No. 7 and 8 Design/Construction Specific Date and Content To be Determined

The Highway and Structures Managers are responsible for reviewing and preparing a formal response to VE recommendations in their respective disciplines indicating adoption or an explanation why the CRC disagrees with any particular recommendations. Adoption of recommendations will only occur with appropriate notification to WSDOT and ODOT Executives and the CRC Director on scope and budget revisions.

During final design, the Highway and Structures Managers are responsible for ensuring that all agreed upon VE proposals, in their respective areas, are tracked and incorporated into the design documents.

## 13.5.4 Constructability Reviews

Given the complexity of the multi-modal CRC Program, constructability reviews will occur at key process steps during PE and Final Design phases. Additionally, the reviews will focus on maintainability to assure that quality CRC facilities are provided continuously throughout the operational phase. Specifically, maintainability will assess ease of maintenance, workability, accessibility, and minimizing, wherever possible, operational conflicts and the exposure of maintenance staff and equipment to moving traffic.

Constructability reviews will occur during the phases of work described below and will focus on raising issues, resolve problems, recommend modifications, suggest actions and provide guidance that is endorsed by the CRC Team before moving further with the PS&E development.

- *Conceptual/Schematic* A conceptual constructability review was held from June 16, 2008 to June 20, 2008. The constructability review panel provided feedback on several areas including staging, schedule durations, cost, risk identification, and ideas for improvement. A final report was prepared detailing findings of the study.
- **30% Design Review** The 30% constructability review would mainly focus on a constructability assessment of the project's primary geometric features. Geometric details would be reviewed, and guidelines/directions would be given to Structures, Highway, and other disciplines so that they could develop the required/ultimate design details for the PS&E during Final Design phase.
- 60% Design Review The 60% constructability review would focus on several features and details and would address all items that would be critical to the completion of a constructible maintainable project. Reviews include structures and roadway plans, costs and structural requirements including special foundation considerations or materials involved, construction staging and traffic control requirements, details of hydraulics requirements and special drainage structures.
- 90% Design Review The 90% constructability review would be the review of the contract
  plans and the special provisions as the project design is being completed and advertisement for
  construction being readied. Complete plans for review would include traffic plans, structures,
  and roadway plans including stormwater, signals, illumination, signage and other miscellaneous
  plans. The review also would include any design modifications or variations from the
  agreements reached at the 60% constructability review.
- **PS&E Review** Near the completion of the final design phase, a biddability review will be conducted for major civil, structures and systems contract(s). This review of the plans, specifications and estimates will focus on the following elements:
  - o Completeness of scope
  - o Correctness of cross-references from drawing to drawing and detail clarity
  - Agreement of intent between general conditions, special provisions, and technical sections of the specifications
  - o Compatibility between drawings and schedule of bid items
  - o Compatibility of civil, structures and systems construction
  - o Expectations for construction schedule

The Highway Engineering Manager coordinates the scheduling of constructability reviews for the CRC Program. The reviews for Transit and Highway elements will be held concurrently since both are constructed within the same area, therefore, aiding in identifying potential issues and overlapping construction problems. The Highway Engineering Manager, with input from the Transit and Structures Engineering Managers, is responsible for developing the agenda, times for each segment of the review meeting, and background information to provide to reviewers prior to any meeting. He will also ensure

that all disciplines involved have checked the applicable documents and plans, and completed the applicable checklists.

At each constructability review meeting, the CRC Team will distribute the design documents along with constructability review checklists for various project features. Reviewers may include outside professional consultants, construction trade experts, or any other agency discipline as necessary, depending on the expertise required. The review should be recorded by a designated note keeper. At a minimum, all decisions and agreements and all directions should be documented. A brief report should be developed outlining the results of the review (issues raised, decisions or solutions) and documenting the direction discussed and agreed to for the next phase of project development. The Highway Engineering Manager is responsible for coordinating with the Structures Engineering Manager to address any resolved issues in their respective area that remain at the conclusion of the review meeting and ensuring expedited resolution of any outstanding problems that affect PS&E progress. The noted action or response will be audited by the QA/QC Manager to ensure compliance with the final set of contract documents.

## 13.5.5 Operations Reviews

The CRC Program will coordinate with WSDOT's and ODOT's operations and maintenance staff to:

- Review and provide input to the preliminary and final design documents as described below to ensure that new CRC roadway and structures facilities mesh seamlessly with those already in place.
- Participate in constructability reviews to ensure operability, maintainability and safety.

## 13.6 Reliability and Dependability

Quality and other review processes are described below.

#### 13.6.1 Quality Assurance Reviews

The QA/QC Manager will conduct formal quality assurance audits after major design submittals. These audits will assess compliance with the CRC's quality control program requirements described in detail in Appendix XX – Quality Assurance Manual and Appendix XX – Quality Control Plan.

#### 13.6.2 ADA Reviews

The CRC Program will conduct design reviews to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). ADA compliance specialists may also be brought in for design reviews.

#### 13.6.3 Peer Reviews

A peer review involves the focused review of selected project elements by a group of specialists (professionals and/or construction experts) experienced in the affected type of work, as recently done by the Bridge Expert Review Panel convened by CRC Management to evaluate Columbia River Bridge types and configurations.

The CRC Program will use peer reviews to provide technical and constructability guidance to staff where appropriate. Some elements that are potential candidates for peer review include:

- Main River Crossing type
- Major land structures
- New technologies being considered for use

Peer review panels will be composed of experienced design team personnel, experienced managers from other DOT's, and independent professionals and/or construction experts. Peer reviewers will be selected based upon several general guidelines:

- Experience in the specific areas under review
- Experience in the design and construction of major river crossing and land structures, and urban highway interchanges

The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers jointly coordinate with the Transit Manager the staffing and scheduling of peer reviews. They each are responsible for developing their portion of daily agendas for the meetings and the background information to provide to peer review members prior to any meetings so they can develop a familiarity with the purpose of the meetings, the subject matter, and the project. A brief report should be developed outlining the results of the review (issues raised, solutions, and recommendations). The Highway and Structures Engineering Managers jointly coordinate with the Transit Manager to address recommendations in the report and ensure expedited response to avoid affecting PS&E progress.

# 14. Design Oversight For Design-Build Delivery

# 14.1 Overview

This section summarizes the design oversight requirements post-selection of design-build entities ("Design-Builder") to ensure satisfactory development and management of work plans, products conform to the "Design Work" portion of executed Design-Build (D-B) contracts, satisfactory compliance with the design deviation process, and verify Design-Builder compliance with its design QC and QA Plan requirements.

Chapter 8, Table 8.1 includes a listing of large project packages that will be delivered using the D-B delivery. The D-B delivery allows the CRC Program to execute a single contract with one Design-Builder for the design, construction, and quality management necessary to deliver a completed project package.

The definition of "Design" function will normally vary by delivery method used to execute a project package. The "Design" oversight function discussed in this chapter is defined as follows:

- Design quality verification that consists of a combination of audits, reviews and checks performed by the CRC staff on a random basis of the Design-Builder's production plans including representatives of applicable CRC mandatory design standards and technical requirements for conformity with the terms of the executed contract, and compliance with the approved Design-Builder's Quality Management Plan requirements.
- Periodic visits by the CRC staff to the Design-Builder offices to discuss with the designers and verify design progress and the designers' QC/QA Plan, and assist the Design-Builder and its designers in resolving design questions and issues.

Chapters 12 - LRT Design and 13 - Highway Design discuss in details the requirements, design management, and design activities for project packages (Transit and Highway) implemented using the traditional D-B-B and the GC/CM delivery methods to ensure minimum feasible costs for design, construction, capital facilities, operating expense, minimum energy consumption, and minimum disruption of local facilities and communities.

The design oversight responsibilities discussed in this chapter are managed by the CRC Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager. They will be supported by CRC staff (agency and consultant) that will perform formal reviews to assure that the Design-Builder design work products conform to the executed contract.

# 14.2 Design Requirements and Standards

The CRC Team has developed a mandatory design standards and technical requirements ("design criteria") documentation for the Transit and Highway elements of the CRC Program. The Design-Builder must prepare all engineering documents including technical specifications necessary to complete the design of the project package in accordance with the design criteria described in the executed contract.

Deviations from the mandatory design criteria and not included in pre-approved design deviations described in the executed contract may be requested by the Design-Builder in particular situations. The Design-Builder must explain and justify any deviation from the established criteria. The Design-Builder must also identify scope, schedule and budget impacts of deviations from the design criteria. The Highway, Structures and Transit Managers maintain the design criteria in their respective areas of the Program. They shall review and recommend approval to the Delivery Manager any deviation request in their respective area. The Delivery Manager shall approve in writing any deviation before it can be included by the Design-Builder in the design.

# 14.3 Design Oversight Execution

The CRC staff will review all engineering documents prepared by the Design-Builder including representatives of the design criteria for conformity with the mandatory design standards and technical requirements in the executed contract and according to the approved Design-Builder's QC/QA Plan requirements. The CRC staff will also make periodic visits to the offices of the Design-Builder's designers to discuss and verify design progress.

