

| Road Map Item #: | 5.4 |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Product Name: | SCHEDULE CONTROL PLAN |
| PMP Appendix: | APPENDIX N |
| Submittal Date: | May 1, 2013 |

ABSTRACT: This deliverable establishes a standard methodology for schedule development, maintenance, and reporting. The plan encompasses the guidelines of Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and other local transit agencies, conformed to the needs of the Columbia River Crossing project.

SCHEDULE CONTROL PLAN

Draft Report







Title VI

The Columbia River Crossing project team ensures full compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by prohibiting discrimination against any person on the basis of race, color, national origin or sex in the provision of benefits and services resulting from its federally assisted programs and activities. For questions regarding WSDOT's Title VI Program, you may contact the Department's Title VI Coordinator at (360) 705-7098. For questions regarding ODOT's Title VI Program, you may contact the Department's Civil Rights Office at (503) 986-4350.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Information

If you would like copies of this document in an alternative format, please call the Columbia River Crossing (CRC) project office at (360) 737-2726 or (503) 256-2726. Persons who are deaf or hard of hearing may contact the CRC project through the Telecommunications Relay Service by dialing 7-1-1.

¿Habla usted español? La informacion en esta publicación se puede traducir para usted. Para solicitar los servicios de traducción favor de llamar al (503) 731-4128.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | l | NTROD | JCTION | 1-1 |
|----|------|--------|---|------|
| | 1.1 | I | Purpose | 1-1 |
| | 1.2 | I | Roles and Responsibilities | 1-1 |
| | | 1.2.1 | Project Delivery Team | 1-1 |
| | | 1.2.2 | Project Controls Manager | 1-1 |
| | | 1.2.3 | Project Manager / Lead Engineer | 1-2 |
| | | 1.2.4 | Lead Program Scheduler | |
| | | 1.2.5 | Lead Project Scheduler | 1-2 |
| | | 1.2.6 | Construction Scheduler | 1-2 |
| | | 1.2.7 | Cost/Budget Analyst | 1-2 |
| | | 1.2.8 | Cost Estimator | 1-3 |
| | 1.3 | I | Definitions | 1-3 |
| 2. | N | IASTEI | PROGRAM SCHEDULE DEVELOPMENT | 2-1 |
| | 2.1 | I | Procedures | 2-1 |
| | 2.2 | I | dentify Milestones, Deliverables and Schedule Activities | 2-1 |
| | 2.3 | I | Define Work Breakdown Structure | 2-1 |
| | | 2.3.1 | CRC Work Breakdown Structure | |
| | | 2.3.2 | Flowchart Account Codes | |
| | | 2.3.3 | FTA Standard Cost Category | |
| | 2.4 | : | Schedule Network Development | 2-9 |
| | | 2.4.1 | Identify and Define Work Packages | |
| | | 2.4.2 | Schedule Network (Logic, Constraints) | |
| | 2.5 | (| Dptimize Schedule | 2-9 |
| | 2.6 | I | ntegrate Cost Estimate with Schedule Activities | 2-10 |
| | 2.7 | | ssue Draft Master Program Schedule for Project Delivery Team Review | |
| | 2.8 | | Perform Risk Assessment | |
| | 2.9 | | Schedule Endorsement by Project Delivery Team/Stakeholders | |
| | | 2.9.1 | Project Delivery Team | |
| | | 2.9.2 | Federal, State, and Local Agencies | |
| | 2.10 |) | ssue Approved Master Program Schedule | |
| 3. | E | BASELI | NE PROGRAM SCHEDULE | 3-1 |
| 4. | C | | GENCY SCHEDULE | 4-1 |
| 5. | C | | ACTOR/SUPPLIER SCHEDULE REQUIREMENTS | 5-1 |
| | 5.1 | | Contractor/Supplier Schedule Requirements | |
| | 5.2 | | Contractor/Supplier Schedule Updates | |
| | | 5.2.1 | Contractor/Supplier Submits Schedule Update | |
| | | 5.2.2 | Review Updates to Schedule | |
| | | 5.2.3 | Conduct Schedule Analysis | |

| | 5.2.4 | Schedule Issues | 5-2 |
|-----|------------|---|------|
| | 5.2.5 | Risk Assessment / Change Management | 5-3 |
| 5.3 | | Contractor/Supplier Schedule Monitoring | 5-3 |
| 5.4 | | Contractor/Supplier Reporting Requirements | 5-3 |
| 6. | MASTE | R PROGRAM SCHEDULE MAINTENANCE | 6-1 |
| 6.1 | | Scope | 6-1 |
| | 6.1.1 | Monthly Schedule Updates | 6-1 |
| | 6.1.2 | Project Manager / Lead Engineer Schedule Updates | 6-1 |
| | 6.1.3 | Contractor/Supplier Schedule Updates | 6-1 |
| | 6.1.4 | Schedule Analysis | 6-1 |
| | 6.1.5 | Earned Value Management | 6-2 |
| | 6.1.6 | Risk Assessment | 6-5 |
| | 6.1.7 | Change Management | 6-5 |
| | 6.1.8 | Approved Monthly Schedule Update | 6-5 |
| 7. | SCHED | ULE MONITORING | 7-1 |
| 7.1 | | Master Program Schedule | 7-1 |
| 7.2 | | Contractor/Supplier Schedule Monitoring | 7-1 |
| 8. | SCHED | ULE REVISIONS | 8-1 |
| 8.1 | | Master Program Schedule – Change Management | 8-1 |
| 8.2 | | Contractor/Supplier Schedule – Management and Revisions | |
| 9. | SCHED | ULE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS | 9-1 |
| 9.1 | | Master Summary Schedule Report | 9-1 |
| 9.2 | | Master Program Schedule Reports | 9-1 |
| | 9.2.1 | Monthly Progress Report | |
| | 9.2.2 | Schedule Reports | |
| 9.3 | | Contractor/Supplier Reporting Requirements | 9-13 |
| 10. | INTERF | ACE WITH CRC PROJECT REPORTING SYSTEMS | 10-1 |
| 10. | 1 | Cost Management System | |
| | 10.1.1 | | |
| 10. | 2 | Document Control | |
| | 10.2.′ | | |
| 11. | Refer | ENCES | 11-1 |

List of Figures

| FIGURE 2-1. WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE | 2-3 |
|---|------|
| FIGURE 9-1. SAMPLE MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT | 9-5 |
| FIGURE 9-2. COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING PROJECT MILESTONES | 9-7 |
| FIGURE 9-3. CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE: PREDECESSORS AND SUCCESSORS | 9-9 |
| FIGURE 9-4. CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE : FULL PROJECT SCHEDULE GANTT CHART | 9-11 |
| FIGURE 9-5. CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE: CRITICAL AND NEAR CRITICAL SCHEDULE ACTIVITIES UP TO 60 DAYS | 9-15 |
| FIGURE 9-6. CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE: CRITICAL PATH | 9-17 |
| FIGURE 9-7. CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE: MILESTONES COMPARISON (2-MONTH) | 9-19 |
| FIGURE 9-8. CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE: 60-DAY LOOK AHEAD | 9-21 |

List of Tables

| TABLE 2-1. FLOWCHART ACCOUNT CODES | 2-5 |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| TABLE 2-2. STANDARD COST CATEGORY | 2-7 |

iv Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally

ACRONYMS

| AC | Actual Cost |
|--------|---|
| B&A | Basis and Assumption |
| BAC | Budget at Completion |
| СРІ | Cost Performance Index |
| СРМ | Critical Path Method |
| CRC | Columbia River Crossing |
| C-TRAN | Clark County Public Transportation Benefit Area Authority |
| CV | Cost Variance |
| EAC | Estimate at Completion |
| ETC | Estimate to Complete |
| EV | Earned Value |
| EVM | Earned Value Management |
| FTA | Federal Transit Administration |
| ODOT | Oregon Department of Transportation |
| PM/LE | Project Manager / Lead Engineer |
| РМОС | Project Management Oversight Contractor |
| PMP | Project Management Plan |
| PMRS | Project Management and Reporting System |
| PV | Planned Value |
| RCMP | Risk and Contingency Management Plan |
| SCC | Standard Cost Category |
| SPI | Schedule Performance Index |
| SV | Schedule Variance |
| TriMet | Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon |
| WBS | Work Breakdown Structure |
| WSDOT | Washington State Department of Transportation |

vi Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

Scheduling procedures have been established to provide efficient, timely, and accurate methods of schedule development, cost control, monitoring, and reporting. Scheduling provides a planning framework not only for the Columbia River Crossing (CRC) Project Delivery Team, but also for federal, state, and local transit agencies, as well as contractors, consultants, and suppliers.

The Schedule Control Plan establishes a standard methodology for schedule development, maintenance, and reporting. The plan encompasses the guidelines of Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and other local transit agencies, conformed to the needs of the Columbia River Crossing project.

1.2 Roles and Responsibilities

The identified roles are provided as a guide to assigning the tasks included in the implementation of the Schedule Control Plan processes and procedures. Each role has the flexibility to delegate responsibilities to the appropriate functional level to meet project needs and to accommodate for current and planned organization structures.

1.2.1 Project Delivery Team

The Project Delivery Team is comprised of the WSDOT/ODOT Program Directors; Project Delivery Directors, and Engineering Managers; Transit Program Delivery Managers and Engineers; Project Managers and Lead Engineers; Project Controls Manager, Lead Schedulers and Construction Schedulers; and any others that are needed to deliver and manage the project schedule. The Project Delivery Team is responsible for working with the Project Managers/Lead Engineers in developing a work breakdown structure; providing input on work package schedule logic, constraints and milestones; reviewing the draft schedule and schedule requirements; and endorsing the Master Program Schedule.

1.2.2 Project Controls Manager

The Project Controls Manager provides leadership in support of the efforts of the Lead Schedulers and Construction Schedulers and also provides leadership and direction to Document Control, Budget/Cost Analysts, Cost Estimating, Risk Management, and Change Management. The Project Controls Manager will oversee project schedule development and will recommend final approval of the Master Program Schedule and the subsequent Baseline Master Program Schedule. Monthly schedule updates and schedule reports are also approved by the Project Controls Manager.

1.2.3 Project Manager / Lead Engineer

The Project Manager / Lead Engineer (PM/LE) oversees the development of the schedule as it relates to their disciplines or work packages, meeting State Legislative scope and FTA scope, budget and milestone requirements, with adherence to the approved Work Breakdown Structure (see Section 2.3.1), the Flowchart Account Codes (see Section 2.3.2), and the Standard Cost Categories (SCC) (see Section 2.3.3), as required. The PM/LE will coordinate closely with the Lead Schedulers throughout the schedule development process, defining the appropriate structure and level of detail; providing input on schedule logic and constraints; reviewing the draft schedule; and providing schedule updates in a timely manner.

1.2.4 Lead Program Scheduler

The Lead Program Scheduler has primary responsibility for overall development of the Master Program Schedule, schedule management and analysis, risk assessment, and schedule updates. The Lead Program Scheduler will also oversee coordination between the Construction Scheduler(s) and contractors/suppliers on schedule development, monitoring, and updates. The Lead Program Scheduler and Lead Project Scheduler will work closely together to provide the Project Delivery Team with timely updates and other reporting requirements, as needed, for informed decision-making and project management. In addition, they will coordinate the cost integration effort with cost estimators.

1.2.5 Lead Project Scheduler

The Lead Project Scheduler is responsible for developing the schedule as directed by the Project Manager / Lead Engineer in compliance with project guidelines. Schedule network development includes the addition/confirmation of work activities, durations, constraints, relationships, milestones, and other required elements to satisfy the scope of the project.

1.2.6 Construction Scheduler

Construction schedulers will provide the necessary coordination with contractors/suppliers and the CRC Project Controls group in meeting contractor/supplier schedule development and reporting requirements. They will review contractor-provided schedules regularly. In addition, they will provide scheduling support to the CRC Program functional managers and to the Project Engineers overseeing construction contracts.

1.2.7 Cost/Budget Analyst

Part of the Project Controls team, the Cost Budget Analyst will manage the funding streams, project budgets and costs. The Analyst will export actual cost data from EcoSys EPC, the CRC cost management system, for import in Primavera P6, the project scheduling software. Conversely, Scheduling will export from P6 the Percent Completes and Start/Finish Dates of schedule activities for import in EcoSys EPC.

1.2.8 Cost Estimator

The Cost Estimator will receive bid quantities from engineering disciplines and will be responsible for developing the estimating model and providing a final estimate.

1.3 Definitions

- <u>Baseline</u> In project management, a baseline is a known state by which something is measured or compared. Once, the Master Program Schedule is approved, it will be "baselined," or copied, creating a starting point for all progressive schedule activities from which to measure.
- <u>Critical Path</u> The critical path is the sequence of project network activities which add up to the longest overall duration of the project. This determines the shortest time possible to complete the project. Any delay of an activity on the critical path directly impacts the planned project completion date (i.e., there is no float on the critical path).
- <u>Critical Path Method</u> The critical path method (CPM) is a step-by-step technique for process planning that defines critical and non-critical tasks with the goal of preventing timeframe problems and process bottlenecks. The CPM is ideally suited to projects consisting of numerous activities that interact in a complex manner.

The basic technique for using CPM is to construct a model of the project that includes the following:

- A list of all activities required to complete the project (categorized by work breakdown structure);
- The time (duration) that each activity will take to complete; and
- The dependencies (relationships) between the activities.

The CPM was developed in the 1950s by DuPont, and was first used in missile-defense construction projects. Since that time, the CPM has been adapted to other fields including hardware and software product research and development.

• <u>Gantt Chart</u> – A Gantt chart is a type of bar chart, developed by Henry Gantt in the 1910s, that illustrates a project schedule.

1-4 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally.

2. Master Program Schedule Development

2.1 Procedures

The Schedule Control Plan outlines the steps for development of the project schedule from early planning, pre-engineering, and funding approval, to right-of-way acquisition, permitting, procurement, construction, and project close-out.

Primavera Project Management P6 software is used as the scheduling package for developing, monitoring, and analysis of the Master Program Schedule and any subprojects within the Master Program Schedule, using the CPM. The CPM schedule has been developed and will continue to be updated to reflect the most current scope of work, work packaging, and funding constraints.

2.2 Identify Milestones, Deliverables and Schedule Activities

The Project Delivery Team identifies milestones (required and optional) and deliverables based on the CRC work breakdown structure, and establishes detail schedule activities by work package to ensure that the schedule reflects the project scope.

2.3 Define Work Breakdown Structure

2.3.1 CRC Work Breakdown Structure

The Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) establishes an effective framework on which to build a comprehensive project schedule. The WBS, developed and approved for this project, is defined in Figure 2-1. The WBS is used as the definitive structure of the project schedule. This, in turn, provides the ability to roll up the detail-level schedule activities to summary-level reporting as well as stakeholder and work package reporting. There is flexibility in the Work Breakdown Structure to meet project-specific needs. As work packages are fully developed, the WBS will continue to expand to fully serve the program needs.

2-2 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally.

Work Breakdown Structure





Figure 2-1

Ticket Vending Ma

Rail

LRT Vehicles

Transit Signage

Art in Transit

2-4 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally.

2.3.2 Flowchart Account Codes

The established Flowchart Account Codes used on the project are noted in Table 2-1. These accounts are used to report costs for ODOT and WSDOT. The Flowchart Account Codes provide a convenient crosswalk between estimating and schedule activities, as well as cost tracking in EcoSys EPC, the project cost management system. The term "Flowchart" is a bit misleading because it is actually a chart of accounts into which the estimate details flow. This is an important focal point of the cost/estimate juncture as the Flowchart Account Codes are used for projecting cash flow; the accounts have been institutionalized for the project. The Flowchart Account Codes are assigned to work activities in the Master Program Schedule.

| | Description |
|------------|---|
| PRE | Preliminary Engineering expenditures (12/2009 through 09/2012) |
| 100 | River Crossing PE |
| 101 | Oregon Funding |
| 102 | Washington Funding |
| 105 | Program Controls |
| 110 | Highway Agreements |
| 130 | Marine Drive LPA with Phasing Professional Engineering |
| 140 | RC Bridge Removal Professional Engineering |
| 150 | Mill Plain Professional Engineering |
| 160 | Other Environmental Permitting (Does not include RC Permits) |
| 170 | WAN ROW |
| 180 | Prepare and issue River Crossing RFQ |
| 190 | Prepare and Issue River Crossing RFP |
| 192 | CRB Permitting |
| 200 | Procurement Process and Select RC Design Builder |
| 220 | RC ROW |
| 225 | RC Sandy River Habitat |
| 230 | MC ROW |
| 240 | |
| 250 | River Crossing Pre SB (SB) (Includes DB Design) |
| 251 | River Crossing (Post SB open milestone) (working on NB) |
| 252 | Hayden Island stage 1-3 (Pre SB open milestone) |
| 253 254 | Hayden Island stage 4-6 (Post SB open milestone) |
| | SR14 stage 1-3 (Pre SB open milestone) |
| 255 256 | SR14 stage 4-5 (Post SB open milestone) |
| 250 | SR14 stage 6 (Post NB open milestone) Southbound River Crossing Open |
| 267 | Northbound River Crossing Open |
| 207 | Pre-Completion Tolling |
| 280 | Mainland Connector Construction |
| 330 | Marine Drive Interchange LPA with Phasing |
| 340 | Mill Plain Construction |
| 350 | Fourth Plain Construction |
| 370 | Remove Existing River Crossing |
| 380 | FTA Approval to Enter Final Design |
| | ··· - |

Description

- 390 Transit PE & FTA Review
- 400 Transit Agreements
- 402 Utility Relocation for Washington Transit Work
- 404 Utility Relocation for Transit Work (Hayden Island)
- 410 CRC Final Design and PS & E Transit
- 415 FFGA Prep (By CRC) Application Period and FFGA Award
- 420 Park and Rides Professional Engineering
- 430 R/W Washington Transit (LRT)
- 440 LRT Vehicle Exercise of Option (last date to exercise is 07/2015)
- 450 Washington Park and Ride DB Construction
- 480 Oregon Transit Professional Engineering
- 490 Light Rail Vehicle Procurement
- 500 Ruby Junction Construction
- 505 Transit Owner Furnished Materials Procurement
- 506 Ticket Vending Machine Procurement
- 510 Oregon Transit Construction
- 520 Washington Transit Construction
- 529 Transit Systems PE
- 530 LRT Systems Install, testing, startup
- 535 Transit Before & After Study
- 540 LRT Revenue Service
- 560 Transit Other
- 562 Steel Bridge Improvements
- 564 Command Center Upgrades/Modifications
- 570 R/W Ruby Junction (LRT)
- 580 Ruby Junction Professional Engineering
- 590 Washington Transit Professional Engineering
- 600 MC Professional Engineering
- 610 Fourth Plain Professional Engineering
- 650 LPA with Phasing Complete

2.3.3 FTA Standard Cost Category

The Federal Transit Administration Standard Cost Category (SCC) accounts are assigned to FTA-funded accounts to capture transit-related estimates, cost, and schedule activities for reporting to the FTA. The SCC accounts are assigned to transit work activities in the Master Program Schedule (Table 2-2).

| 10 | Guideway & Track Elements |
|--|---|
| 10.01 | Guideway: At-grade exclusive right-of-way |
| 10.02 | Guideway: At-grade semi-exclusive (allows cross-traffic) |
| 10.03 | Guideway: At-grade in mixed traffic |
| 10.04 | Guideway: Aerial structure |
| 10.05 | Guideway: Built-up fill |
| 10.06 | Guideway: Underground cut & cover |
| 10.07 | Guideway: Underground tunnel |
| 10.08 | Guideway: Retained cut or fill |
| 10.09 | Track: Direct fixation |
| 10.10 | Track: Embedded |
| 10.11 | Track: Ballasted |
| 10.12 | Track: Special (switches, turnouts) |
| 10.13 | Track: Vibration and noise dampening |
| 20 | STATIONS, STOPS, TERMINALS & INTERMODAL |
| 20.01 | At-grade station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform |
| 20.02 | Aerial station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform |
| | ······································ |
| 20.03 | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform |
| 20.03 20.04 | |
| | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform |
| 20.04 | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform Other stations, landings, terminals: Intermodal, ferry, trolley, etc. |
| 20.04 20.05 | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform Other stations, landings, terminals: Intermodal, ferry, trolley, etc. Joint development |
| 20.04 20.05 20.06 | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform Other stations, landings, terminals: Intermodal, ferry, trolley, etc. Joint development Automobile parking multi-story structure |
| 20.04 20.05 20.06 20.07 | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform Other stations, landings, terminals: Intermodal, ferry, trolley, etc. Joint development Automobile parking multi-story structure Elevators, escalators |
| 20.04 20.05 20.06 20.07 30 | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform Other stations, landings, terminals: Intermodal, ferry, trolley, etc. Joint development Automobile parking multi-story structure Elevators, escalators SUPPORT FACILITIES: YARDS, SHOPS & ADMIN BUILDINGS |
| 20.04 20.05 20.06 20.07 30 30.01 | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform Other stations, landings, terminals: Intermodal, ferry, trolley, etc. Joint development Automobile parking multi-story structure Elevators, escalators SUPPORT FACILITIES: YARDS, SHOPS & ADMIN BUILDINGS Administration Building: Office, sales, storage, revenue counting |
| 20.04 20.05 20.06 20.07 30 30.01 30.02 | Underground station, stop, shelter, mall, terminal, platform Other stations, landings, terminals: Intermodal, ferry, trolley, etc. Joint development Automobile parking multi-story structure Elevators, escalators SUPPORT FACILITIES: YARDS, SHOPS & ADMIN BUILDINGS Administration Building: Office, sales, storage, revenue counting Light Maintenance Facility |

Table 2-2. Standard Cost Category

| 10 | Guideway & Track Elements |
|-------|--|
| 40 | SITEWORK & SPECIAL CONDITIONS |
| 40.01 | Demolition, Clearing, Earthwork |
| 40.02 | Site Utilities, Utility Relocation |
| 40.03 | Haz. mat'l, contam'd soil removal/mitigation, ground water treatments |
| 40.04 | Environmental mitigation, e.g. wetlands, historic/archeological, parks |
| 40.05 | Site structures including retaining walls, sound walls |
| 40.06 | Pedestrian / bike access and accommodation, landscaping |
| 40.07 | Automobile, bus, van accessways including roads, parking lots |
| 40.08 | Temporary Facilities and other indirect costs during construction |
| 50 | SYSTEMS |
| 50.01 | Train control and signals |
| 50.02 | Traffic signals and crossing protection |
| 50.03 | Traction power supply: substations |
| 50.04 | Traction power distribution: catenary and third rail |
| 50.05 | Communications |
| 50.06 | Fare collection system and equipment |
| 50.07 | Central Control |
| 60 | RIGHT-OF-WAY & LAND IMPROVEMENTS |
| 60.01 | Purchase or lease of real estate |
| 60.02 | Relocation of existing households and businesses |
| 70 | VEHICLES |
| 70.01 | Light Rail |
| 70.02 | Heavy Rail |
| 70.03 | Commuter Rail |
| 70.04 | Bus |
| 70.05 | Other |
| 70.06 | Non-revenue vehicles |
| 70.07 | Spare parts |
| 80 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES |
| 80.01 | Preliminary Engineering |
| 80.02 | Final Design |
| 80.03 | Project Management for Design and Construction |
| 80.04 | Construction Administration & Management |
| 80.05 | Insurance |
| 80.06 | Legal; Permits; Review Fees by other agencies, cities, etc. |
| 80.07 | Surveys, Testing, Investigation, Inspection |
| 80.08 | Start up |
| 90 | UNALLOCATED CONTINGENCY |
| 90 | |

2.4 Schedule Network Development

2.4.1 Identify and Define Work Packages

Using the design basis of the CRC project, the Project Delivery Manager / Lead Engineer (PM/LE) for each discipline will identify and define the work packages. The work package will be clearly defined by a written scope of work.

2.4.2 Schedule Network (Logic, Constraints)

• <u>Logic</u> – Once the work packages are clearly defined, the Lead Scheduler will coordinate with the responsible Lead in developing the detail work activities associated with each work package. Each activity will have a reasonable duration, with logic assigned, creating a network. The Lead Scheduler verifies the network logic of the schedule activities based on input from the Lead, the Project Delivery Team, and outside agencies, as applicable.

Each work package is initially a subproject. The Lead Scheduler will merge each work package (or subproject) into one project in P6, which will be called the Draft Master Program Schedule.

Coordination between disciplines is critical to ensure accurate logic ties between work packages. The Lead Scheduler will refine logic ties to actual project requirements taking into account the significant components of work in each phase, with adherence to established milestones and funding agreements. Established Program-level activities will also be added to the Draft Master Program Schedule as defined by the Project Delivery Team.

- <u>Constraints</u> The Lead Scheduler will incorporate any restrictions on work, including local ordinances, work calendars, holidays, weather restrictions, environmental issues, project risks, or other items or activities that require special planning or attention by the Project Delivery Team, including interfaces with other projects (non-CRC work) or agencies. Best Management Practice is to not use constraints, but build the activities and logic needed to reflect the actual schedule circumstances.
- <u>Sub-Groupings</u> There is flexibility in the Work Breakdown Structure to meet projectspecific needs. As work packages are fully developed, the WBS will continue to expand. Based on input from the Project Delivery Team and the Leads, the logical filtering and grouping of project activities will be determined to facilitate review and update of the schedule, as well as providing organization of reports and schedule graphics at different summary levels to accommodate the audience for which the reports/graphics are intended.

2.5 Optimize Schedule

When all subproject schedules are merged into the Draft Master Program Schedule and the schedule logic is refined, the schedule can be optimized. Schedule optimization is an iterative

process, involving close analysis to assure that milestone dates are being met. The critical path, those schedule activities that need to start and finish on time in order to meet the established end date of the project, is determined (see Section 1.3, Definitions).

2.6 Integrate Cost Estimate with Schedule Activities

The Estimator(s) will coordinate closely with the Lead Scheduler(s) during development of the estimate to provide an estimate defined by work package (or contract) and the Work Breakdown Structure, with a one-to-one relationship between estimate and schedule activity at a predetermined WBS level. Other cost codes used by the Estimator and the Lead Scheduler to further delineate costs will be the Flowchart Account Codes (see Table 2-1) and the FTA SCC Accounts (see Table 2-2). Schedule activities will further be identified by these cost codes as well.