The QA/QC Manager will perform design audit on Design-Builder's implementation of the design aspects of the Design-Builder's approved Quality Management Plan during the design phase. The frequency and timing of the quality audits will depend on the duration of the design activities, number of design units under design, and level of problems being encountered during the technical assurance reviews. Audits by the QA/QC Manager will be accomplished in accordance with Chapter 15 - Quality Assurance and Quality Control. Results of the audit will be issued to the Delivery Manager for distribution to the Design-Builder.

## 14.3.1 Design Oversight Philosophy

It is important for the CRC staff (agency and consultants) performing design oversight activities to understand that design review for a D-B contract is different than design review for a traditional D-B-B contract. It is not the purpose of the design oversight review to provide a technical competency review of the Design-Builder's engineering documents. For example, design review by the CRC Team does not include detailed review or checking of design of major components and related details, except in unusual cases, or the accuracy with which such designs are depicted on the plans. Plans accuracy, conformance with the design guidelines described in the executed contract, and constructability of the project rests with the Design-Builder.

The CRC Program developed guiding principles for design oversight activities on D-B projects. These guiding principles state the intent and purpose of the design review and provide parameters for the expected scope of review. Key principles that guide design review of Design-Builder's engineering documents include:

- Using standards and design criteria identified in the executed contract
- · Referencing standards when providing technical comments
- · Identifying requirements in the executed contract rather than preferences

CRC Procedure 14.2 – XXXX describes in detail the guiding principles and scope of design reviews.

#### 14.3.2 Design Management Oversight

The Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager are responsible for maintaining the guiding principles described above throughout the life of each project package implemented by the D-B delivery. They will work throughout project execution to continually reinforce these principles with staff assigned to perform design oversight activities. They will perform the following key responsibilities:

- Overseeing the Design-Builder satisfactory development and management of work plans.
- Managing CRC staff (agency and consultant) conducting over the shoulder design reviews of the Design-Builder engineering documents and participation in the interpretive engineering decision process.
- Developing timely responses to formal submittal reviews from the Design-Builder.
- Responding to the Design-Builder's requests, such as Cost Reduction Proposals, RFIs, and so on, in accordance with executed contract requirements.
- Verifying the Design-Builder's compliance with approved design Quality Management Plan requirements, including performance of independent design checks and verifying that the design complies with the requirements of the executed contract prior to formal submittal reviews by CRC staff.
- Verifying all design services furnished by the Design-Builder are performed under the direction of a Professional Engineer licensed in the State of Washington (or in the State of Oregon on packages procured by ODOT).
- Overseeing the Design-Builder satisfactory compliance with the design deviation process.
- Verifying design progress for payment purposes.
- Providing correspondence indicating whether the design deliverables meet the contract requirements at successful completion of design reviews at various stages

#### 14.3.3 Pre-Contract Meeting

The Delivery Manager will coordinate with the Design-Builder scheduling a "Pre-Contract Meeting" as soon as practicable after the award of a contract. The purpose of the meeting is to acquaint key personnel with the details and features of the project to facilitate the design process, exchange information, and address any questions pertaining to the scope or level of effort of the project.

#### 14.3.4 Design Meetings and Over-The-Shoulder Reviews

The CRC Team shall give important management considerations to holding weekly design coordination meetings with the Design-Builder and performing over-the-shoulder design reviews to support project quality goals. These meetings, combined with over-the-shoulder reviews, shall be an integral part of the design oversight process to discuss and resolve design issues outside of the formal review process. Weekly design coordination meeting agenda and preparation of minutes are the responsibility of the Design-Builder. Attendance at coordination meetings by CRC staff will be determined by the Delivery

Manager with input from the Delivery Engineering Manager, Highway, Structures and Transit Managers.

The over-the-shoulder reviews should not be considered "Hold Points" that restrict the progress of design. They are reviews of the design as it progresses, and opportunities for CRC staff to provide comments and feedback to the Design-Builder on the design.

#### 14.3.5 Released For Construction (RFC) Document Review

The CRC Team shall perform at least one preliminary design review (near the mid-point of design) before completion of 100 percent design.

The CRC Team shall perform design reviews on formal preliminary and final design submittals, prepared by the Design-Builder, of all plans and technical specifications and resolve all review comments prior to the Design-Builder issuing Released for Construction documents. Any deviation by the Design-Builder from the mandatory design criteria requirements must be reviewed by the Highway, Structures and Transit Managers, in their respective areas, and recommended for approval to the Delivery Manager prior to a submittal being Released for Construction.

#### 14.3.5.1 Preliminary Design Submittal Review

The intent of the Preliminary Design Submittal Review is to provide a formal opportunity for the CRC Team and participating jurisdictions to review the construction documents in order to ensure that the design is progressing appropriately and proceeding in the right direction; the plans reflect the executed contract requirements for construction; design features are coordinated; and there are no fatal flaws within a given discipline or between disciplines. At the conclusion of the Preliminary Design Submittal Review, the Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager shall ensure the following has been completed:

- Obtained certification from the Design-Builder's Design QA Manager (prior to distributing the design submittal for review) that the item or segment of work: 1) has been designed in accordance with executed contract requirements, and 2) has been checked in accordance with the Design-Builder's approved QC/QA Plan.
- Distributed the design documents with comments sheets on which reviewers may record their comments on the documents. Reviewers may include representatives from the cities of Portland and Vancouver, FTA and its PMOC representative, and TriMet, C-TRAN, WSDOT and ODOT representatives.
- Collected and consolidated the review comments and provided them to the Design-Builder.
- Worked with the Highway, Structures and Transit Managers to ensure that the contents of the Preliminary Design Submittal in their respective area are as specified in the executed contract technical requirements.
- Deviations requests from the mandatory design criteria have been documented by the Design-Builder, and reviewed by the Highway, Structures and Transit Managers with recommendations for approval by the Delivery Manager made in their respective areas. Approved in writing any deviation before it can be included by the Design-Builder in the design.

#### 14.3.5.2 Final Design Submittal Review

The Final Design Submittal shall be prepared when the design for a given element or area is 100 percent complete. The Final Design Submittal shall include plan sheets, technical memos, reports, calculations, and other pertinent data, as applicable. It shall also include all specifications, all approved amendments to the Standard Specifications, Special Provisions, Technical Requirements, and Technical Specifications in the executed contract, necessary to construct the work elements represented in the submittal. At the conclusion of the Final Design Submittal Review, the Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager shall ensure the following has been completed:

- Obtained certification from the Design-Builder's Design QA Manager (prior to distributing the design submittal for review) that the work: (1) has been designed in accordance with executed contract requirements, (2) has been checked in accordance with the Design-Builder's approved QC/QA Plan, (3) assurance that the design fully provide for constructability, compatibility of materials and conformity to acceptance criteria for inspections and tests as provided in the executed contract, and (4) is ready for construction to 100 percent completion.
- Obtained review comments resolution and disposition documentation showing that all comments made by the CRC Team on the Preliminary Design Submittal has been addressed and incorporated by the Design-Builder into the Final Design Submittal.
- Worked with the Highway, Structures and Transit Managers to confirm that the contents of the Final Design Submittal in their respective areas are as specified in the executed contract, includes all amendments to the standard specifications, special provisions, technical requirements, and technical specifications, necessary to construct the work elements represented in the submittal.
- Obtained written approval for deviations from the mandatory design criteria and technical standards.
- Resolved all review comments on the Final Design Submittal so it may proceed through the written certification process described below in preparation for being Released for Construction.

#### 14.3.5.3 Released for Construction (RFC) Documents

Released for Construction (RFC) Documents are prepared after the Design-Builder has incorporated the 100 percent design review comments. At the conclusion of the Released For Construction (RFC) Document Review, the Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager shall ensure the following had been completed:

- Obtained written certification from the Design-Builder's Design QA Manager that all plans, reports, specifications and quantity estimates submittals and design reviews were completed in accordance with the QC/QA Plan Requirements and the executed contract, and that the documents are ready to be Released for Construction.
- All plans, reports, and specifications are sealed and signed by the professional engineer in responsible charge and are stamped "Released For Construction" by the Design-Builder's Design QA Manager.
- Obtained review comments resolution and disposition documentation showing that all comments made on the Final Design Submittal have been addressed and incorporated by the Design-Builder into the RFC documents. If necessary, the Design-Builder shall resubmit revised RFC documents.
- Received from the Design-Builder six hard copies and electronic files (in both MicroStation and PDF formats) on CDROM of all RFC documents. The electronic drawing files shall include copies of all sheet and reference files used in the RFC documents.

#### 14.3.5.4 Design Revisions Following Issuance of Released for Construction (RFC) Documents

Either the Design-Builder or the CRC Team may initiate design changes. Design changes may occur on items or segments undergoing early construction, before final designs are complete, or after completion of final design and issuance of Released for Construction (RFC) Documents. The Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager shall ensure:

- The Design-Builder prepares Preliminary and Final (100 percent) Design submittals for design review(s) by the CRC Team for all design changes.
- All design changes including revisions to the RFC Documents are prepared by, or under the direct supervision of, the Engineer of Record (EOR) for the documents.
- Obtain written certification from the Design-Builder's Design QA Manager that all revised plans, reports, specifications and quantity estimates submittals and design reviews were completed as the original documents, in accordance with the QC/QA Plan Requirements and the executed contract, and revised documents are ready to be re-Released for Construction.
- Calculations for design revisions made during construction are made available for CRC review and comments incorporated into the revised documents prior to implementation of the revisions during construction.

#### 14.3.6 Drawing Management

The CADD Manager oversees the drawing management system for the CRC's Transit and Highway drawings. The CADD Manager shall ensure:

- The Design-Builder prepares Computer Aided Drafting (CAD) files in conformance with executed contract requirements using applicable ODOT or WSDOT methodologies and standards as defined in:

  - WSDOT's Plans Preparation Manual, M22-31.02, December 2009 (.....Background Materials - WSDOT General/PlansPreparation.pdf)
- The Design-Builder submits the CAD files, including end area cross sections, to the CRC office in CAiCE format. All CAD data shall be provided in a format that can be used directly by Microstation with no translation and that when accessed within Microstation is organized on

#### Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed the applicable ODOT or WSDOT standard levels, symbologies, colors, weights and basemap/sheet file organization.

The QA/QC Manager will perform audits of the Design-Builder's drawing management aspects of the approved Quality Management Plan during the design phase. Audits will be accomplished in accordance with Chapter 15 - Quality Assurance and Quality Control. Results of the audit will be issued to the Delivery Manager for distribution to the Design-Builder,

#### 14.3.7 Design Documentation Management

The Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager are responsible to ensure that the Design-Builder completes and submit the following documentation before Final Acceptance:

- Updated the <u>Design Approval Package</u> for <u>WSDOT let project packages</u> for any revisions and additional information prepared following execution of the contract including Channelization Plan and Supplemental Conceptual and Geometric Design, if applicable.
- Completed the Design Acceptance Package (DAP) for an ODOT let project package and/or for work elements in Oregon.
- Completed the Project Development Approval Package when PS&E bid documents are completed for a WSDOT let project package and/or for work elements in Washington.
- Completed the PS&E documents for ODOT let project packages including plans and specifications to the following requirements: Final PS&E Check List (<u>..\Procedures -</u> ODOT\Final PSE submittal checklist.doc)
- Reports and technical memoranda that document decisions made during completion of the design regarding components not included in the design criteria.
- Approved deviations.
- Design calculations and documentation.
- Electronic MicroStation and InRoads files, including all Released for Construction (RFC) sheets, reference files and base mapping (topography).
- Two hard copies of the As-Built Plans that meet the requirements of the executed contract and accurately represent the as-constructed conditions in the field. The As-Built Plans shall include the following:
  - A written certification by the EOR that the As-Built Plans accurately and completely reflect all changes and corrections made during construction.

- The EOR's stamp on each re-issued sheet of the revised RFC Documents, and the cover of each of the re-issued revised RFC Technical Specifications.
- o Each sheet of the As-Built Plans stamped or clearly marked "AS-BUILT."
- o An accompanying index and instructions.
- Updated electronic MicroStation and InRoads files that show the as-constructed conditions, incorporating all revisions made during construction, and are consistent with the software and drawing conformance requirements in the technical requirements of the executed contract,
- Reproducible originals of the shop drawings for pre-stressed structural elements and all structural steel components.
- Calculations for design revisions made during construction were incorporated into the design calculation file when construction is completed in accordance with the executed contract.

#### 14.3.8 Change Proposal

The CRC Program places a high degree of importance on value engineering to optimize the value of each dollar spent. Design-Build contracting allows for innovation and a value engineering approach to design optimization by the design-Builder through Value Engineering Change Proposal (VECP) or a Category B Change Proposal (CBCP).

The Design-Builder may submit a VECP to change Category A contract requirements that include changes to: (a) any requirement in the General Provisions, (b) any of the standards identified as "Mandatory Standards" in any Section of the Technical Requirements (but not including the WSDOT Standard Specifications), (c) any change to a commitment made in the Design-Builder's Proposal Documents, and (d) a change to the Basic Configuration. The Delivery Manager assisted by the Delivery Engineering Manager will evaluate any cost savings per General Provision 1-04.4(4).

The Design-Builder may also submit changes to Category B contract requirements that include changes to: (a) any requirement found in the contract Technical Requirements, but not including changes to standards identified as "Mandatory Standards", (b) any change to a requirement of the WSDOT Standard Specifications, or to the Amendments to the Standard Specifications which are included in the Contract, and (c) any change to a requirement identified in the Contract as a Special Provision. It is up to the Design-Builder to propose a change to a Category B requirement as either a VECP or a Category B Change Proposal (CBCP). The Delivery Manager assisted by Delivery Engineering Manager will evaluate a CBCP per General Provision 1-04.4(3) to determine whether or not a Design-Builder's proposed change is "equal or better" than the underlying Contract Requirements.

# 15. Quality Assurance and Quality Control

## 15.1 Overview

The goal of the CRC quality program is to ensure conformance to specified quality requirements by CRC Team members (agency and consultant staff) and construction contractors. The quality program accomplishes this objective by providing documented evidence that all facilities (transit, bridge, highway, and related components) are designed, procured, and constructed in accordance with established engineering criteria and applicable state and federal requirements.

The CRC's quality objective is to reduce the occurrence of deficiencies and nonconforming work and services during the life of the Project. The CRC Team accomplishes this quality objective by implementing specific quality control activities and confirming, through quality assurance (QA) audits, compliance of the work activities performed by CRC Team members and construction contractors.

The following are the definitions of quality assurance and quality control:

- **Quality Assurance (QA)** All those planned and systematic actions necessary to provide adequate confidence that an item is in conformance with established requirements and will satisfy given needs. The activity of providing the evidence needed to establish confidence that quality functions are being performed adequately. QA is a management tool.
- Quality Control (QC) Those functions that provide a means to control and measure characteristics as related to established design requirements. The techniques and activities that sustain quality of an item to satisfy given needs; also the use of such techniques and activities. QC is a production tool.

Appendix XX - Quality Assurance Manual (QAM) and Appendix XX - Quality Control Plan (QCP) comprise the overall quality program for the CRC project. The QAM and QCP describe the necessary quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) activities to ensure conformance to specified quality requirements. They are "living documents" that may be modified throughout the duration of the Project to reflect changes in quality requirements.

The QAM and the QCP are periodically revised to reflect the phases of the Project. Therefore, unlike this PMP, the QAM and the QCP are written in versions for:

- Preliminary Engineering (PE) phase
- Final Design phase
- Construction phase

This PMP refers to construction-related activities that are not yet included in the current QAM and QCP, but will be addressed in subsequent phases. A summary of the overall requirements of the QAM and QCP follows.

#### 15.1.1 Management Commitment to Quality

The Executive Management Team is committed to planning and constructing the Program with the highest regard for quality in all areas. The CRC Team is responsible for ensuring that design and construction is in conformance to specified quality requirements. The quality of the transit and highway systems is the ultimate measure by which public users of both systems make their judgment. The CRC Director has identified Project quality objectives and has designated a QA/QC Manager to verify that quality-related activities are being implemented during design and construction activities to achieve those objectives. Every CRC Team member is expected to follow the QA program and QC procedures detailed in the QAM and QCP, respectively.

#### 15.1.2 Quality Assurance Program

The objective of the QA program is to provide a planned and systematic approach to ensure that contracted products and services are produced and delivered as specified, and the expected level of quality is achieved. The QA program provides for the implementation of activities during design and construction that facilitate early identification of conditions that might, if not identified, adversely affect satisfactory completion of the Project. The administrative and control measures will be implemented by the CRC Team members in such a manner as to verify the successful completion of a safe, reliable, economical, and convenient transit and highway infrastructure.

#### 15.1.3 Program Implementation

The QAM and QCP provide the necessary policies and processes for implementation of the CRC quality program through written procedures and audits, including the documentation of such activities. The objective is to ensure that the required quality is attained through developing final designs to required engineering criteria, effective procurement and contracting procedures, and proper manufacturing, construction, installation, and testing and start-up activities.

Controls necessary for implementing quality-related activities, and the documentation of such activities, are categorized into the following general areas:

- Review of design, contract, and procurement documents, as well as inspection, testing, and start-up procedures, to verify that quality aspects have been considered.
- Review and approval of the Consultant's quality control program to verify acknowledgement of and adherence to the design, procurement, and contract requirements.
- Surveillance and monitoring of manufacturing, installation, construction, inspection, and testing and start-up activities to verify adherence to design, contract, and procurement requirements.
- Audits of the Consultant's quality control activities, and quality assurance of their subcontractors' quality control activities, to ensure compliance with procedures and documentation of the activities.

# 15.2 FTA Requirements (15 Elements)

The QA/QC program complies with the FTA's Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines and includes the 15 elements of a quality program suggested by the FTA Guidelines. The QAM and QCP include detailed requirements and guidelines for implementation of the quality policies.

## 15.2.1 Management Responsibility

The commitment to and responsibility for quality for the CRC Program belong ultimately to the highest level of management. Management should formally declare and communicate its quality policy and is responsible for making sure that the quality program is implemented and maintained. A person within the project organization should be designated who represents management in the quality efforts. This person and all personnel who have responsibility for quality activities should be included on an organizational chart that shows their interrelationships with other project personnel.