When the final estimate is approved, the Lead Scheduler will cost-load the Draft Master Program Schedule. The result will allow the Lead Scheduler to provide cash flow models in P6 to compare against funding for the project. Although a default cost curve can be selected, P6 has the capability of allowing the user to set the cost curve for each activity to reflect the work effort, i.e., bell curve, front loaded, back loaded, linear, etc. This would allow adjustments to be made to the cash flow model, which would aid in conformation of the work effort to available funding.

Having a cost-loaded schedule allows P6 to track actual costs against the budget as well as determining the remaining estimate to complete (ETC) and the forecast estimate of estimate at completion (EAC) based on the current percent complete of each schedule activity. These features allow for a very robust and accurate measure of the project work effort.

2.7 Issue Draft Master Program Schedule for Project Delivery Team Review

After thorough vetting of the Draft Master Program Schedule, the Project Manager / Lead Engineer for each work package will review and approve the schedule. The PM/LE is responsible for resolving schedule issues with the Lead Scheduler prior to issuing for review. The Lead Scheduler will issue the schedule for Project Delivery Team and stakeholder review. Included with the schedule are written narratives defining the schedule basis and assumptions, deliverables, milestones, and other defined project conditions.

2.8 Perform Risk Assessment

Perform risk assessment and document any issues in the Risk Contingency Management Plan (RCMP). Risk assessment is an ongoing process throughout the life of the project. Risk assessment is performed during the pre-planning stages, up to and including the award of contracts. The initial review of contractor/supplier schedules will require a risk assessment. During the monthly schedule update process, the Lead Schedule monitors and analyzes the schedule. Any changes or variances that could potentially affect project risk will be identified at that time. After further evaluation by the Project Delivery Team, additional risk assessment(s) may occur.

2.9 Schedule Endorsement by Project Delivery Team/Stakeholders

2.9.1 Project Delivery Team

The Project Delivery Team will evaluate the final Draft Master Program Schedule. Any recommendations will be incorporated into the schedule for final approval.

2.9.2 Federal, State, and Local Agencies

All invested federal, state, and local agencies will review and approve the final Draft Master Program Schedule. Any recommendations will be incorporated into the schedule for final approval.

2.10 Issue Approved Master Program Schedule

The Master Program Schedule will be issued to the Project Delivery Team and all stakeholders upon final approval.

2-12 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally.

3. Baseline Program Schedule

Once all project issues have been resolved and the Master Program Schedule has been approved, the Lead Scheduler will create a copy of the schedule, which is commonly referred to as a baseline schedule. The baseline schedule is a snapshot in time of the Master Program Schedule. The major differences between the Master Program Schedule and the Baseline Program Schedule are:

- The Master Program Schedule is a "living" document the Baseline Program Schedule is "frozen."
- The Master Program Schedule shows "actuals" the Baseline Program Schedule is the "plan."
- The Master Program Schedule is updated as the project is being executed the Baseline Program Schedule is revised only as a result of an approved major schedule logic change.
- Schedule performance is measured by comparing the actual (Master Program Schedule) against the baseline (Baseline Program Schedule).
- At the beginning of project execution, the Master Program Schedule is the same as the Baseline Program Schedule. As work is done on the project, the actual progress is updated on the Master Program Schedule. At any given date, the latest version of the actual schedule is still referred to as the Master Program Schedule.

3-2 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally

4. Contingency Schedule

The USDOT Federal Transit Administration Project Management Oversight Contractor (PMOC) is tasked with technical review services that cover oversight reviews and analysis of project scope, schedule, cost, risk, and contingency, as well as value engineering, ADA conformance, and the Annual New Starts Review. The PMOC has requested a contingency schedule, using the Master Program Schedule critical path activities as the basis.

4-2 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally

5. Contractor/Supplier Schedule Requirements

During the design and contract procurement phases, the Master Program Schedule will reflect general, summary-level construction schedule activities and estimates. The CRC Project will structure the contractor construction schedule specifications based on the size and complexity of contract packages. The CRC Project anticipates that schedule specifications for larger procurement packages will use Primavera P6, providing CPM scheduling. Schedule specifications for small projects may not require CPM scheduling, but could require the contractor/supplier to regularly submit horizontal bar chart-type schedules with simple logic.

5.1 Contractor/Supplier Schedule Requirements

The contract scope and schedule requirements must be clearly understood so the contractor/ supplier can develop a detailed construction/procurement schedule and cost plan in accordance with the contract specifications, using established work breakdown structure and other defined project and schedule parameters. The contractor/supplier will develop the construction/ procurement schedule and will thoroughly review the schedule activities, durations, logic, constraints, staging, as well as cost and resources assigned to the schedule activities. The PM/LE, the Lead Scheduler, and the Construction Scheduler will collect and analyze the contractor/ supplier initial construction/procurement schedule for accuracy and adherence to schedule and contract specification guidelines and requirements. Any agreed upon changes will be made and the schedule will be optimized. The Lead Scheduler will merge the construction/procurement schedule with the Master Program Schedule and assess and resolve any issues with the PM/LE and contractor/supplier. At a minimum, this analysis shall include the following elements:

- Analyze schedule report.
- Evaluate and confirm critical path and milestones.
- Identify and substantiate or correct schedule activities with "open ends."
- Evaluate start/finish dates and durations.
- Identify and confirm costs and cost loading of each schedule activity.
- Evaluate and confirm resource loading, if required.
- Perform schedule recovery/optimization, as necessary.
- Perform cost and/or resource optimization, as necessary.

The PM/LE and the Project Delivery Team will confirm if the consolidated schedule meets the project's legislatively mandated milestones and budget based on the project scope, as well as those of all federal, state, and local agencies.

5.2 Contractor/Supplier Schedule Updates

5.2.1 Contractor/Supplier Submits Schedule Update

The contractor/supplier will provide monthly schedule updates as established in the contract specifications. Schedule cut-off dates must be consistent with financial system monthly cut-off dates. At a minimum, the following information will be provided:

- Start dates, actual or expected finish dates, remaining durations, percent completes, progress updates, resource usage updates, and a narrative of status updates. The contractor/supplier may also request the addition or deletion of activities or logic changes.
- The larger contracts will also provide an electronic copy of the construction schedule.

5.2.2 Review Updates to Schedule

The assigned Construction Scheduler will review the schedule information to ensure that the submission is complete and resolves any issues before proceeding.

5.2.3 Conduct Schedule Analysis

The PM/LE and the Lead Scheduler will analyze the schedule updates, focusing on schedule impacts to the Master Program Schedule milestone dates, logic errors, and any activity changes, such as additions or deletions. At a minimum, this analysis will include the following:

- Verify that updated schedule meets established requirements.
- Identify and correct logic errors/open ends.
- Identify unauthorized changes (coordinate with Change Management process).
- Identify and correct duration and progress errors.
- Compare schedule update to project risk analysis from Risk and Contingency Management Plan and identify potential issues.

5.2.4 Schedule Issues

The PM/LE and Lead Scheduler determine if there are technical issues or issues regarding schedule impacts and whether or not the issues can be resolved or if change management is needed. The issues may be technical such as open ended logic, out of sequence activities, illogical durations, constraints without explanation, etc. They may also be work-related issues, such as delays or additional resource needs. The PM/LE and Lead Scheduler will discuss any issues with the contractor/supplier to clarify and resolve them. The contractor/supplier will make adjustments to the monthly updates as a result of issue resolution, document the changes, and resubmit the schedule update.

5.2.5 Risk Assessment / Change Management

If it is determined after discussions with the contractor/supplier that an issue is not resolved, risk assessment will be performed utilizing the Risk and Contingency Management Plan. If, as a result of the risk assessment, a change is required, it will be addressed through the formal change process – refer to Configuration and Change Management Plan.

5.3 Contractor/Supplier Schedule Monitoring

The Construction Scheduler is the liaison between the contractor/supplier and the PM/LE and Lead Scheduler. The Construction Scheduler will attend daily/weekly contractor/supplier scheduling meetings, monitor the progress of work, and provide daily/weekly updates.

5.4 Contractor/Supplier Reporting Requirements

The contractor/supplier will provide reports as specified in the contract specifications.

5-4 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

This page left blank intentionally

6. Master Program Schedule Maintenance

6.1 Scope

This procedure provides a standard methodology for the monthly update of the Master Program Schedule, which incorporates schedule updates of contractors/service providers.

6.1.1 Monthly Schedule Updates

The Master Program Schedule updates are required, at a minimum, every month, at the assigned cut-off date. The schedule cut-off date (data date) will coincide with the financial system monthly cut-off date.

6.1.2 Project Manager / Lead Engineer Schedule Updates

The Lead Scheduler will issue a notice to each PM or Lead, advising them to update activities for which they are responsible with actual dates, physical progress, remaining duration, and any activity changes. Status includes, but is not limited to, start dates, actual or expected finish dates, revised or remaining durations, percent completes, progress updates, and a narrative of the status updates. The PM or Lead may also request the addition or deletion of schedule activities or logic changes. The schedule status is submitted to the Lead Scheduler by a predetermined date. The PM or Lead will produce a schedule update report that documents the requested changes and updates. The Lead Scheduler reviews schedule information submitted by the PM or Lead to ensure that each submission is complete and resolves any issues before proceeding.

6.1.3 Contractor/Supplier Schedule Updates

The contractor/supplier will adhere to the same monthly cut-off dates when providing the current schedule status. See Section 5-2.

6.1.4 Schedule Analysis

Once the Lead Scheduler has received updates from all responsible parties and all scheduling issues have been resolved, the Master Program Schedule is updated using the information provided. The Lead Scheduler conducts a schedule analysis with the focus on schedule impacts to the scheduled milestone dates and to the critical path, and any logic errors as a result of activity changes, such as additions and deletions. At a minimum, this analysis shall include the following:

- Verify that the updated schedule meets established requirements.
- Identify and correct logic errors/open ends.
- Identify unauthorized changes (initiate Change Management process, if needed).

- Identify and correct duration and progress errors.
- Advance schedule calendar to reflect the new cut-off (or data) date.
- Compare schedule update to the baseline schedule and note variances, such as different start/finish dates or changes in duration, and identify potential issues.
 - If there are potential issues, the Lead Scheduler will work with the PM/Lead to determine if schedule issues can be resolved. If so, adjustments are made to the schedule as a result of the issue resolution discussion and documented.

6.1.5 Earned Value Management

Earned value management (EVM) is a project management technique for measuring project performance and progress in an objective manner. Earned Value Management has the ability to combine measurements of scope, schedule, and cost to provide accurate forecasts of project performance problems, which is an important contribution for project management. The project scheduling software, Primavera P6, excels at this performance and progress-measuring methodology.

Early EVM use shows that areas of planning and control are significantly impacted by its use; and similarly, using the methodology improves both scope definition as well as the analysis of overall project performance. More recent research studies have shown that the principles of EVM are positive predictors of project success.

Monitoring project performance involves determining whether one is on, ahead of, or behind schedule and on, under, or over budget. Just comparing the actual expenditures with the budget cannot provide this assessment – which is where EVM comes in.

Introduction to EVM

Essential features of any EVM implementation include:

- A project schedule that identifies work to be accomplished,
- The estimate, or valuation, of planned work activities, called Planned Value (PV), and
- Pre-defined earning rules, or metrics, to quantify work accomplished, called Earned Value (EV). Simple earned value rules are explained later in this section.

EVM also includes other important measures such as indicators and forecasts of cost performance (over budget or under budget) and schedule performance (behind schedule or ahead of schedule).

Project Tracking without EVM

Because Earned Value Management is so important to the success of a project, it is important that a simple explanation be given to fully understand the benefits of using this performance measure.

It is helpful to see an example of project tracking that does not include earned value performance management. Consider a project that has been planned in detail, including a time-phased

spending plan for all elements of work. Figure 1 shows the cumulative budget (cost) for this project as a function of time (blue line - PV). It also shows the cumulative actual cost of the project (red line - AC) through Week 8. To those unfamiliar with EVM, it might appear that this project was over budget through week 4 and then under budget from week 6 through week 8. However, what is missing from this chart is any understanding of how much work has been accomplished during the project. If the

project were actually completed at week 8, then the project would actually be well under budget and well ahead of schedule. If, on the other hand, the project is only 10% complete at week 8, the project is significantly over budget and behind schedule. A method is needed to measure technical performance objectively and quantitatively, and that is what EVM accomplishes.

Project Tracking with EVM

Consider the same project, except this time the project plan includes pre-defined methods of quantifying the accomplishment of work. At the end of each week, the project manager identifies every detailed element of work that has been completed, and sums the PV for each of these completed elements. Earned value may be accumulated monthly, week, or as progress is made.

Earned Value (EV) = Actual % Complete x Planned Value

Earned Value (EV)

Figure 2 shows the EV curve (green line - EV) along with the PV curve from Figure 1. The chart indicates that technical performance (i.e., progress) started more rapidly than planned, but slowed significantly and fell behind schedule at week 7 and 8. This chart illustrates the schedule performance of EVM. It is complementary to critical path management.

Figure 3 show the same EV curve (green line – EV) with the actual cost data from Figure 1 (redline – AC). It can be seen that the project was actually under budget, relative to the amount of work accomplished, since the start of the project. This is a much better conclusion than might be derived from Figure 1.