CRC management is ultimately responsible for the CRC's quality program. The CRC quality policy and the organizational chart showing the roles and responsibilities of personnel with quality-related responsibilities appear in the QAM. As detailed in the QAM and the QCP, the QA/QC Manager will have responsibility for monitoring and auditing the QA/QC activities.

## 15.2.2 Documented Quality Management System

The QA program will be implemented and maintained through a documented quality management system to ensure that the Project's quality assurance goals and objectives are met. This quality management system extends to all aspects of design, procurement, manufacturing, and construction. Written procedures and instructions should address processes including inspection, testing, nonconforming product and work, corrective action, maintenance of quality records, quality audits, and training.

The QAM and QCP include written policies and procedures that document the quality management system, including provisions for design control, inspection, testing, nonconforming product and work, corrective action, maintenance of records, quality audits, and training.

#### 15.2.3 Design Control

Procedures that control and verify the design should be established and maintained by the Consultant. These procedures must identify the roles of those responsible for QA for design and specify what design information should be documented, transmitted, and reviewed. Design inputs and design outputs should be documented, and design reviews should address constructability, operability, and maintainability. The procedures must also clearly indicate how design changes and modifications will be identified, documented, reviewed, and approved. QA activities will be conducted to verify that QC procedures related to design are being carried out according to their requirements.

The QAM and the QCP include references and/or procedures to control and verify that the design criteria, other specific requirements, and requirements of regulatory agencies and industry standards are met.

#### 15.2.4 Document Control

Key documents that are either developed internally or acquired from external sources, and used as authoritative references during the development of design and construction of the CRC Program will be subject to controlled distribution. The Highway, Structures, Transit and Construction Managers (discipline managers) are responsible for ensuring their staff (agency and consultant) follow established document control procedures. Within each discipline, the discipline-specific task managers are responsible for developing and issuing documents in accordance with established document control procedures. The author of each CRC-issued controlled document, under the direction of the discipline-specific task manager, defines the appropriate distribution and revision for that document or record.

Procedures for control of project documents and data are discussed in the QAM and presented in more detail in the QCP. Document control ensures that all relevant documents are current and available to all users who require them.

## 15.2.5 Purchasing

All suppliers, installers, manufacturers, and contractors should be selected based on their ability to meet the contract requirements, including quality requirements. Contract documents or purchasing specifications will contain relevant criteria, standards, drawings, process requirements, and inspection and testing procedures. The level of quality requirements specified will depend upon the nature and complexity of the work or product.

This element is not applicable to the Final Design phase, but will be addressed in future versions of the QAM and QCP specific to the Construction phase of the CRC Program.

#### 15.2.6 Product Identification and Traceability

Procedures for the control and identification of the items of production in order to ensure that incorrect or defective items are not used should be established and maintained. Physical identification and control will be used to the most reasonable extent possible, but if physical identification is impractical, then other appropriate means such as physical separation of products or procedural control will be used.

The QAM outlines procedures for identifying and tracking items of design production. Additional procedures for product identification and traceability will be addressed in a future version specific to the Construction phase of the CRC.

#### 15.2.7 Process Control

Special processes required in fabrication, production, or installation that cannot be verified by subsequent inspection and testing will be performed under controlled conditions and continuously monitored. Special processes include, but are not limited to, welding, soldering, heat treatment, and nondestructive examination. Documented work instructions, qualified personnel, and conformance with applicable codes, standards, and QC plans should be used to ensure quality for these processes. Work instructions should assist in making sure that work is completed in the proper sequence and that interfaces between disciplines are addressed.

This element is not applicable to the Final Design phase, but will be addressed in future versions of the QAM and QCP specific to the Construction phase of the Project.

#### 15.2.8 Inspection and Testing

Performance of inspection and testing will be according to the established QCP or documented procedures, and will verify the quality of work and products throughout the duration of the work (in-process work). Final inspection and testing should be conducted to ensure that the final product conforms to the specifications, and records should be maintained to document the final inspection and testing.

Inspection and testing are addressed in the QAM document. Additional inspection and testing procedures and requirements will be addressed in future versions specific to the Construction phase of the CRC Program.

#### 15.2.9 Inspection, Measuring, and Test Equipment

Inspection, measuring, and test equipment used in the work will be identified, controlled, calibrated, and maintained in proper working order to ensure conformance to requirements. Such equipment must meet the standards of accuracy for the measurements required. Equipment will be calibrated according to national standards when applicable or to documented standards when national standards do not exist. Equipment will also be regularly recalibrated. If inspection, measuring, and test equipment is found to be out of calibration, the validity of previous inspection and testing results will be re-evaluated for acceptability. A record of the equipment calibration status should be maintained.

The QAM details the policies and procedures for inspection, measuring, and test equipment. Additional requirements related to inspection, measuring, and test equipment will be addressed in a future version specific to the Construction phase of the CRC Program.

### 15.2.10 Inspection and Test Status

Procedures for identifying the inspection and test status of work during production and installation will ensure that only work that has passed inspections and tests is incorporated into the Project. The test status will indicate conformance or nonconformance with regard to reviews, inspections, and tests. Test and inspection status will be identified by means of markings, tags, labels, routing cards, inspection and test records, physical location, or other suitable means.

This element is not applicable to the Final Design phase, but will be addressed in future versions of the QAM and QCP specific to the Construction phase of the CRC Program.

#### 15.2.11 Nonconformance

Procedures for controlling nonconforming work will ensure that such work is not used or installed on the CRC Program. Nonconforming Work will be identified, controlled, documented, and evaluated for determining the proper disposition of the nonconforming work. Documented procedures will define the responsibility and authority for the review and disposition of nonconforming work. The final disposition of nonconforming work will require the approval of the Construction Manager or field representative, dependent upon the nature of the work, and may include rework, acceptance for an alternative application, or rejection. Reworked or repaired work will be re-inspected and/or retested in accordance with contract requirements. All nonconformances will be resolved with the involvement of quality personnel. The QAM details the policies and procedures for nonconforming work related to design. Additional requirements related nonconforming work and product will be addressed in a future version specific to the Construction phase of the CRC Program.

#### 15.2.12 Corrective Action

Corrective action required as a result of nonconforming work or product will be identified and controlled to eliminate potential problems. Procedures for corrective action should be established, documented, and maintained. Corrective action procedures will be used that will:

- · Investigate the cause of nonconforming work or product
- Analyze processes to detect and eliminate potential causes of nonconforming work or product
- Initiate preventative measures to correct problems appropriate to the level of risk
- · Ensure that corrective actions are implemented and effective
- Implement and record changes in procedures resulting from corrective actions

The corrective action process will be documented and controlled to final acceptance and closeout of the issue.

The QAM and QCP documents outline procedures for corrective action.

#### 15.2.13 Quality Records

Procedures for maintaining quality records will be established and maintained that will show the proper functioning of the quality management system. These records will be prepared, compiled, and stored so that they can be easily retrieved. Attention will be given to accuracy, completeness, legibility, retention time, final disposition, and security of the quality records. Records will be kept in an environment that will help prevent their deterioration or damage. Typical quality records may include:

- Design reviews
- Inspection reports
- Test data
- Qualification records
- Calibration records
- Nonconformance reports
- Corrective actions
- Audit reports

The QAM and the QCP documents address quality records in more detail.

#### 15.2.14 Quality Audits

The QA/QC Manager and other QA staff, as assigned, will perform periodic scheduled audits, as detailed in the QAM, to verify that each aspect of the QCP is being followed and that the elements of the quality management system are functioning as intended. Auditors will be qualified to perform the audit by meeting requirements of quality auditors as defined in the policies and procedures. Quality

audits will be fully documented and findings will be tracked to their final disposition. The QA/QC Manager will review audit findings with the personnel who have responsibility in the area being audited.

The QAM and the QCP address in detail the procedures and requirements related to quality audits.

#### 15.2.15 Training

Procedures for identifying training needs and for providing training to project staff performing activities that affect quality will be established and maintained. All staff members will be technically qualified for their tasks and trained in the QA program and QC procedures pertaining to their work responsibilities. The QA/QC Manager will establish a training program and maintain records of the participation of key project staff in training related to the QA program and QC procedures.

Training is addressed in more detail in the QAM and QCP documents.

# 15.3 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the QA/QC Manager related to the fifteen elements of a quality program are described in the QAM. In-depth details of the Consultant's responsibilities will be included in its approved QC Plan.

# 15.4 Design Quality Control

Quality control of design activities applies to the activities of defining, performing, and controlling designs, including but not limited to, design of facilities, structures, systems, and equipment. CRC Team members (agency and Consultant staff) will perform their design activities to the approved engineering criteria, applicable codes and standards, and regulatory requirements. QC reviews, including Interdisciplinary Reviews, will be performed by qualified individuals (other than those who originated the design) within the reviewer's area of professional expertise. Design changes or revisions will be subjected to the same level of checking, review, and approval as the original design. Design documents will be uniquely identified and controlled to ensure the use of approved documents. Quality-related design activities will be documented and records maintained as detailed in the QCP.