Figure 4 shows all three curves together, which is a typical EVM line chart. The best way to read these 3-line charts is to identify the EV curve first, then compare it to PV (for schedule performance) and AC (for cost performance). It can be seen from this illustration that a true understanding of cost and schedule performance relies first on measuring progress objectively. This is the fundamental principle of EVM.








Schedule Performance Measures

Using EV to measure schedule performance can be simplified – execute the project according to the plan (schedule) and measure the progress. Although it is common practice to use budgeted dollars as the scale for Planned Value (PV) and Earned Value (EV), the following EVM formulas are for schedule management and do not require accumulation of Actual Cost (AC).

• Schedule Variance (SV) – the difference between where we planned to be in the schedule and where we are in the schedule. See Figure 2.

$$SV = EV - PV$$
 SV greater than 0 is good (ahead of schedule).

• Schedule Performance Index (SPI) – the rate which the project performance is meeting schedule expectations at a given point in time.

| SPI = EV / PV | SPI > 1 | Ahead of Schedule |
|---------------|---------|-------------------|
| | SPI = 1 | On Schedule |
| | SPI < 1 | Behind Schedule |

Cost Performance Measures

In addition to measuring schedule performance, it is equally important for cost performance to be monitored and reviewed at regular intervals. The Master Program Schedule will be updated once a month with actual costs and percent complete information, allowing EV performance measures to be successfully utilized.

• Cost Variance (CV) – the difference between what we expected to spend and what was actually spent. See Figure 3.

$$CV = EV - AC$$
 CV greater than 0 is good (under budget)

• Cost Performance Index (CPI) – the rate which the project performance is meeting cost expectations during a given point in time.

| CPI = EV / AC | CPI > 1 | Under Budget |
|---------------|---------|--------------|
| | CPI = 1 | On Budget |
| | CPI < 1 | Over Budget |

Final Assessment of Schedule and Cost Performance

The final step when assessing work activity (task) performance to date is to update what the total cost will be upon work activity completion. Specifically, the following needs to be determined:

• Estimate at Completion (EAC): The estimate (or projection) of the total cost of the work activity (task). Assume that the cost performance for the remainder of the task will be the same as what it has been for the work done to date.

EAC = Budget at Completion (BAC) / Cumulative cost performance index (CPI)

- Budget at Completion (BAC): The total planned value of the work at the end of the project.
- Estimate to Complete (ETC): The estimate of the cost required to complete remaining work on the work activity (task).

ETC = Budget at Completion (BAC) – Actual costs to date (AC).

These traditional definitions of EVM typically assume that project accounting and project network schedule management are prerequisites to achieving any benefit from EVM.

6.1.6 Risk Assessment

During the monthly update process, the Lead Scheduler monitors and analyzes the schedule. Any changes or variances that could potentially affect risk would be identified at this time, which may trigger a risk assessment. Once the project is under way, however, any variances as a result of the monthly schedule updates probably have more to do with monitoring and control of work activities rather than with repeatedly running risk assessment models.

6.1.7 Change Management

Determine if change management is required as a result of schedule updates. If so, then perform the risk assessment and initiate the schedule change according to Configuration and Change Management Plan, as appropriate.

6.1.8 Approved Monthly Schedule Update

- The Lead Scheduler produces a draft of the monthly schedule update for review by the Project Controls Manager. Any changes are incorporated and documented as a result of the review.
- The Project Controls Manager will approve the monthly update of the Master Program Schedule, and the updated schedule is issued. See Section 9.2.2, Schedule Reports.

6-6 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

7. Schedule Monitoring

7.1 Master Program Schedule

Throughout the duration of the project, the program schedule is constantly being monitored for any changes – weather delays or differing site conditions, permitting or right-of-way issues, extended lead times on procurement items, delay in funding, etc. – that may have an impact on the completion date of the project. The Lead Scheduler, along with the designated Lead, takes a proactive role in recognizing changes and reports any concerns to the Project Delivery Team on a regular basis. Conversely, the Project Delivery Team will report any significant changes to the Lead Scheduler in an expeditious manner so a schedule-impact determination can be made quickly.

7.2 Contractor/Supplier Schedule Monitoring

See Section 5.3.

7-2 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

8. Schedule Revisions

8.1 Master Program Schedule – Change Management

Refer to Configuration and Change Management Plan.

8.2 Contractor/Supplier Schedule – Management and Revisions

See Section 5.2.5.

8-2 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

9. Schedule Reporting Requirements

The Lead Scheduler has the primary responsibility for coordinating and preparing monthly Master Program Schedule status information with input from each Project Manager / Lead Engineer responsible for design and construction activities. The Lead Scheduler and assigned Budget/Cost Analyst prepare and distribute monthly schedule reports that show project status, schedule conflicts (if any), changes, and delays. This information is included in the CRC monthly and quarterly reports. As the need arises, the Lead Scheduler will produce special schedule analyses to address specific project needs.

This section provides guidance and examples of schedule-related subject matter to be addressed in monthly progress reports.

9.1 Master Summary Schedule Report

The Lead Scheduler is responsible for the development and maintenance of the Master Program Schedule. The Master Summary Schedule Report is the first and highest reporting level, a level of effort schedule overview of the program and includes key milestones. The Master Summary Schedule Report is for use by the Executive Management Team, the CRC Director, Deputy Director, and executive-level management of external agencies (WSDOT, ODOT, FTA, local transit agencies), and at public meetings.

9.2 Master Program Schedule Reports

9.2.1 Monthly Progress Report

Once the Master Program Schedule has been updated several schedule reports will be issued. One requirement is the preparation and submission of a written monthly progress report (a sample report is included as Figure 9–1). The following items should be addressed in each monthly progress report.

Overall Project Agreement Status

- Scope
 - o Confirmation that project scope has not changed, or
 - Identification of actual or potential scope changes along with the estimated impact to the project scope, schedule, and budget.
 - Reasons for changes and options for mitigating the impacts of changes.
- Schedule
 - Confirmation that project schedule has not changed, or

9-2 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

- Identification of actual or potential schedule changes along with the estimated impact to the project scope, schedule, and budget.
- Reasons for changes and options for mitigating the impacts of changes.
- Budget

The following items are the minimum budget reporting requirements. The budget reporting is fully defined in the CRC Project Management Plan, Appendix B, Cost Control Plan.

- Comparison of actual costs to planned budget by Control Account along with an explanation of any cost variances.
- Inclusion of an up-to-date Estimate at Completion (EAC) and variance analysis if EAC differs from the approved project budget.
- Identification of actual or potential budget changes along with the estimated impact to the project scope, schedule, and budget.
- Reasons for changes and options for mitigating the impacts of changes.

Accomplishments for Month

- Key accomplishments or deliverables achieved during the month in relationship to the current agreement (original and approved modifications).
- Progress made toward satisfying other agreement requirements.

Upcoming Activities for Next Month

- Key activities planned or deliverables anticipated to be completed during the upcoming month.
- Potential issues that may affect the project's ability to meet the current agreement scope, schedule, and budget.

Schedule

- Identify each milestone / deliverable with the original completion date and current completion date.
- Confirm that current Master Program Schedule has not changed; identify actual or potential schedule changes along with the estimated impact to the scope, schedule, or budget. Include reasons for changes and options for mitigating the impact of changes.

Budget

At a minimum, the following information will be provided from EcoSys EPC, the project cost reporting system:

| Control Account | Original Budget | Approved Changes | Current Budget | Actuals to Date | Estimate to Complete (ETC) | Estimate at Completion (EAC) | Variance |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| CA 1 | | | | | | | |
| CA 2 | | | | | | | |
| CA 3 | | | | | | | |
| , date Estimate | e at Completion (E | EAC) and variance a | , nalysis if EAC di | ffers from current bu | udget. Identify act | iget variances. Prov ual or potential budy changes and option | , get changes |
| 0 | e impacts of chan | | ., | , | | . | |

For guidelines, refer to the CRC Project Management Plan, Appendix B, Cost Control Plan.

Potential Changes

- Identify potential changes to the project agreement scope, schedule, or budget. Significant issues should also be brought to the attention of the Program Director(s) as soon as identified.
- Status of project agreement Change Management (approved and potential).

Risk Update

- Identify new project agreement risks and update previously identified risks from the Risk and Contingency Management Plan, including suggested risk mitigation/management strategies.
- Evaluation of ongoing risk strategies (successes/failures, if project risks have occurred, is the strategy working?).

Issues, Concerns, or Pending Decisions/Actions

- Identify new issues and/or concerns that may potentially impact the project agreement scope, schedule, and budget that have not been previously addressed.
- Evaluate the impact to the project agreement and identify strategies taken/planned to mitigate the impacts.
- Continuously update previously identified issues until resolved.

9.2.2 Schedule Reports

In addition to the monthly written progress report, the following CRC schedule reports are issued once the monthly Master Program Schedule update has been approved:

Stop Light Report – CRC Project Milestones

The Stop Light Report identifies the Federal Approval and Permits (Dashboard) Milestones as well as the CRC Project Milestones. See Figure 9–2. The start/finish date for the current reporting period is compared to the previous reporting period. Any change in the days is identified by the following stoplight colors:

- <u>Green</u> Change less than 5 days. Does not impact the Critical Path due to built in Contingency. Finish dates still met.
- <u>Yellow</u> Change between 5 to 20 Days: Does not impact the Critical Path due to built in Contingency. Finish dates still met.
- <u>Purple</u> Change greater than 20 Days: Does not impact the Critical Path due to built in Contingency. Finish dates still met.
- <u>Red</u> Change greater than 20 Days: Impacts the Critical Path. Finish date is pushed out.
- <u>Green with Red Text</u> Change greater than 20 Days, but revisions reflect updated logic/timing of the activities start.

Full Project Schedule Predecessors and Successors

The Predecessors and Successors Report identifies each schedule activity and the relationship or dependency of each activity with other activities preceding and following the work. See Figure 9–3.

- Schedule activity ID
- Schedule activity description
- Schedule activity start and finish dates
- Schedule activity predecessor/successor dependencies with other schedule activities

Full Project Schedule Gantt Chart

Regarded as a common charting technique, the Full Project Schedule Gantt Chart is a type of bar chart that illustrates the project schedule, with start and finish dates of each schedule activity. The bar chart can also be rolled up to summary level activities. Some Gantt charts also show the logic (or dependency) between activities. The bars reflect current schedule status using percent-complete shadings and a vertical data date ("TODAY") line. See Figure 9–4.

Figure 9.1

Sample Monthly Progress Report

| Project: Proiect Title | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|
| WIN: WIN # | PIN: | PIN # | Work Order: | Work Order # | |
| Scope of Work: | Scope of Work: Brief description of scope of work | | | | |
| Accomplishments for Month | reporting | • • | ents or deliverables nship to the current | s completed for the scope (original and | |

| Upcoming Activities for Next Month | 1. Describe key activities planned or deliverables anticipated to be completed during the upcoming month. |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| | 2. |
| | 3. |

| | Milestone/Deliverable | Original Completion | Current Completion |
|----------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| | Deliverable 1 | Date | Date |
| Schedule | Deliverable 2 | Date | Date |
| | Deliverable 3 | Date | Date |
| | Deliverable 4 | Date | Date |
| | identify actual or p estimated impact to | nt Master Program Scho otential schedule chang o the scope, schedule, or and options for mitiga | es along with the budget. Include |

| | Budget (to be included in EcoSys EPC Monthly Report) | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Control Account | Original Budget | Approved Changes | Current Budget | Actuals to Date | Estimate to Complete (ETC) | Estimate at Completion (EAC) | Variance |
| CA 1 | | | | | | | |
| CA 2 | | | | | | | |
| CA 3 | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | |

| Comparison of planned budget and actual costs by control account along with an |
|---|
| explanation of any budget variances. Provide an up to date Estimate at Completion (EAC) |
| and variance analysis if EAC differs from current budget. Identify actual or potential |
| budget changes along with the estimated impact to the current approved scope, schedule, |
| or budget. Include reasons for changes and options for mitigating the impacts of changes. |

| Potential Changes | 1. Identify potential changes to current scope, schedule or budget. Significant issues should also be brought to the attention of the Program Management Team as soon as identified. Also, discuss |
|-------------------|--|
| | status of current change orders (approved and potential. 2. 3. |

| Risk Update | 1. Identify new agreement risks or updates to previously identified risks from the Risk and Contingency Management Plan, including suggested risk mitigation/management strategies. |
|-------------|---|
| | 2. |
| | 3. |

| Issues, Concerns or Pending Decisions/Actions | Identify new issues and/or concerns that may potentially impact the current scope, schedule, and budget that have not been previously addressed. Evaluate the impact to the current agreement and identify strategies taken/planned to mitigate the impacts. Continuously update previously identified issues until resolved. 3. |
|---|---|
|---|---|

| Prepared by: | Progress Report Preparer | |
|--------------|--------------------------|--|
| Date: | Date Prepared | |

COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING PROJECT MILESTONES

| | (As c | of February 28, 2 | 013) | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Activity ID | Activity Name | February Start | February Finish | January Start | January Finish | Change (Days) | Total Float |
| Federal App | rovals and Permits (Dashboard) Milestones | - * | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| PR0106 | RC Section 404 - Revise and Submit Application | | 11-30-12 A | | 11-30-12 A | 0 | |
| PR0170 | RC General Bridge Permit - Submittal | | 1-30-13 A | | 1-30-13 A | 0 | |
| PR0210 | RC FAA 7460 - Preparation - Submittal | 1 | 7/31/2013 | | 7/31/2013 | 0 | 396d |
| AG3450 | Tolling Expression of Interest | | 7/1/2013 | | 7/1/2013 | 0 | 362d |
| AG3470 | Project Management Plan | | 7/1/2013 | | 7/1/2013 | 0 | 362d |
| PR0200 | RC General Bridge Permit - Approval | | 9/30/2013 | | 9/30/2013 | 0 | 241d |
| PR4680 | RC Prepare and Submit 100% Section 408 | | 11/19/2013 | | 11/19/2013 | 0 | 86d |
| PR0500 | RC Form 7460 Hazard Determination | | 10/31/2013 | | 10/31/2013 | 0 | 275d |
| PR0270 | MC General Bridge Permit - Revise & Submit Application | | 12/20/2013 | | 12/20/2013 | 0 | 396d |
| AG3460 | Initial Finance Plan | | 12/31/2013 | | 12/31/2013 | 0 | 234d |
| AG3480 | TIFIA Master Credit Agreement Letter of Interest | | 12/31/2013 | | 12/31/2013 | 0 | 234d |
| PR4530 | RC HQ Corps of Engineers Rivers and Harbors Act Section 408 Approval | | 7/30/2014 | | 7/30/2014 | 0 | 124d |
| PR0300 | MC General Bridge- Final HQ Action and Final Approval | | 12/21/2014 | | 12/21/2014 | 0 | 396d |
| PR4760 | MC Prepare and Submit 100% Section 408 | | 12/10/2014 | | 12/10/2014 | 0 | 79d |
| PR4540 | MC HQ Corps of Engineers Rivers and Harbors Act Section 408 Approval | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 8/31/2015 | | 8/31/2015 | 0 | 113d |
| CRC Project | | | | | | | |
| EN4809 | Publish FEIS in Federal Register | 9-23-11 A | | 9-23-11 A | | 0 | |
| EN1800 | Record of Decision Signed | | 12-7-11 A | | 12-7-11 A | 0 | |
| RE1628 | RC ROW Certification In Water | 4/25/2013 | | 4/25/2013 | 5/1/2013 | 0 | 94d |
| HF0655 | Subsurface Utility Engineering Complete | .,, | 5/24/2013 | | 5/24/2013 | 0 | 77d |
| HF0275 | RC- Prepare/ SR14/ Hayden Island Design Build: Issue Final Request for Proposals | | 9/4/2013 | | 9/4/2013 | 0 | 7d |
| WI1000 | RC- SR14/ Hayden Island Design Build: Select Design Builder | | 5/29/2014 | | 5/29/2014 | 0 | Od |
| TR5600 | Receive Full Funding Grant Agreement | | 5/29/2014 | | 5/29/2014 | 0 | 0d |
| A99 | River Crossing Construction Start | 12/2/2014 | | 12/2/2014 | | 0 | 0d |
| A83 | Mainland Connector Construction Start | 12/18/2015 | | 12/18/2015 | | 0 | 42d |
| A2835 | DB Park & Ride Final Design/Construction Start | 12/28/2015 | | 12/28/2015 | | 0 | 263d |
| OT2805 | Oregon Transit Contract Award - Transit Oregon | 3/21/2016 | | 3/21/2016 | | 0 | 83d |
| WT2765 | Washington Transit Contract Award | 5/17/2016 | | 5/17/2016 | | 0 | 40d |
| A97 | Mainland Connector Construction Complete | | 1/15/2018 | | 1/15/2018 | Ö | 42d |
| A2845 | Park and Ride Construction Complete | | 2/5/2018 | | 2/5/2018 | 0 | 320d |
| WI1240 | RC South Bound River Crossing Bridge Available for Transit Systems | | 5/15/2018 | | 5/15/2018 | 0 | 0d |
| OT2825 | Oregon Transit Construction Complete | | 8/13/2018 | | 8/13/2018 | 0 | 130d |
| WT2845 | Washington Transit Construction Complete | | 8/24/2018 | | 8/24/2018 | 0 | 121d |
| WI1200 | Transit Begin Revenue Service -Transit Central Control | | 9-5-19* | | 9-5-19* | 0 | Od |
| | | 4/2/2020 | | | 10/21/2021 | 0 | 0d |
| DM1250 | I-5 Bridge Removal | 4/2/2020 | | | | | |

Change Greater than 20 Days: Does not impact the Critical Path(s) due to built in Contingency. Finish Dates Still Met. Change Greater than 20 Days: Impacts the Critical Path(s). Finish Date is pushed out.

Green with Red text: Change is greater than 20 days, but revisions reflect updated logic/timing of the activities start.

1.52

| | Basson for Charge |
|---|-------------------|
| t | Reason for Change |
| | |
| - | |
| - | |
| | |
| - | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| | |
| | ř. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| _ | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| - | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| Ī | |
| T | |
| Ĩ | |
| Ì | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

9-8 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STATES AND | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Data Date: 2-28-13 Print Date/Time: |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Acc. A3010 | A99. A3010 A99 HF0275 | AG15810 | AG15900 AG15910 AG15940 | AG15920 AG15970 AG15930 | 1 K5300 | AG15830 AG15950 AG15960 | AG16140 AG15990 AG16150 | AG16000 TR5300 | AG15850 | AG16170 AG16170 AG16200 | AG16180 AG16210 | AG-16190 TR5300 | AG15870 | AG16240 AG16250 AG16280 | AG16290 AG16290 | AG16270 TR5300 | AG15890 | AG16300 AG16310 AG16340 | AG16320 AG16320 | AG16330 TR5300 | AG16370 | AG16380 AG16390 | AG 19420 AG 16400 AG 16430 | AG16410 TR5300 | AG16530 | AG16540 AG16550 | AG16560 AG16560 AG16590 | AG16570 TR5300 | AG16610 | AG16620 AG16630 | AG16660 AG16640 | AG16670 AG16650 TR5300 | AG16690 | AG16700 AG16710 | AG16740 AG16720 AG16750 | |
| acid EM1800 | 3604 EN1800 497d EN1800 4d EN1800, DB111, DB247 | 10d PM1015 | 20d AG15800 40d AG15810 20d AG15900 | 10d AG15910 10d AG15940 20d AG15920 | 10d AG159/0 | 10d PM1015 20d AG15820 40d AG15830 | 20d AG15950 10d AG15960 10d AG16140 | 20d AG15990 10d AG16150 | | | 10d AG16170 10d AG16200 | | 10d PM1015 | 20d AG15860 40d AG15870 20d AC46240 | 10d AG16250 10d AG16280 | 20d AG16260 10d AG16290 | | | 104 AG16310 104 AG16340 | | 10d PM1015 | 20d AG16360 40d AG16370 201 A016320 | 200 AG10380 100 AG16390 100 AG16420 | 20d AG16400 10d AG16430 | 10d PM1015 | 20d AG16520 40d AG16530 | 200 AG16540 100 AG16550 100 AG16580 | 20d AG16560 10d AG16590 | 10d PM1015 | 20d AG16600 40d AG16610 | 20d AG16620 10d AG16630 | 10d AG16660 20d AG16540 10d AG16670 | 1nd DM1015 | 204 AG16680 404 AG16680 | 20d AG16700 10d AG16710 10d AG16740 | |
| c c | 3-8-13 10-29-13 8-3-13 | 3-13-13 | 4-10-13 6-6-13 7-5-13 | 7-19-13 8-2-13 8-30-13 | 9-16-13 | 3-13-13 4-10-13 6-6-13 | 7-5-13 7-19-13 8-2-13 | 8-30-13 9-16-13 | 3-13-13 | 4-10-13 6-6-13 7-5-13 | 7-19-13 8-2-13 | 8-30-13 9-16-13 | 3-13-13 | 4-10-13 6-6-13 7 E 12 | 7-19-13 8-2-13 | 8-30-13 9-16-13 | 3-13-13 | 4-10-13 6-6-13 7 £ 43 | 7-19-13 8-2-13 | 8-30-13 9-16-13 | 3-13-13 | 4-10-13 6-6-13 7-1-42 | 7-13-13 7-19-13 8-2-13 | 8-30-13 9-16-13 | 3-13-13 | 4-10-13 6-6-13 | 7-5-13 7-19-13 8-2-13 | 8-30-13 9-16-13 | 3-13-13 | 4-10-13 6-6-13 | 7-5-13 7-19-13 | 8-2-13 8-30-13 9-16-13 | a.13.13 | 6-6-13 6-6-13 | 7-5-13 7-19-13 8-2-13 | |
| | 12-8-11 A 1-20-12 A 7-31-13 | 2-28-13 | 3-14-13 4-11-13 6-7-13 | 7-8-13 7-22-13 8-5-13 | 9-3-13 | 2-28-13 3-14-13 4-11-13 | 6-7-13 7-8-13 7-22-13 | 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 2-28-13 | 3-14-13 4-11-13 6-7-13 | 7-8-13 7-22-13 | 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 2-28-13 | 3-14-13 4-11-13 6-7-13 | 7-8-13 7-22-13 | 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 2-28-13 | 3-14-13 4-11-13 6 7 13 | 7-22-13 7-22-13 | 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 2-28-13 | 3-14-13 4-11-13 5-7-40 | 6-7-13 7-8-13 7-22-13 | 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 2-28-13 | 3-14-13 4-11-13 | 6-7-13 7-8-13 7-22-13 | 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 2-28-13 | 3-14-13 4-11-13 | 6-7-13 7-8-13 7 20 42 | 7-22-13 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 2-28-13 | 2-20-13 3-14-13 4-11-13 | 6-7-13 7-8-13 7-22-13 | |
| | EN1150 Treatment Plan & Inadvertent Discovery Plan (Completes at 30% Design by De. EN1140 Interpretive and Other Mitigation EN1130 Vibration and Settle Plan SRC AGREEMENTS | AGREEMENTS 8.1.1 COV/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement Agreement COV/Transit Operations and Maintenance AG15800 Draft COV C-Tran LRT 0&M Agreement | Review COV C-Tran LRT O&M Agreement Review COV C-Tran LRT O&M Agreement Review COV C-Tran LRT O&M Agreement | iew COV C-Tran LRT 0&M Agreement G Review COV C-Tran LRT 0&M Agreement view COV C-Tran LRT 0&M Agreement | ecute COV C-Tran LRT O&M Agreement erations and Maintenance Agreement ssit Operations and Maintenance | raft OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement tternal Review OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement gency Review OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | Internal Review OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement HQ Review COV OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement Legal/AG Review OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | view OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement .ecute OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement cerations and Maintenance Agreement | it Operations a Transit Operations | Review WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement Review WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement Review WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | HQ Review WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement Legal/AG Review WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | view WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement ecute WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement _RT Coordination Agreement | ODOT/MCDD LRT Coordination Draft ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement | ternal Review ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement gency Review ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement | revenue of the coordination Agreement diew ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement G Review ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement | view ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement cecute ODOT/MCDD Coordination | Notes Salety Oversight Agreement NSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement DOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement | Review ODDT/WSDDT State Safety Oversight Agreement Review ODDT/WSDDT State Safety Oversight Agreement | AG16340 HQ Review ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement AG16340 HQ Review ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement AG16320 Legal/AG Review ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement | wew ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement | SUCI ENSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement ient WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Draft WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | Review WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement Review WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | Keview WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement <i>iew</i> WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement G Review WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | wiew WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement tecute WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | -State DOT Project Development Agreement ent Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement 20 Draft Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement | Review Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement Review Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement | . Review Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement view Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement G Review Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement | wiew Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement cecute Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement | State Transit Agreement ant Bi-State Transit D Draft Bi-State Transit Agreement | Review Bi-State Transit Agreement Review Bi-State Transit Agreement | Internal Review Bi-State Transit Agreement HQ Review Bi-State Transit Agreement | G Review Bi-State Transit Agreement sview Bi-State Transit Agreement «ecute Bi-State Transit Agreement | Water, Sewer and Public Utilities Agreement SDOT Water, Sewer and Public Utilities | OVIVISUOT VARIEL, DEWEL AILL FLUID COUNCE Agreement Review COV/NSDOT Water, Sewer and Public Utilities Agreement Review COV/NSDOT Water, Sewer and Public Utilities Agreement | AG16710 Internal Review COV/NSDOT Water, Sewer and Public Utilities Agreement AG16740 HQ Review COV/NSDOT Water, Sewer and Public Utilities Agreement AG16720 Legal/AG Review COV/NSDOT Water, Sewer and Public Utilities Agreement | RBLD CRC-FEB 2013 |
| CRC DELIVERABLES CRC DELIVERABLES CRC ENVIRONMENTAL Section 106 - Cultural Resources \$106 Mitigation | EN1150 Treatment Pla EN1140 Interpretive and EN1130 Vibration and 3 GRC AGREEMENTS | GREEMENTS 3.1.1 COV/Transit OF Agreement COV/Transit OF AG15800 Draft CC | 15810Internal15900Agency15910Internal | 15940 HQ Revi 15920 Legal/A(15970 FTA Rev | 15930 Sign/Exe CR/Transit Op eement OR/Tran | AG15820 Draft OF AG15830 Internal AG15950 Agency | AG15960 Internal AG16140 HQ Revi AG15990 Legal/A(| La La | | 5850 6160 6170 | AG16200 HQ Revi AG16180 Legal/A0 | AG16210 FTA Rev AG16190 Sign/Exe 1.4 ODOT/MCDD 1 | Agreement ODOT/N AG15860 Draft OE | E A R | 5280 H | AG16270 FTA Revie AG16270 Sign/Exec | Agreement ODOT/V Agreement ODOT/V | AG15890 Internal AG16300 Agency | AG16340 HQ Rev AG16320 HQ Rev AG16320 Legal/A(| AG16350 FTA Re AG16330 Sign/Ex | Agreement WSDO Agreement WSDO AG16360 Draft W | 16370 Internal 16380 Agency | 64 64 | 64 | .1.7 Bi-State DOT F Agreement Bi-State AG16520 Draft Bi- | 16530 Internal 16540 Agency | AG16550 Internal AG16580 HQ Rev AG16560 Leqal/A | AG16590 FTA Re AG16570 Sign/Ex | 8.1.8 Bi-State Trans Agreement Bi-State AG16600 Draft Bi- | | | AG16640 Legal/AC AG16670 FTA Rev AG16650 Sign/Exe | COV/WSDOT V reement COV/W | 316690 Internal 316700 Agency | 316710 Internal 316740 HQ Rev 316720 Legal/A | |