# 15.5 Construction Quality Control

The CRC Program will establish minimum requirements for quality control of construction activities that the Construction Contractor must follow. The Construction Contractor will submit to the RE a QC Plan to control the quality of its work according to contract requirements. The CRC Delivery Manager will assign a Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) and inspection staff to each construction contract to provide on-site quality inspections and oversight of the Construction Contractor's QC Plan. The CRC Delivery Manager, with input from the QA/QC Manager, will provide the Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) with review comments regarding the Construction Contractor's proposed QC Plan and personnel. The Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) is responsible for final disposition of the Construction Contractor's QC Plan.

# 15.6 Inspection and Test Plans

The Construction Contractor will be responsible for the quality of all work performed by its own employees, as well as by any manufacturers, subcontractors, or suppliers, to meet contract requirements and as detailed in its approved QC Plan. The Construction Contractor will develop and submit to the Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) for approval a QC Plan that addresses all testing requirements, including the type of tests, frequency of tests, minimum qualifications of those performing the tests, and required quality documentation.

# 15.7 In-Process Inspections

The Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) and inspectors will be deployed to ensure that construction QC procedures are in place and effective, ensuring that quality standards are acceptable. The Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) and inspector activities will include:

- Verifying the Contractor's material certifications and samples.
- Inspecting materials and equipment delivered to the job site(s).
- Performing inspections of specialty equipment and fabricated construction materials.
- Participating in First Article Inspections (FAIs), or witness and hold point activities, as delineated in the contract specifications and established in the Construction Contractor's approved QC Plan.
- Inspecting construction and installation work in progress.
- Documenting the results of inspections and tests, and specifically noting any failed tests, retesting, or re-certification required.
- Monitoring construction operations and field-testing of construction material.
- Reviewing the Construction Contractor's QC documentation.

The CRC Delivery Manager may assign a full-time on-site inspector to the vehicle manufacturing facility to ensure ongoing compliance with the Consultant's QCP. The TriMet QA Manager will also perform on behalf of the CRC Program audits and surveillances of the manufacturer's facilities on an as-needed basis.

# 15.8 Materials Testing Program

Contract specifications will include standards for materials and corresponding tests to ensure compliance with contract requirements. Qualified personnel will accomplish materials testing during construction in accordance with approved WSDOT, ODOT or TriMet testing practices and procedures, as applicable. Requirements for materials testing are described in the WSDOT Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge, and Municipal Construction (M 41-10) and the Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction (2008), as applicable, and as supplemented by the CRC's contract provisions.

The Construction Contractor will have primary responsibility for testing of materials to contract requirements. The Construction Contractor is to prepare and submit a QC Plan that includes a testing plan, containing a list of tests that references each Specification Section required by the contract. It is anticipated that the Construction Contractor will obtain the services of an independent materials testing laboratory to actually perform the materials quality control tests. Laboratory qualifications shall also be submitted as part of the QC Plan. The Construction Contractor will submit to the Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) results of quality control tests. The Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) will review and maintain test reports and direct any actions to be taken for nonconforming items.

CRC's agency staff (WSDOT, ODOT or TriMet, as applicable) is responsible for verification testing and inspection of prefabricated materials. They will perform quality assurance confidence tests to verify that the Construction Contractor's QC testing is satisfactory. The Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) is responsible for coordination of quality assurance confidence testing.

# 16. Construction Administration

Overview

[Under Development]

# 17. Public Art Program

# 17.1 Overview

The CRC Program will include public art with the transit construction for the benefit of the general public. The goal of the public art program is to encourage increased transit usage and community pride by integrating art works into the transportation system, thereby celebrating the contributions of public transportation and recognizing the cultural richness in the region. The art program is administered in accordance with the requirements of FTA Circular 9400.1A, "Federal Transit Administration Design and Art in Transit Projects" and FTA Best Practices, Chapter 6.7.

The art program will be modeled after the successful examples and processes used on previous LRT projects in the Portland metropolitan region. Artists will be hired early in final design phase to collaborate with the architects, planners, project managers, and engineers. Selected art projects or themes will be integrated into each transit facility itself and not simply added into the facility as stand-alone pieces. Art will serve to create an identity for each station or facility based on the history, culture, and character of the surrounding area.

# 17.2 Organization

TriMet's Public Art Manager of the Capital Projects and Facilities Division will coordinate the public art program under the direction of the Transit Manager and Transit Deputy Manager. Specific duties include:

- Implementing the art program with input from the Public Art Advisory Committee.
- Assisting in outreach efforts to gather program input and support from the community.
- Retaining, administering, and managing artists for the art project(s).
- Coordinating between design and construction work and art project(s).

# 17.3 Budget and Funding

The public art budget will be established during PE. The art program budget includes cost of the art as well as the design and construction costs to incorporate the art into the facilities.

# 17.4 Art Development and Integration

The CRC Program may establish a Public Art Advisory Committee to provide oversight of public art in light rail projects. The committee would be comprised of community representatives, artists, city leaders, and staff.

The Public Art Advisory Committee's roles could include:

• Selection of artists to develop plans, articulate themes, and identify potential art projects

- Approval of identified art projects
- Oversight and advice on art program implementation

Should special issues regarding the art program arise, The CRC Program, through TriMet, can obtain assistance through the services of the Regional Arts and Cultural Council (RACC) and/or the SW Washington Center for the Arts. Experienced experts in the field of public art are available for consultation in the areas of programming, community involvement, artist selection, and design integration.

The CRC Team will be responsible for incorporating the art into the final design. Manufacture and installation of the art may be incorporated into the construction contract(s) or may be the responsibility of the artist. Any art requirements incorporated into the construction contracts will be the joint responsibility of the Public Art Manager and the Project Engineer (Resident Engineer) responsible for construction administration.

# 17.5 Maintenance of Art Elements

Delineation of the roles and responsibilities for the ongoing care and maintenance of the art will be determined during the Final Design phase.

# 18. LRT System Testing and Start-Up

# 18.1 Overview

The primary goal of the CRC system testing and start-up program is a revenue service opening that incorporates the lessons learned and builds upon the successes achieved on previous LRT extension start-ups in the Portland metropolitan area. The CRC Program will leverage TriMet's successful integration of new and existing service on the Metropolitan Area Express (MAX) light rail system on prior extension start-ups including:

- Westside/Hillsboro Light Rail (Blue Line) Extension, September 1998
- Airport Light Rail Extension (Red Line), September 2001
- Interstate MAX Light Rail Extension (Yellow Line), May 2004
- South Corridor Extension (Green Line), on track for September 2009

In each case, start up culminated over a year of ever-intensifying pre-revenue testing, training, and other preparatory activities.

# 18.2 System Testing Procedures, Analysis, and Results

This section describes the objectives, methodology, management controls, and major milestones in the conduct of a test program intended to verify LRT's readiness for revenue operations.

## 18.2.1 Objectives

Listed below are the objectives of the system testing and start-up program:

- Develop and execute a comprehensive testing program
- Verification of contract compliance
- Validation and demonstration of system performance
- Demonstration of safety, security, and service characteristics
- Training of TriMet and/or C-TRAN personnel, and integration of personnel, equipment, and procedures into TriMet's overall operations
- Full collaboration with C-TRAN operations

#### 18.2.2 Types of Tests

Five types of tests will be required under the CRC system testing and start-up program. The following definitions include examples to distinguish the general uses of each of these tests.

#### 18.2.2.1 Qualification or Proof of Design Testing

Proof of design tests are designed to verify that a proposed design can meet the specification requirements. They are usually performed on pre-production units or the first unit of a production run; for example, substation load test or LRV crash worthiness test.

## 18.2.2.2 Manufacturing Tests

Manufacturing tests are a general category of tests that are performed by the contractor and suppliers on a sampling basis or routine basis to verify the quality control and manufacturing process. They usually represent milestones for further assembly or construction activity.

### 18.2.2.3 Acceptance Tests

Acceptance tests are performed by the contractors on each individual item, thereby verifying performance at the equipment/subsystem/system level, after installation or assembly. These tests are normally used as advanced milestones for contract progress payment on equipment type contracts. At the system level, these tests require interface with other system elements; for example, vehicle acceptance, or ticket vending machine acceptance.

## 18.2.2.4 System Tests or Integrated Tests

Systems, or integrated tests, are any test or series of tests that require the interface of more than one system or civil element and are designed to verify the integration and compatibility among individual elements. These tests are usually beyond the contractual responsibility of any single contractor. They are planned, performed, witnessed, reported, and documented by TriMet and C-TRAN. These tests are generally required as a validation of total system performance. A detailed test plan for each test and the required sequence will be included in the Integrated Test Plan.

## 18.2.2.5 Pre-Revenue Testing

Pre-revenue tests utilize the complete functional capabilities of all system elements. Such tests are beyond the contractually required tests of individual contractors, are required to be performed prior to the introduction of revenue service and will utilize and evaluate representative system schedules, personnel, procedures, and equipment. These tests will begin after system elements relating to systems operations are complete and accepted. A detailed test plan for each test and the required sequence will be included in the Integrated Test Plan.

## 18.2.3 Test Management Approach

The management of the test program has been adapted to the organization of the CRC Program and is divided into two categories.

The first category includes proof of design, manufacturing, and acceptance tests. These tests are managed by the Resident Engineer responsible for the contract to which they relate.

The second category of tests-- systems integration and pre-revenue-- are the responsibility of the CRC Test Program Coordinator. Typically, prior to testing activities, the Test Program Coordinator is selected from within CRC's systems engineering team.