Figure 9.3

9-10 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

| CRC FEB 28 2013 SCHEDULE FINAL CRC PROJECT WIDE DELIVERABLES ICP | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|---------------|------------|
| CRC DELIVERABLES | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Section 106 - Cuitural Resources S106 Mitigation | | | | |
| EN1150 Treatment Plan & Inadvertent Discovery Plan (Completes at 30% Design EN1140 Interpretive and Other Mitigation | 12-8-11 A 1-20-12 A | 3-8-13 10-29-13 | 360d 497d | 633d |
| EN1130 Vibration and Settle Plan | 7-31-13 | 8-3-13 | 4d | 41d I |
| Biological Assessment (BA/JOpinion (ror ESA Sect / Consultation) Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) Consultation | | | | |
| EN2530 NMFS OPR Prepare Environmental Assessment on MMPA Action | 8-13-10 A | 10-21-13 | 410d | 406d |
| CRC AGREEMEN I S AGREEMENTS | | | | |
| 8.1.1 COV/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | | | | |
| Agreement COV/Transit Operations and Maintenance | 2-28-13 | 3-13-13 | 104 | 36d |
| AG 15810 Internal Review COV C-Tran LRT 0&M Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 204 | 366 |
| | 4-11-13 | 6-6-13 | 404 | |
| | 6-7-13 7 9 12 | 7-5-13 | 20d | 36d |
| AG15920 Legal/AG Review COV C-Tran LRT 0&M Agreement | 7-22-13 | 8-2-13 | 10d | |
| | 8-5-13 0-3-13 | 8-30-13 0-16-13 | 20d | 360 |
| AG 1330 BIJIZZECURE COV C-TIAILENT OKIM AGREENIERI 8.1.2 OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Adreement | 0-0-0 | 01-01-6 | 3 | |
| E E | | | | 1 |
| | 2-28-13 | 3-13-13 | 104 | 2.0 |
| AG 15550 Internal review OK/I railsit Operations and Maintenance Agreement AG 15950 Agency Review OR/Iransit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | | 6-6-13 | 404 | 99 |
| | 6-7-13 | 7-5-13 | 20d | |
| | 7-8-13 | 7-19-13 | 10d | 364 |
| AG15990 Legal/AG Review OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement AG15150 FTA Review OR/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | 7-22-13 8-5-13 | 8-2-13 8-30-13 | 10d 20d | |
| T | 9-3-13 | 9-16-13 | 10d | 364 |
| | | | | |
| Agreement WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance | 2-28-13 | 3-13-13 | 104 | Jee |
| | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | 99E |
| | 4-11-13 | 6-6-13 | 404 | 364 |
| | 6-7-13 | 7-5-13 | 20d | 36d |
| AG16200 HQ Review WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement AG16180 I enal/AG Review WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | 7-22-13 | /-19-13 8-2-13 | 10d | |
| | 8-5-13 | 8-30-13 | 204 | 364 |
| AG16190 Sign/Execute WA/Transit Operations and Maintenance Agreement | 9-3-13 | 9-16-13 | 10d | 364 |
| 6.1.4 UCD I/MCUD LK1 COORDINATION Agreement Agreement ODOT/MCDD LRT Coordination | | | | |
| AG15860 Draft ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement | 2-28-13 | 3-13-13 | 10d | 36d |
| | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | |
| AG16240 Agency Review ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement AG16250 Internal Review ODOT/MCDD Coordination Agreement | 4-11-13 6-7-13 | 6-6-13 7-5-13 | 40d 20d | 364 |
| | 7-8-13 | 7-19-13 | 10d | |
| | 7-22-13 | 8-2-13 | 104 | 300 |
| AG16290 F1A Review UDU1/MCDU Coordination Agreement AG16270 Sign/Execute ODOT/MCDD Coordination | 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 8-3U-13 9-16-13 | 200 10d | 36d |
| 8.1.5 ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement | | | | |
| 1 | | | a service and | |
| AG15880 Draft ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement AG15890 Internal Review ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement | 2-28-13 3-14-13 | 3-13-13 4-10-13 | 10d 20d | 364 |
| | 4-11-13 | 6-6-13 | 40d | |
| | 6-7-13 | 7-5-13 | 204 | 364 |
| AG16340 HQ Review ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement AG16320 I anal/AG Beview ODOT/WSDOT State Safety Oversight Agreement | 7-8-13 | 7-19-13 8-2-13 | 10d | 364 |
| | 8-5-13 | 8-30-13 | 200 | 360 |
| | 9-3-13 | 9-16-13 | 10d | 364 |
| 8.1.6 WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | | | | |
| Agreement wood of any construction and Maintenance Agreement AG16360 Draft WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | 2-28-13 | 3-13-13 | 10d | 36d |
| AG16370 Internal Review WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | |
| | 4-11-13 6 7 43 | 6-6-13 7 5 13 | 40d | 364 |
| AG16390 Internal Review WSDOL BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement AG16420 HD Review WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | 0-7-13 7-8-13 | 7-19-13 | 10d | |
| Legal/AG Review WSDOT BNSF Construction and Mainter | | 8-2-13 | 104 | 364 |
| AG16430 FTA Review WSDOT BNSF Construction and Maintenance Agreement | 8-5-13 9-3-13 | 8-30-13 9-16-13 | 20d | 364 |
| AU 104 10 Signific Xecute WSDOT DNSF Constitucion and maintenance Agreement 8.1.7 Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement | 0-0-0 | | 3 | |
| | | | | |
| AG16520 Draft Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement | 2-28-13 | 3-13-13 | 2014 | 36d |
| AG 10530 IIIIRIIIAI REVIEW DI-SIAIR DO'I FIQUED DEVELOPTIFUI AGUERINEIR AG 16540 Agency Review Bi-State DOT Project Development Agreement | 4-11-13 | 6-6-13 | 40d | , 🗆 |
| | 6-7-13 | 7-5-13 | 20d | 36d |
| AG16580 HQ Review BI-State DOT Project Development Agreement AG14550 1 anal/AC Enview BI-State DOT Project Development Arreement | 7-8-13 | 7-19-13 8-2-13 | 10d | 364 |
| AG16560 Legal/AG Review BI-State DOT Project Development Agreement | 1-22-10 8-5-13 | 8-2-13 8-30-13 | 20d | 200 364 |
| | 2255 | ~ | | |

÷



Figure 9.4

9-12 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

Critical and Near Critical Schedule Activities up to 60 Days

This report, in bar chart format, identifies all those schedule activities by criticality -- how many days the activity can be delayed (float) before it impacts the project end date. This particular report is organized by number of days of float, from 0 days of float up to 60 days of float. See Figure 9.5.

Critical Path

The Critical Path bar chart identifies just those schedule activities that, if delayed, will impact the project end date. See Figure 9.6.

CRC Milestones Comparison (2-Month)

The CRC Milestones Comparison Report is basically the Stop Light Report in bar chart format. Like the Stop Light Report, the Federal Approvals and Permits (dashboard) milestones as well as the CRC Milestones are depicted. See Figure 9.7.

60-Day Look Ahead Schedule

The 60-Day Look Ahead Schedule Report is a snapshot in time, capturing all those schedule activities in a defined 60-day window that are either currently underway or will start and/or finish in that period. See Figure 9.8.

9.3 Contractor/Supplier Reporting Requirements

See Section 5.4.

9-14 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

| livity ID | Activity Name | Start | Finish | Original Duration | Total Floa | 2012 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|----------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|
| 0d | and the second s | | | | | 21 02 03 04 01 02 03 | | | un juz ju3 ju4 j | 01 02 03 04 | 01102103102 | | 04 01 02 03 |
| HW-310 | MC Project Work Plan | 10-23-12 A | 2-28-13 | 20d | 00 | | | 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | |
| HW-309 | MC Public/ Stakeholder Involvement Plan | 2-28-13 | 2-28-13 | 200 1d | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| HW-314 | MC Project Initiation Phase Complete | 2 20 10 | 2-28-13 | 0d | | | | | | | | | |
| HW-121 | MC 30% Draft Access Management Strategy | 3-1-13 | 8-1-13 | 108d | | | | | | | | 2 | |
| HW-171 | Start MC 30% | 3-1-13 | | 0d | | | | 1 | | | | 4 | |
| HW-098 | MC 30% Plans- Compile Package | 8-2-13 | 8-8-13 | 5d | | l i | | | | | | 1 | |
| HW0-99 | MC 30% Plans Internal Review | 8-9-13 | 8-22-13 | 10d | 00 | | | | | | | | |
| HW-103 | MC 30% Address Comments from Internal Reviews | 8-23-13 | 9-13-13 | 15d | 00 | | 1 | į. | | | | 1 a | |
| HW-182 | MC 30% External Reviews - Regions, HQ, Cities & Other Partners | 9-16-13 | 10-11-13 | 20d | 00 | | | | | | | | |
| HW-294 | MC Design Acceptance Workshop | 10-14-13 | 10-14-13 | 1d | | | 1 3 | 1 | | | | | |
| TR5310 | 2013 Risk Refresher | 10-14-13 | 11-1-13 | 15d | | | 8 | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| HW-304 | MC Review Draft DAP and Prepare Final DAP | 10-15-13 | 11-1-13 | 14d | | | | | | | | | |
| HW-143 | MC Preliminary Engineering Design Completion | | 11-1-13 | 0d | | | • | | | | | | |
| TR5320 | Preparation of FFGA Application (After Risk Workshop) | 11-4-13 | 11-5-13 | 2d | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| TR5440 | Full Funding Grant Agreement/ FTA Review of Columbia River Crossing FFGA | | 5-29-14 | 143d | | | | | | | | | |
| TR5300 | Submit Full Funding Grant Agreement Application | 11-6-13 | | b0 | | | • | 1 | | | | | |
| WI1000 | RC CRB/14/HI Design Build: Select Design Builder | | 5-29-14 | 0d | | | • | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| TR5600 | Receive Full Funding Grant Agreement | 5 00 11 | 5-29-14 | b0 | | | | | | | | | |
| WI1010 | RC SR14/Hayden Island Design Builder Design | 5-30-14 | 12-1-14 | 129d | | | | | | | | | |
| A99 | River Crossing Construction Start | 12-2-14 | 47.47 | 0d | | | | | | _ | | 1 | |
| A106 | RC Drilled Shaft Construction SB COLUMBIA RIVER BRIDGE | 12-2-14 | 4-7-17 | 600d | | | | | | | | | |
| A106-L | RC Drilled Shaft Construction SB Phasing (Exposed Lag) | 12-2-14 | 9-14-15 | 200d | | | | | | | | | |
| A107 A107-L | RC Pier Construction SB COLUMBIA RIVER BRIDGE | 9-15-15 9-15-15 | 1-22-18 3-23-17 | 600d 389d | | | | | | - | | | |
| A107-L | RC Superstructure SB Construction Phasing (Exposed Lag) RC Superstructure Construction SB COLUMBIA RIVER BRIDGE | 3-24-17 | 6-25-18 | 320d | | | | | | | _ | | |
| A1830 | Systems Installation - River Crossing | 5-15-18 | 2-15-19 | 193d | | | | 1 | | | | - | |
| WI1240 | RC South Bound River Crossing Bridge Available for Transit Systems | 5-15-16 | 5-15-18 | boer b0 | | | | * * * | | | | | |
| A2700 | Start STAGE 4 - 6 CONSTRUCTION RC | 6-26-18 | 5-15-10 | D0 D0 | | | | | | | | | |
| A138 | Construction 14W-CS (MA) | 6-26-18 | 6-4-19 | 240d | | | | 1 | | | | - | |
| A1720 | Transit System Installation concurrent with Integrated testing | 2-16-19 | 4-15-19 | 59d | | | | ÷ . | | | | | |
| A1730 | Transit System Integrated Testing, Systems Safety Certification | 2-16-19 | 4-16-19 | 60d | | | | | | | | | |
| A2100 | Transit Central Control Initial Operator Training | 4-17-19 | 5-7-19 | 15d | | | | | | | | | |
| A2110 | Transit Central Control Simulated Revenue Service | 5-8-19 | 9-5-19 | 84d | | | | | | | | | |
| A156 | Construction Community Connector | 6-5-19 | 1-14-21 | 411d | 00 | | | | | | | | |
| WI1200 | Transit Central Control Transit Begin Revenue Service | | 9-5-19* | 0d | 00 | | | 1 | | | | • | |
| DM1250 | I-5 Bridge Removal | 4-2-20 | 10-21-21 | 397d | 00 | | | | | | | | |
| A181 | RC Complete Open to Traffic | | 1-14-21 | Od | 00 | | | ÷ | | | | | |
| WI1280 | Columbia River Crossing Program Completion | | 10-21-21 | 0d | 00 | | | | | | | 1 | |
| 3d | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HW-298 | MC 30% Pavement Design | 3-1-13 | 5-23-13 | 60d | 30 | | - | | | | 2 | | |
| HW-105 | MC 30% Prepare Plans | 5-24-13 | 6-14-13 | 15d | 30 | | | 4 | | | | 1 | |
| HW-214 | MC 30% QC Plans | 6-17-13 | 6-21-13 | 5d | 30 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| HW-130 | MC 30% Finish Plans | 6-24-13 | 6-28-13 | 5d | 30 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| HW-215 | MC 30% Est - Quantity Development | 7-1-13 | 7-15-13 | 10d | 30 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| HW-204 | MC 30% Est - Prepare Engineer's Estimate | 7-16-13 | 7-29-13 | 10d | 30 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| HW-274 | MC 30% Develop Construction Schedule | 7-16-13 | 7-29-13 | 10d | 30 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 7d | | | | | | ¥ | | | | | | | |
| HF0205 | RC CRB/14/HI Develop RFQ | 2-15-12 A | 3-15-13 | 107d | 70 | | | | | | | | |
| HF0255 | RC CRB/14/HI Develop Draft RFP | 2-15-12 A | 4-23-13 | 76d | 70 | | | 1 | | 3 | | | |
| HF0156 | RC CRB/14/HI Develop Final RFP | 2-15-12 A | 9-4-13 | 243d | 70 | | | | | | | | |
| HF0215 | RC CRB/14/HI Design Build: Request for Qualifications Issued | | 3-15-13 | Od | 70 | • | | | | | | | |
| HF0225 | RC CRB/14/HI Qualification Prep & Submit | 3-18-13 | 6-10-13 | 60d | 70 | | | 1 | | | | | |
| TR5115 | PMOC Readiness Review (OP2, 50) | 5-20-13 | 6-3-13 | 10d | 70 | 0 | | | | | | | |
| A1490 | WA Park & Ride Design and QC required for FFGA D/B Solicitation | 6-4-13 | 10-15-13 | 94d | 70 | | 3 | 1 | | | | | |
| HF0235 | RC CRB/14/HI Evaluate Qualifications | 6-11-13 | 8-6-13 | 40d | 70 | | | | | | | | |
| HF0245 | RC CRB/14/HI Short List | | 8-6-13 | 0d | 70 | • | | | | | | | |
| HF0275 | RC CRB/14/HI Prepare Design Build: Issue Final Request for Proposals | | 9-4-13 | 0d | 70 | | | 1 | | | | | |
| HF0295 | RC CRB/14/HI Contractor Bid Period and Submit | 9-5-13 | 2-24-14 | 120d | | E E | <u> </u> | 1 | | | | | |
| A1500 | WA Park & Ride Review P&R Solicitation and FFGA design documents | 10-16-13 | 10-25-13 | 8d | | | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| HF0285 | RC CRB/14/HI Receive/ Review Proposals | 2-25-14 | 5-19-14 | 60d | 70 | | : 🗖 | 3 | | | | 1 | |



CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE: CRITICAL AND NEAR CRITICAL UP TO 60 DAYS

FEBRUARY 28, 2013



9-16 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

| tivity ID | Activity Name | Start | Finish | Original Duration | Total Flo | 2012 2013 | | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|-----------|--|------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--|---|------|------|------|----------|--------------|
| 1 P | | LESS AND | 5 1 2 2 | | 1.1 | 01102103104 01102103 | | | | | | | 4 01 02 03 0 |
| 0d | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| HW-310 | MC Project Work Plan | 10-23-12 A | 2-28-13 | 20d | C | | | | | | | | |
| HW-309 | MC Public/ Stakeholder Involvement Plan | 2-28-13 | 2-28-13 | 1d | C | | | | | | | | |
| HW-314 | MC Project Initiation Phase Complete | | 2-28-13 | 0d . | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| HW-121 | MC 30% Draft Access Management Strategy | 3-1-13 | 8-1-13 | 108d | C | | | | | | | | |
| HW-171 | Start MC 30% | 3-1-13 | | Od | C | • | | | | | | | |
| HW-098 | MC 30% Plans- Compile Package | 8-2-13 | 8-8-13 | 5d | (| | | | | - | | | |
| HW0-99 | MC 30% Plans Internal Review | 8-9-13 | 8-22-13 | 10d | (| | L | | | | | | |
| HW-103 | MC 30% Address Comments from Internal Reviews | 8-23-13 | 9-13-13 | 15d | (| 1 1 1 | | * * | | 1 | | | |
| HW-182 | MC 30% External Reviews - Regions, HQ, Cities & Other Partners | 9-16-13 | 10-11-13 | 20d | (| | | | | | | | |
| HW-294 | MC Design Acceptance Workshop | 10-14-13 | 10-14-13 | 1d | (| | | 9 9 9 9 | | | | | |
| TR5310 | 2013 Risk Refresher | 10-14-13 | 11-1-13 | 15d | (| | | | | | 3 | | |
| HW-304 | MC Review Draft DAP and Prepare Final DAP | 10-15-13 | 11-1-13 | 14d | (| | 0 | | | | 1 | | |
| HW-143 | MC Preliminary Engineering Design Completion | | 11-1-13 | 0d | (| | • | | | | 4 | | |
| TR5320 | Preparation of FFGA Application (After Risk Workshop) | 11-4-13 | 11-5-13 | 2d | (| | 1 | - | | | | | |
| TR5440 | Full Funding Grant Agreement/ FTA Review of Columbia River Crossing FFGA | 11-6-13 | 5-29-14 | 143d | (| | Contraction of the local division of the loc | * | | 1 | | | |
| TR5300 | Submit Full Funding Grant Agreement Application | 11-6-13 | | DO | (| | • | 8 8 8 | | | | | |
| WI1000 | RC CRB/14/HI Design Build: Select Design Builder | | 5-29-14 | DO | (| | 18 ◆ | | | | | | |
| TR5600 | Receive Full Funding Grant Agreement | | 5-29-14 | Od | (| | • | | | | | | |
| WI1010 | RC SR14/Hayden Island Design Builder Design | 5-30-14 | 12-1-14 | 129d | (| | | | | - | | | |
| A99 | River Crossing Construction Start | 12-2-14 | | 0d | (| | | • | | | 1 | | |
| A106 | RC Drilled Shaft Construction SB COLUMBIA RIVER BRIDGE | 12-2-14 | 4-7-17 | 600d | (| | | (| | • | | | |
| A106-L | RC Drilled Shaft Construction SB Phasing (Exposed Lag) | 12-2-14 | 9-14-15 | 200d | (| | | ()) () () () () () () () () (| | | | | |
| A107 | RC Pier Construction SB COLUMBIA RIVER BRIDGE | 9-15-15 | 1-22-18 | 600d | (| | | | | | 4.07 | | |
| A107-L | RC Superstructure SB Construction Phasing (Exposed Lag) | 9-15-15 | 3-23-17 | 389d | (| | | | | • | | | |
| A108 | RC Superstructure Construction SB COLUMBIA RIVER BRIDGE | 3-24-17 | 6-25-18 | 320d | (| | | | | | | | |
| A1830 | Systems Installation - River Crossing | 5-15-18 | 2-15-19 | 193d | (| | | 3 | | | | _ | |
| WI1240 | RC South Bound River Crossing Bridge Available for Transit Systems | | 5-15-18 | Od | (| | | | | 4 | • | | |
| A2700 | Start STAGE 4 - 6 CONSTRUCTION RC | 6-26-18 | | Od | (| | | 1 | | | • | 1.1 | |
| A138 | Construction 14W-CS (MA) | 6-26-18 | 6-4-19 | 240d | (| i () | | | | | 11 | | |
| A1720 | Transit System Installation concurrent with Integrated testing | 2-16-19 | 4-15-19 | 59d | (| | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| A1730 | Transit System Integrated Testing, Systems Safety Certification | 2-16-19 | 4-16-19 | 60d | (| i (| 1 | | | | | | |
| A2100 | Transit Central Control Initial Operator Training | 4-17-19 | 5-7-19 | 15d | (| | | | | | | | |
| A2110 | Transit Central Control Simulated Revenue Service | 5-8-19 | 9-5-19 | 84d | (| | | (| | | | | |
| A156 | Construction Community Connector | 6-5-19 | 1-14-21 | 411d | (| | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| WI1200 | Transit Central Control Transit Begin Revenue Service | | 9-5-19* | 0d | (| | | | | | | • | |
| DM1250 | I-5 Bridge Removal | 4-2-20 | 10-21-21 | 397d | (| | | | | | | | e |
| A181 | RC Complete Open to Traffic | | 1-14-21 | Od | (| | 4 | | | | | | |
| WI1280 | Columbia River Crossing Program Completion | | 10-21-21 | 0d | (| | | | | | | | |

RBLD CRC-FEB 2013

Columbia River

CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE: CRITICAL PATH

FEBRUARY 28, 2013



9-18 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

| Activity ID | Activity Name | February Start | February Finish | January Start | January Finish | Original Duration | Total Float | Sector Sector | 2013 | 2014 | 201 | and the shift of the state |
|-------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | and the second | - | | | and the second | | | | alalala | 8-31-1 |
| 17 | PPROVALS AND PERMITS (DASH BOARD) | | | | | | | | | | 1 1 1 1 | |
| PR0106 | RC Section 404 - Revise and Submit Application | 11-25-12 A | 11-30-12 A | 11-25-12 | 11-30-12 | 6d | | A | 11-30-12 / | | | 111 |
| PR0170 | RC General Bridge Permit - Submittal | | 1-30-13 A | | 1-30-13 | 0d | | | | A, RC Gen | eral Bridge | e Permit - |
| PR0210 | RC FAA 7460 - Preparation & Submittal | 6-18-13 | 7-31-13 | 6-18-13 | 7-31-13 | 44d | | 6-18-1 | | 31-13 | | |
| AG3450 | Tolling Expression of Interest | | 7-1-13 | | 7-1-13 | 0d | 362d | 1.1. | 1 m = m + 1 m + 1 m | -13, Tolling | te in a state in a second state of | (四) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1 |
| AG3470 | Project Management Plan | | 7-1-13 | | 7-1-13 | 0d | 362d | | | -13, Projec | t Managen | ment Plan |
| PR0200 | RC General Bridge Permit - Approval | 8-28-13 | 9-30-13 | 8-28-13 | 9-30-13 | 34d | 241d | Concession and | | 9-30-13 | | 3 8 8 |
| PR4680 | RC Prepare and Submit 100% Section 408 | 10-10-13 | 11-19-13 | 10-10-13 | 11-19-13 | 29d | 86d | 10- | 0-13 | 11-19-13 | 6 | 111 |
| PR0500 | RC Form 7460 Hazard Determination | | 10-31-13 | | 10-31-13 | 0d | 275d | | • | 10-31-13, | RC Form | 7460 Haz |
| PR0270 | MC General Bridge Permit - Revise & Submit Application | 12-13-13 | 12-20-13 | 12-13-13 | 12-20-13 | 8d | 396d | 1 | 2-13-13 | 12-20-1 | | |
| AG3460 | Initial Finance Plan | | 12-31-13 | | 12-31-13 | 0d | 234d | 3 3 | | 1997 | 13, Initial Fi | |
| AG3480 | TIFIA Master Credit Agreement Letter of Interest | | 12-31-13 | | 12-31-13 | 0d | 234d | 11 | | 12-31-1 | 13, TIFIA M | Aaster Cre |
| PR4530 | RC HQ Corps of Engineers Rivers and Harbors Act Section 408 Approval | | 7-30-14 | | 7-30-14 | 0d | 124d | 3.3 | | • | 7-30-14, R | |
| PR0300 | MC General Bridge Permit - Final HQ Action and Final Approval | 9-28-14 | 12-21-14 | 9-28-14 | 12-21-14 | 85d | 396d | 3 3 | | 9-28-14 | 12-21 | 1-14 |
| PR4760 | MC Prepare and Submit 100% Section 408 | 11-19-14 | 12-10-14 | 11-19-14 | 12-10-14 | 14d | 79d | | | 11-19-14 | 12-10- | -14 |
| PR4540 | MC HQ Corps of Engineers Rivers and Harbors Act Section 408 Approval | | 8-31-15 | | 8-31-15 | 0d | 113d | 1 1 | | The | | \$ 8-31- |
| No DASH BO | ARD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EN4809 | Publish FEIS in Federal Register | 9-23-11 A | | 9-23-11 | | 0d | | EIS in | Federal F | egister | | |
| EN1800 | Record of Decision Signed | | 12-7-11 A | | 12-7-11 | 0d | | | | ecision Sig | jned | |
| RE1628 | RC ROW Certification In Water | 4-25-13 | 5-1-13 | 4-25-13 | 5-1-13 | 5d | 94d | 25-13 | 5-1-1 | 3 | and the second second | |
| HF0655 | Subsurface Utility Engineering Complete | | 5-24-13 | | 5-24-13 | 0d | 77d | | \$ 5-24 | -13, Subsu | rface Utility | y Engine |
| HF0275 | RC CRB/14/HI Prepare Design Build: Issue Final Request for Proposals | | 9-4-13 | | 9-4-13 | 0d | 7d | | • | 9-4-13, RC | CRB/14/H | II Prepare |
| WI1000 | RC CRB/14/HI Design Build: Select Design Builder | | 5-29-14 | | 5-29-14 | 0d | 0d | 1.1 | | • 5- ⁻ | 29-14, RĆ | CRB/14/ |
| TR5600 | Receive Full Funding Grant Agreement | | 5-29-14 | | 5-29-14 | 0d | 0d | | | | 29-14, Rec | |
| A99 | River Crossing Construction Start | 12-2-14 | | 12-2-14 | | 0d | Od | | | 12-2-14 | PRiver (| Crossing |
| A83 | Mainland Connector Construction Start | 12-18-15 | | 12-18-15 | | 0d | 42d | 1 1 | 1.1 | | 12-18- | -15 🕈 M |
| A2835 | WA Park & Ride DB Final Design/Construction Start | 12-28-15 | | 12-28-15 | | 0d | 263d | | | | 12-28- | -15 🕈 W |
| OT2805 | Oregon Transit Contract Award | 3-21-16 | | 3-21-16 | | 0d | 83d | | | | 3-: | 21-16 |
| WT2765 | WT Washington Transit Contract Award | 5-17-16 | | 5-17-16 | | 0d | 40d | | - ÷ - | | 1 | 5-17-16 |
| A97 | Mainland Connector Construction Complete | | 1-15-18 | | 1-15-18 | 0d | 42d | | | | 1000 | |
| A2845 | WA Park & Ride Construction Complete | | 2-5-18 | | 2-5-18 | 0d | 320d | 1 | | Construction of the second | | |
| WI1240 | RC South Bound River Crossing Bridge Available for Transit Systems | | 5-15-18 | | 5-15-18 | 0d | Od | | | | | |
| OT2825 | Oregon Transit Construction Complete | | 8-13-18 | | 8-13-18 | 0d | 130d | | ÷ ÷ . | | | |
| WT2845 | WT Construction Complete | | 8-24-18 | | 8-24-18 | 0d | 121d | 13 3 | | | Ę. | |
| WI1200 | Transit Central Control Transit Begin Revenue Service | | 9-5-19* | | 9-5-19 | 0d | 0d | | 1.1 | | | |
| DM1250 | I-5 Bridge Removal | 4-2-20 | 10-21-21 | 4-2-20 | 10-21-21 | 397d | 0d | | | | | |
| A181 | RC Complete Open to Traffic | | 1-14-21 | | 1-14-21 | 0d | Od | | 1 û - | | | |