The Test Program Coordinator reports to the Systems Manager, who has the duty to implement the overall test plan. During the latter phases of the project, the tasks of schedule coordination and organization of other facilities or support elements will be the responsibility of the Test Program Coordinator.

There are three major activities in performing both categories of tests:

- 1. Establish requirements;
- 2. Perform tests; and
- 3. Report test status, document and analyze results.

The general approach to the utilization of TriMet personnel will be to encourage maximum test witnessing as a means of training opportunities while at the same time avoiding the imposition of contractual obligations and costs. These objectives must be consistent with the assumption of responsibilities relating to various stages of contract acceptance, and within the limits of TriMet personnel resources.

## 18.2.4 Establish Requirements

The requirements for testing system elements are established based several factors:

- Functional criticality;
- Developmental nature;
- Procurement/installation relative to construction sequences; and
- Historical experience.

Each element of the system must be examined in terms of these factors and test requirements established. Where the requirements relate directly to contract performance specifications, the tests shall be contractor tests and will be included as part of the contract requirements.

Where test requirements are established that are beyond the contractual responsibility of any system or civil element contractor, the tests shall be termed District tests and shall be managed by the Test Program Coordinator.

The process of establishing test requirements differs between contractor and District tests. The definition of contractor tests, since they will become contractual requirements in specifications, is the responsibility of the Resident Engineer for the contract. The designer, Systems Manager, and TriMet and C-TRAN Operations staff provide technical support. Coordination of support requirements (system facilities and interfaces with other system elements) is also the responsibility of the Resident Engineer; the CRC Test Program Coordinator will provide coordination assistance. The Coordinator will assure that all contractor tests are compatible with TriMet and C-TRAN policy, system wide schedules, and the requirements of other contracts.

The definition of District tests, and the specific objectives and method of accomplishing them, will be developed by the CRC Test Program Coordinator based on input from Resident Engineers, TriMet and C-TRAN Operations, Safety and others.

### 18.2.5 Perform Tests

Tests will be executed in one of three ways:

- 1. Contractor off-site tests;
- 2. Contractor on-site tests; or
- 3. TriMet and C-TRAN Tests

#### 18.2.5.1 Contractor off-site tests

Tests assigned to the contractors that are not performed at the point of final installation are termed off-site testing. Examples include most qualification and manufacturing tests. There are four basic activities involved in off-site testing:

- 1. Review of test plans, procedures, and reports;
- 2. Execution monitoring of the tests;
- 3. Verification of test performance and results; and
- 4. Status reporting of submittals and tests, and test completion reporting

Review of test plans, procedures, and reports is the responsibility of the Resident Engineer. The Test Program Coordinator may provide technical support. Test plans and procedures must be reviewed by the Resident Engineer to ensure that the specification requirements are met including all technical and District requirements.

The contractor will perform execution of the tests. Execution will be in accordance with the contract specifications and the test plan and/or procedures, as approved. The contractor shall provide test specimen(s), equipment, and operating personnel.

Monitoring of the tests will be the responsibility of the Resident Engineer. Members of the design team, and TriMet and C-TRAN Operations may be requested to support or witness these tests. Auditing or witnessing of tests by quality assurance staff will be performed on a spot check or random sample basis. Status reporting of all significant contractor off-site tests shall be the responsibility of the Resident Engineer.

#### 18.2.5.2 Contractor On-Site Tests

Tests assigned to the contractors that are performed at the point of final installation are termed on-site testing. Examples include acceptance tests and contractor system tests. Basic activities involved in contractor on-site testing include:

• Review of test plans, procedures, and reports;

- Scheduling of tests;
- Equipment operation or use of other system elements;
- Execution monitoring of tests; and
- · Verification of test performance and results.

Review activities are the responsibility of the Resident Engineer, supported by the Test Program Coordinator.

Scheduling of on-site tests begins during the review process, and continues through test execution and completion of test result documentation. In all phases those tests, which require interfaces with other system elements, must be identified for individual attention and additional resource support.

The Test Program Coordinator, in conjunction with TriMet's and C-TRAN's Operations, and designated CRC staff, will meet on a regular basis to coordinate the schedules and resolve potential problems associated with the on-site testing. As test plans and procedures are reviewed, the need for other system elements to be functioning will be identified and resources coordinated.

Actual test execution will be the responsibility of the contractor who must provide the necessary test equipment, test operators, and data recording. Where operation and/or maintenance of other system elements (equipment outside the contractor's responsibility) are required, such operation shall be provided by TriMet and C-TRAN personnel or by other contractors through coordination by the Test Program Coordinator.

Monitoring, execution, verification, status, and completion reporting are performed with similar responsibilities as described in off-site testing.

## 18.2.5.3 District Tests

The major activities in District tests (system and pre-revenue) are as follows:

- Identification of requirements;
- Preparation and review of procedures;
- Test supervision and execution; and
- Status and rest completion reporting.

Responsibility for these activities rests with the CRC Test Program Coordinator. Responsibility for certain aspects of these tests is also vested in the Light Rail Vehicles (LRVs) Resident Engineer, and TriMet and C-TRAN Operations personnel. In general, the role of the CRC Test Program Coordinator will vary with increasing responsibilities, particularly during the pre-revenue test phase.

Three types of District tests are required and occur in sequential order:

- 1. Light rail vehicle acceptance testing and burn-in;
- 2. Systems testing (integration of equipment, facilities, personnel and procedures); and
- 3. Pre-revenue testing (operations of total system, simulating normal, abnormal, and emergency conditions.)

Preparation of test procedures for LRVs acceptance testing will be the responsibility of the LRVs Resident Engineer. The Test Program Coordinator, and TriMet and C-TRAN Operations will review the procedures.

Preparation of procedures for systems tests will be the responsibility of the Test Program Coordinator. TriMet and C-TRAN Operations, and other TriMet ad C-TRAN staff, as required, will review procedures.

TriMet and C-TRAN Operations and the Test Program Coordinator will coordinate preparation of test procedures for the pre-revenue tests. Test supervision and execution responsibilities will vary according to the type of test. The Test Program Coordinator will be responsible for system verification tests. Pre-revenue tests will be planned, managed, and executed by TriMet and C-TRAN Operations personnel with the CRC Test Program Coordinator acting in an advisory and coordination role.

Test result reports of all District tests shall be the responsibility of the person conducting the test. The Test Program Coordinator will keep documentation of all tests performed.

## 18.2.6 Report Test Status, Document Results

Effective test reporting has two objectives. First, an overall knowledge of test progress is vital for an understanding of the status of individual contracts and the system as a whole. Second, the status of tests that have relationships with other contracts or tests must be closely monitored to ensure coordination and prevent delays.

The primary responsibility for providing the input on test documentation submittals (test procedures and test results) and status of individual contractor tests will be with the Resident Engineer who will submit such data to the CRC Test Program Coordinator for compilation.

The CRC Test Program Coordinator, in turn, has the responsibility to closely monitor testing and notify the Resident Engineers when late or unsuccessful tests may interfere with other project activities. The reporting of test status, test results, and test completion of systems tests will be done by the CRC Test Program Coordinator or designee. Reporting of test status, test results, and completion of pre-revenue tests will be done by the TriMet and C-TRAN Operations.

#### 18.2.6.1 Test Documentation

The Resident Engineers and CRC Test Program Coordinator are responsible for assuring that all their test documentation is prepared and available for review. Documentation shall include a description of each test required in the contract documents, the results of each test including fail and pass dates, names of test witnesses, test reports or dates, and the acceptance of the test by the Resident Engineer or CRC Test Program Coordinator. The CRC Test Program Coordinator will

be responsible to maintain a master log of all tests required and their status. This log will become part of the back-up documentation necessary for safety certification.

### 18.2.6.2 Test Completion Reports

At the completion of each test, the individual responsible for approval of the test results will complete a test summary report and submit it to the CRC Test Program Coordinator. Approval of, and exceptions to, the test results will be reported on this form and any need for additional retests will be identified. The CRC Test Program Coordinator will compile test completion reports.

# 18.3 Modifications or Retrofits

During system or pre-revenue testing necessary changes to various project elements may be identified. Any such change will take the form of a modification or retrofit. Determination of the need for any modifications or retrofits will be based on the results of the system testing and startup program, incorporate engineering input and judgment, and must be carefully coordinated with the management of change orders and warranties.

Agreement on the scope of and assignment of financial responsibility for modifications and/or retrofits will be negotiated and administered by the Resident Engineer.

# 18.4 Start-Up Planning

Start-up of the light rail line is an inherently complex process requiring exceptional intra-agency coordination and planning. Anticipated challenges are:

- The construction and integrated testing phases will be nearing completion, a point in the overall process that is often exceptionally time sensitive and for which few "work-arounds" are available to deal with unresolved issues.
- Additional operations personnel are needed to operate the new line as an extension of the existing light rail line.
- General growth in other transit service areas is needed to support growth in rail, fixedroute bus, and paratransit operations well in advance of the commencement of new light rail operations.

Approximately two years in advance of revenue operations, TriMet and C-TRAN will each designate a Start-up Coordinator who will report to the Systems Manager. They will be responsible for managing the identification, critical path scheduling, coordination, and progress reporting of all activities directly supporting the commencement of revenue operations. Key coordination is required with the Transit Design Manager and CRC Delivery Manager to manage interfaces. An initial task will involve assuring that a coordinated training program is implemented.

TriMet and C-TRAN divisions will be involved with CRC transit staff during start-up. This includes staff from: Rail Transportation, Bus Transportation, Transportation Planning, Safety and Security, and Marketing and Customer Service.

#### 18.4.1 Start-up Plan

The Start-up Coordinator will convene a Start-up Steering Team to oversee the start-up effort. The first priority of the Steering Team will be to guide the development of a comprehensive Start-up Plan. The plan will outline the procedures and policies necessary to activate and operate the light rail line and the key steps (testing, staffing, training, etc.) and timetable required.

Preparation of the plan will be the responsibility of the CRC Start-up Coordinator. It will be based on the successful plan and implementation process used on TriMet's previous start-ups. The plan will be used as a guide during the activation of the rail line and as a reference manual in future operation of the entire route.

The start-up activities program will continue past the date of commencement of revenue operations until all identified open items in the program have been closed.

Information under the following headings will be developed during the preliminary engineering and final design phases and included in later versions of this plan.

#### 18.4.2 Start-up Schedule

The schedule for implementation of the plan will be prepared as a separate document, referred to as the "Start-up Schedule." Functional groupings of start-up activities will be represented. Activity groups will include:

- Completion of construction
- Bus/rail service planning
- Operating budgets programming
- Personnel hiring and training
- Maintenance of facilities/equipment
- System safety and security certification
- Systems activation
- Marketing/customer services/community relations' activities

CRC transit staff will closely coordinate with TriMet and C-TRAN divisions to prepare the sequence and timing of activities in this schedule. The stated sequence and timing of events will be followed closely to meet the established date for the start of revenue service.

# 18.4.3 Start-Up Target Date

An initial target date for start-up of light rail service will be established early in the overall project scheduling. Progress toward this date will be continually evaluated during the testing and start-up phases. The ultimate decision on the start-up date will be made by the CRC Director in consultation with TriMet and C-TRAN only after assurance of the system's safety and reliability is assured. A fundamental requirement for determining the opening date will be the availability of the entire length of the line, including all line segments, operations facility, vehicles, and system elements for a period of approximately four months for purposes of testing, training, and simulated operation. Depending on the actual segment completion dates, the CRC Director in consultation with TriMet and C-TRAN will determine if certain segments can be operated for

either special or demonstration services. Full-revenue rail start-up will be integrated with the existing light rail system and bus service.

# 18.5 **Operations Planning**

Rail operations and maintenance plans are described below.

## 18.5.1 Basic Operating Plan

The basic information as to through-line operations, the location of stations, headways required to carry the expected passengers and other similar information is determined during preliminary engineering and is set forth in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

Critical information on the means of achieving the service level stated in the FEIS will be contained in the next *Rail Operations Five-Year Plan*. This plan will define the work requirements and set forth the personnel and training needs required to accomplish the CRC line objectives while maintaining existing service. Changes in the basic operating plan are expected to be made as additional information becomes available regarding ridership levels, vehicle characteristics, legal and regulatory directions, and other factors that may affect the operation.

#### 18.5.2 Rail Transportation Plan

The Rail Transportation Plan in the Rail Operations Five-Year Plan will define the work requirements and hiring needs by time periods, including consideration of training. This plan will take into account the continuation of existing service, as well as demands for CRC operation and other capital and operating charges.

## 18.5.3 Rail Maintenance Plan

The Rail Maintenance Plan within the Rail Operations Five-Year Plan determines the work requirements by years for continued operation of the existing line, for modification thereof, and for the addition of CRC operation. As a result of long lead-time in filling many of the jobs requiring apprenticeship training, hiring efforts for such positions begin as much as two years ahead of start-up. A complete discussion of the maintenance needs and the times at which the employees must be brought into the system will be contained in the Rail Operation Five-Year Plan. The maintenance items include LRV maintenance, maintenance of way and training. As in the Transportation Plan, consideration is given to continuation of the present service, expected changes in that operation and to the requirements for CRC service.

# 18.6 Operations Staffing

Since the CRC light rail line will be physically and operationally an extension of the existing light rail system in Portland, staffing of functions for train operators, LRV's maintenance, and plant/right-of-way maintenance will be organized as additions to organizational units within existing TriMet and C-TRAN Operations. Personnel requirements for the start-up and operational phases will be developed as part of the Rail Operations Five-Year Plan. The CRC light rail line will combine with the existing light rail system and will function as a fully-integrated system. Operations personnel, particularly maintainers and train operators, required

for the CRC line will be at least partly drawn from the existing personnel at the Ruby Junction and Elmonica facilities. New personnel--whether from outside the District or from TriMet's Bus Operations-- will augment existing personnel. Training programs will be developed which recognize the needs of both new and existing personnel for both the new and existing lines.

Generally, Ruby Junction will serve as headquarters for the CRC portion of the combined light rail operations with management positions residing at the facility for the vehicle maintenance, plant/right-of-way maintenance and rail transportation functions. The existing Ruby Junction rail operations facility will continue to serve as headquarters for TriMet rail operations with the various managers within Rail Operations and most support staff residing at that facility.

Actual hiring practices for the new positions and all training/retraining practices are subject to collective bargaining agreements. Staff hiring will follow standard procedures as identified in current TriMet personnel policies and procedures. Operations staffing must be available to respond to the start-up plan and schedule. Key activities include:

- Completion of facility modifications in time to accept delivery of the new LRVs;
- Acceptance of the new LRVs;
- System verification testing;
- · Operational Safety and Security Certification components; and
- Simulated or pre-revenue service.

The entire operations staffing program will be described in detail in the Rail Operations Five-Year Plan, which will be updated annually to adjust to any operational changes. Increases in numbers of non-operational positions (e.g. accounting, revenue collection, community relations) will be considered early in the start-up period.

# 18.7 Operations Training

During the start-up phase, an outline of the education and training program for the TriMet and C-TRAN Operations staff will be developed through a combined effort of suppliers, vendors, consultants, and the TriMet/C-TRAN training staff. The training program will ensure all operations personnel are cross-trained for both existing service and new system components. The training will also reinforce for trainees the importance of the safety of the system to TriMet and C-TRAN staff as well as the public.

TriMet has in place training programs for all rail operations, rail transportation and maintenance personnel. These training programs will be updated based on recent experience and modified to include any new or unique CRC features. Specific course requirements, lesson plans, and detailed training materials for the CRC line will be created by TriMet and C-TRAN training staff with support from the CRC engineering staff, equipment suppliers and contractors, and engineering consultants. The training program will be finalized and ready to support the testing and start-up efforts.

Most systems contracts will contain a "train the trainer" program to educate TriMet and C-TRAN supervisory personnel in all details related to the safe operations and maintenance of their respective equipment. Supervisory personnel, initially trained by the contractor, will train other TriMet and C-TRAN personnel operating and maintaining equipment related to their discipline.

Any training provided by contractors or suppliers as requirements of each contract will be the responsibility of each respective contractor, and coordinated and monitored by the Resident Engineer. The training program will include, at a minimum, training manuals and instructor workbooks, and will focus on equipment and components that are new to the TriMet system. TriMet and C-TRAN will be responsible for maintaining and updating the training programs following the completion of initial contractor training.

## 18.7.1 Contractor Provided Training and Manuals

The contractor will develop training courses and class schedules, as well as all other appropriate manuals and training materials for approval by TriMet and C-TRAN. Emphasis will be on components from the CRC project that are new to the TriMet system. Included in the plan will be sample lessons, proposed training aids, hours of instruction to be provided, and a preliminary training schedule. Sign-in sheets will document trainee attendance.

## 18.7.1.1 Training Manuals

For components that are new to the TriMet system, contractors and suppliers will provide training manuals that will present a step-by-step introduction to equipment functions and operation, including basic safety and other design principles on which the system is based. These manuals will be suitable for use in the contractor-run training program and for future staff training by the District.

#### 18.7.1.2 Maintenance Manuals

Maintenance manuals will be provided for components that are new to the TriMet system which detail procedures for all aspects of servicing, adjusting, testing and repair. They will cover all levels of maintenance from field adjustment, test and component replacement to shop adjustment, overhaul and test of components or apparatus. The manuals will contain systematic failure isolation procedures.

Standard manufacturer maintenance instructions may be used for individual components or equipment, however specific instructions and additional details in an operations and maintenance manual form will be provided for the integration of overall system maintenance.

## 18.7.1.3 Light Rail Vehicle Training

The light rail vehicle supplier will be required to provide TriMet and C-TRAN with an educational program to ensure satisfactory use, service and maintenance of equipment furnished. The program will be designed for trainees having no prior knowledge of light rail vehicles or their features, and will bring trainees to a level of knowledge sufficient to ensure employee safety and knowledgeable performance of vehicle operations and maintenance. Training will be provided for three categories of personnel:

- 1. Engineering and Administrative personnel;
- 2. Maintenance personnel; and
- 3. Train operating personnel.