RBLD CRC-FEB 2013



CRC PROJECT SCHEDULE: MILESTONE COMPARISON February 28, 2013 VS January 31, 2013

February 28, 2013 Schedule Update

| 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 20 | 20 | 202 | 21 |
|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | 0000 | | | | | | QQ |
| 15, FEDE | RAL APPR | JVALS AND | PERMITS | DASHE | OARE |)) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| - Submitta | d . | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| irest | | | | | | | |
| n | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| zard Dete | rmination | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| lan | | | | | | | |
| POCCO 1000.000 | 2 | of Interest | | | | | |
| orps of En | gineers Riv | ers and Harbo | ors Act Sec | tion 408 | Appro | val | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 15 MC H | O Come of | Engineers Riv | iers and Ha | arbore Ar | t Sect | ion 408 | Annr |
| 13, MC 1 | a corps or | Lingineerajan | | 1001374 | a occi | 1011 400 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ering Con | nplete | | | 11 | 51 a 244 a | | |
| e Design E | Build: Issue | Final Reques | t for Propos | sals | | | |
| | | ct Design Bui | ilder | 1.1 | | | |
| 5 | Grant Agree | ement | 1 1 1 | | | | |
| Construc | | | | | | i | |
| | r | onstruction St inal Design/C | (c) (c) (c) | Start | | | |
| | | tract Award | onsuuciion | Start | | | |
| | | Fransit Contra | ict Award | | | | |
| | | | Mainland C | onnector | Cons | truction | Com |
| | | | VA Park & I | | | | |
| | | | 18, RC Sou | | | | |
| | | | 13-18, Oreg | | | | |
| | | : Ť: ð- | 24-18, WT | 9-5-19*. | | | |
| | | | 4-2- | | Trans | it ocriti | |
| | | | | | 8 | • 1-14 | 4-21, F |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | (š) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Pag | e 1 of 1 | | | | |
| | | Data Da | ate: 2-28-1 | 3 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Run Date: 3 | -14-13 at 20 | :08 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

9-20 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

| 8.1.20 WSDG Agreement AG18130 8.2.1 TriMet Agreement AG17730 8.2.2 TriMet Agreement AG17650 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | I Internal Review COV/C-Tran Continuing Control Agreement OT/COV Master Agreement WSDOT/COV Master Internal Review WSDOT/COV Master Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 001 | |
|--|---|--------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------|
| Agreement AG18130 8.2.1 TriMet Agreement AG17730 8.2.2 TriMet Agreement AG17650 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | WSDOT/COV Master Internal Review WSDOT/COV Master Agreement | | | 20d | 36d |
| AG18130 8.2.1 TriMet Agreement AG17730 8.2.2 TriMet Agreement AG17650 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | Internal Review WSDOT/COV Master Agreement | | | | |
| 8.2.1 TriMet Agreement AG17730 8.2.2 TriMet Agreement AG17650 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | | | | | |
| Agreement AG17730 8.2.2 TriMet Agreement AG17650 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | CODI BT Coordination Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | 36d |
| AG17730 8.2.2 TriMete Agreement AG17650 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | - COP LRT Coordination Agreement TriMet - COP LRT Coordination | | | | |
| 8.2.2 TriMet Agreement AG17650 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | Internal Review TriMet - COP LRT Coordination Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | 56d |
| AG17650 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | WSDOT Master Transfer Agreement | | | | |
| 8.2.3 C-Tran Agreement | TriMet/WSDOT Master Transfer | | 1.10 | 1.12.11 | |
| Agreement | Internal Review TriMet/WSDOT Master Transfer Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | 56d |
| | N/WSDOT Master Transfer Agreement | | | | |
| | C-Tran/WSDOT Master Transfer | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 204 | FRA |
| | Internal Review C-Tran/WSDOT Master Transfer Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | 56d |
| | COP Bureau of Environmental Services - ODOT Agreement | | | | 2 |
| and the second second second | Internal Review COP Bureau of Environmental Services - ODOT Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | 56d |
| the second s | /ater Bureau - ODOT Agreement | | | | |
| Agreement | COP Water Bureau - ODOT | | | | |
| | Internal Review COP Water Bureau - ODOT Agreement | 3-14-13 | 4-10-13 | 20d | 56d |
| JTILITY EN | NGINEERING | | | | |
| SUBSURFAC | CE UTILITY ENGINEERING | | | | |
| Phase 1 | | 1.1 | 1000 | | 14.12 |
| | Utility Coordination/Preliminary Design | 3-1-11 A | 3-15-13 | 100d | 77d |
| HF0525 | Conflict Analysis and Conflict Matrix & Workshops | 3-14-12 A | 3-27-13 | 50d | 77d (|
| Phase 2 HF0545 | QA-QC | 10-30-12 A | 3-27-13 | 103d | 77d |
| HF0545 HF0555 | Submittal Preparation Phase 2 Plans | 3-28-13 | 4-12-13 | 103d | 107d |
| FGA | | | | 120 | 10/4 |
| a second second | | | 10000 | 19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| FTA Approv | | | | | |
| New Starts L 3rd New Sta | | | | | |
| | NSU#3 - FTA Review of NS Update #3 | 10-1-12 A | 3-22-13 | 102d | 302d |
| | NSU#3 - FTA Assign Project Rating of Medium or Better (Update #3) | | 3-22-13 | Od | 302d |
| | ONSTRUCTION PROGRAM PACKAGES - (ICP) | | | 1.4 | |
| | | | | | |
| | ER CROSSING PACKAGE - Design Build (DB) | eniv d'En | 1.4 | o Pri Pri de | |
| | FIGURATION DESIGN - River Crossing | | | | |
| | DESIGN - River Crossing | 5 40 40 4 | 0.45.40 | 0.04 | |
| | RC Oregon Roadway Design Align and Channelization | 5-16-12 A 12-21-12 A | 3-15-13 1-31-13 A | 80d 40d | 14d |
| DB242 DB222 | RC Oregon Draft Access Management Strategy RC WA Stormwater Design | 12-21-12 A 12-21-12 A | 1-31-13 A 4-4-13 | 40d 20d | 82d |
| DB222 DB31 | RC WA Bridge Layout | 2-28-13 A | 3-21-13 | 40d | 35d |
| DB51 | RC WA Retaining Wall Layout | 2-28-13 A | 4-1-13 | 30d | 28d |
| DB271 | RC Oregon Sign and Signal Layout | 2-28-13 A | 3-27-13 | 20d | 31d |
| DB52 | RC Oregon Retaining Wall Layout | 2-28-13 A | 3-18-13 | 30d | 38d |
| DB232 | RC Oregon Verify ROW and Easement Needs | 3-28-13 | 4-3-13 | 5d | 107d |
| DB351 | RC Prepare DB Basic Configuration Plan Sheets | 4-2-13 | 4-29-13 | 20d | 28d |
| and the second se | ESIGN (Track, MEP, Systems) - River Crossing | | | | |
| DB81 | RC Dynamic Envelope and Systems Interface | 2-28-13 | 4-1-13 | 23d | 28d |
| | ENTAL - River Crossing | | | | |
| | ENTAL SITE ASSESSMENTS River Crossing | 1 A | | | |
| | IENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT WASHINGTON River Crossing | 25.40 | 4.4.40 | 100 | 007 |
| | RC Revise and Finalize Site Assessments WA | 3-5-13 | 4-1-13 | 20d | 297d |
| Contraction of the local sector of the local s | IENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT OREGON River Crossing | 3 12 12 4 | 3 29 13 | 2004 | 2044 |
| EN1902 EN1912 | RC Draft Site Assesments & Internal Review OR RC Revise and Finalize Site Assessments OR | 3-12-12 A 3-29-13 | 3-28-13 4-25-13 | 200d 20d | 204d 204d |
| | | 5-25-10 | 20-10 | 200 | 2040 |
| | River Crossing orps of Engineers Rivers and Harbors Act Section 408 Permit | | | | |
| PR4620 | RC Prepare and Submit 30% Section 408 | 10-1-12 A | 3-14-13 | 114d | 87d |
| PR4630 | RC Review and comment 30% Section 408 | 3-15-13 | 4-25-13 | 30d | 87d |
| General Brid | | N LINE | - | | V- 72 |
| | RC General Bridge Permit - Public Notice & Comments | 3-12-13 | 4-10-13 | 30d | 264d |
| | | | | | |
| lumb | bia River | | | | |
| лищи | ROSSING | | | | CF |

FEBRUARY 28, 2013

| | Figure 9.8 |
|----------------------------|------------|
| May | Figure 9.8 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| × | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Data Date: 2-28-13 | |
| | |
| Print Date/Time: 3-14-13 2 | 0:11 |
| | |
| | |
| | |

9-22 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report

10. Interface with CRC Project Reporting Systems

10.1 Cost Management System

Integrated cost reporting with EcoSys EPC software, a web-based project cost management solution, provides the usability of Excel-like spreadsheets, with the power and control of an enterprise database application. It provides visibility into project costs, efficiency in cost management, and provides greater accuracy and accountability.

EPC will be organized by the CRC Work Breakdown Structure and FTA SCC, which will be the common denominator between EcoSys EPC and Primavera P6, the scheduling software.

10.1.1 EcoSys EPC Interface with Primavera P6

The following will be exchanged between the two reporting systems:

- Information from EcoSys EPC to Primavera P6
 - o Legislative Budgets
 - o Authorized Budgets
 - Project Budgets
 - o Commitments
 - o Actual
- Information from Primavera P6 to EcoSys EPC
 - o Start / Finish Dates
 - o CRC Work Breakdown Structure
 - o FTA Standard Cost Categories
 - Physical Percent Complete

10.2 Document Control

10.2.1 Prolog or Web-Based Document Tracking System

Upon completion of the monthly progress update, the schedule reports (see Section 9.2) will be sent to Document Control. Other schedule documents to be filed with Document Control are:

- The approved Master Program Schedule.
- The Baseline Master Program Schedule.
- The Contingency Schedule.
- Approved project schedules submitted by contractors/suppliers.

11. References

- CRC Project Management Plan, Chapter 3. Management Control
- CRC Configuration Management and Change Management Guidance
- CRC Cost Control Plan
- FTA Project and Construction Management Guidelines, dated July 2011
- WSDOT Policies and Procedures for Project Scheduling Project Management and Reporting Systems (PMRS), dated August 2008
- Critical Path Method http://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/critical-path-method-CPM
- Baseline http://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/baseline

11-2 Schedule Control Plan Draft Report