Contractor-provided instructions must include physical analysis and functioning of the equipment under discussion, but also the essentials of routine maintenance, including lubrication schedules, maintenance materials, and maintenance methods and procedures where applicable. The contractor's recommendations for test frequency, limits and methods, including instruments required, will be covered in detail where applicable. When methods of access, removal, dismantling, or application are not self evident, the instructions will cover these matters. Overhaul procedures are required, since they are to be supplied in detailed maintenance manuals.

## 18.7.1.4 Traction Electrification System (TES) Training

The contractor will provide a training program to ensure satisfactory inspection, use, servicing and maintenance. The program will include:

- Substation training demonstrating equipment operation, inspection, routine maintenance, TES test procedures, switching, emergency operations, and safety procedures;
- Overhead line training demonstrating handling and making safe downed wires, emergency and permanent repair for every type of overhead line construction used in the light rail system, routine and surprise inspection procedures, identification of overhead line materials and tools, and safety procedures; and
- Return circuit training demonstrating description of problems, inspection procedures, identification of return circuit materials and equipment, and safety procedures.

#### 18.7.1.5 Signal System Training

The contractor will provide a training program to ensure satisfactory inspection, use, servicing and maintenance. The program will include:

- A thorough explanation of the function of the system and the basic safety principles involved, its various safety features, and the basic levels of required maintenance;
- Detailed instruction on all special maintenance functions required: relay test and adjustment, switch mechanism and adjustment, track circuit maintenance and adjustment, and highway crossing protection equipment maintenance and adjustment; and
- Hands-on experience with maintenance and adjustment of equipment: participation in simulated installation and preliminary testing and actual adjustment where possible.

#### 18.7.1.6 Fare Equipment Training

The contractor will prepare instruction programs and materials and provide instruction in the operation and maintenance of the fare collection equipment. The training anticipated is:

- Revenue service personnel to collect monies, replenish ticket stock and change, and accumulate record data;
- Maintenance personnel to perform scheduled maintenance and equipment field repairs; And
- Shop personnel to perform detailed overhaul and repair of components.

## 18.7.1.7 Shop Equipment Training

Equipment manufacturer(s) or supplier(s) will provide initial operation and maintenance instruction to appropriate LRT maintenance personnel for equipment, such as car washer equipment, jacks, and turntables. Operating, maintenance and spare parts manuals must be provided for all equipment.

## 18.7.1.8 Non-Revenue Equipment Training

The supplier will provide a complete training program for appropriate maintenance personnel. The training program will include operation instructions of the equipment and all appurtenances specified. In addition, the supplier will be notified prior to the date training is to be performed. This general training requirement should apply to equipment, such as line trucks, car mover (e.g., Unimog or Trackmobile), forklifts, hi-rail vehicles, autos, vans, lawn mowers, snow blowers, etc. Contractors outside the District may maintain this equipment.

## 18.7.2 TriMet Provided Training

## 18.7.2.1 Rail Transportation Training

The training program for the CRC line operations will include both classroom and field work for all Controllers, Supervisors, and train operators. Principal reference documents that will be used for the Controller, Supervisor, and Operator Training courses are TriMet's *Rail Operations Rule Book, Standard and Emergency Operating Plans and Procedures*, and the *LRV Manual*. Additional training materials will be identified and obtained as necessary. Course descriptions, lesson plans and related detailed training materials will be developed and documented by TriMet and C-TRAN operations management.

## 18.7.2.2 Rail Vehicle Maintenance Training

Maintenance personnel will be trained to maintain and operate the vehicles and facilities during delivery, initial testing, and burn-in operations. The vehicle mechanic group will also perform vehicle inspections, operate test vehicles as required, set up and use shop equipment, and perform other related functions. These personnel will be involved in car maintenance, testing, and modification as much as possible while working side-by-side with the car supplier's personnel.

Maintenance training will be provided through specialized courses prepared and conducted by equipment manufacturers and suppliers in accordance with the contract provisions. This training will include both classroom instruction and on-the-job training. Additional training to supplement contract training, and for ongoing maintenance functions not covered by contractor training is TriMet's and C-TRAN's responsibility.

#### 18.7.2.3 Track Maintenance Training

TriMet will update its training course for track maintenance personnel as necessary to incorporate the CRC system requirements. The courses must cover all aspects of track and special work, inspection requirements, and repair procedure for all the various rail and track components used in the rail system, with emphasis on components that may be new to the existing TriMet system.

The training course must include review of proper methods of track and switch inspection; Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Association of American Railroads (AAR), and American Public Transit Association (APTA) standards; gauging; tie renewal; paving; and safety precautions. Discussions of the specialized requirements for rail construction and maintenance on an electrified transit system using running rails for return circuits and track circuit signal control must be included. Written and practical demonstration will be developed for certification.

## 18.7.3 Orientation for Employees

A CRC light rail line orientation program will be developed for all TriMet and C-TRAN Operations and selected other employees to enable them to function effectively and safely within the system. The program may include classroom and in-field activities.

Other agency employees and contractors who may need to work on or near the CRC facilities or right-of-way will be required to receive training in transit safety and procedures, with emphasis on the need to notify and obtain permission before entering upon TriMet and C-TRAN property or doing any work on the system.

# 18.8 Spare Parts and Inventory Control

TriMet has established strict procedures for the receipt and storage of all spare parts and material procured, and these procedures will be followed on the CRC Project. CRC's vehicle contract manager and Resident Engineer will coordinate timing and location for receiving inspections on all incoming material and supplies. Once spare parts are delivered and accepted, the material will be securely stored and issued in accordance with TriMet inventory procedures.

All capital assets procured by TriMet are controlled and managed in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget requirements. The TriMet parts database provides automated materials management with the capability for tracking receipt of goods, inventory accounting, and procurement forecasting.

# 18.9 Pre-Revenue Operations

The operational testing program-- including simulation of regular operations, emergency drills and other special situations-- will be scheduled well in advance of the start of revenue service.

All TriMet rail transportation and maintenance personnel will participate in the operational testing program. For many tests, TriMet and C-TRAN bus employees will be required. Some of the tests will call upon fire, police, and other emergency responders, as well as state highway and utility personnel. Various operating situations will be simulated, and the adequacy of response

relative to system security, safety of people, protection of property, and maintenance of service will be measured.

Pre-revenue testing and simulated revenue operations will be performed in accordance with the requirements specified under Section 18.2 System Testing Procedures of this PMP. The CRC Test Program Coordinator and the TriMet and C-TRAN Operations share responsibility for pre-revenue testing.

In analyzing pre-revenue performance and results, several items will be given consideration:

Notification procedures Security coordination Central Control response Transportation supervisory response Maintenance response Police/fire/rescue performance Power sectionalization Performance of re-railing equipment Accident investigation procedures Simulated bus substitutions Assumption of authority Rescue train or Hi-Rail towing dispatch Continuation of service Simulated public notification Single-tracking performance State Safety Oversight (SSO) assessment Emergency drills Safety and security certification

A baseline start-up schedule will be established based on previous start-up experience and current operations.

# 18.10 Crossing Order Approvals

The Transit Manager will coordinate with state and city agencies, including ODOT's Rail Division and the City of Vancouver, responsible for approving and certifying certain safety elements of the LRT system, namely, railroad-type (gates and flashers) grade crossing protection equipment.

# 18.11 System Opening and Revenue Service

The primary goal of the system testing and start-up program is a revenue service opening that incorporates the lessons learned and builds upon the successes achieved on previous extension start-ups.

The final decision to open the extension will be made by the CRC Director in consultation with TriMet and C-TRAN only after assurance of the system's safety and reliability is assured and all necessary testing and training has been completed. That assurance is made possible by the development, implementation and management of the system testing and start-up program and cooperation from the many departments within TriMet and C-TRAN, including but not limited to:

Rail Transportation Rail Maintenance Capital Projects Safety and Security Treasury (fare collection) Human Resources (hiring & staffing) Information Technology

Grants Administration

Error! Unknown document property name. 149

Transportation Planning	Bus Operations
Marketing/Customer Services	Facilities
Elderly and Disabled Access	Programs and Communications

In addition, other agencies and groups contribute as partners in completing related improvements and providing oversight throughout the projects progression to start-up. This ongoing collaboration ensures the safe and timely integration of new transit services.

# 18.12 Operations Description

The proposed 2.9-mile CRC extension will be constructed from the north terminus of the Yellow Line (Interstate MAX) at the Expo Center in North Portland to a terminus at Clark College in Vancouver. The extension is currently anticipated to open in 2019.

The CRC light rail system's service design is based on the use of day-base trains to provide the base service, 15-minute headways throughout the system. These 15-minute policy headways are provided for most of the service day until about 10:30 PM when there is a transition to 30-minute headways. As a supplement to the day-base trains, peak tripper trains are added during peak periods where peak passenger demand is such that day-base trains alone cannot carry the loads. Trains are mostly 2-car consists, but can be single cars if passenger demand permits. The downtown block length and the station design criteria limit train length to a maximum of two cars.

## 18.12.1 Routing and Frequencies

Information under this heading will be developed during the Final Design phase and included in later versions of this plan.

## 18.12.2 Travel Time and Ridership

Information under this heading will be developed during the Final Design phase and included in later versions of this plan.