02662 1 of 5

00001	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING DRAFT EIS
8	PUBLIC HEARING
9	
10	WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 2008
11	
12	RED LION HOTEL
13	VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

02662 2 of 5

it's one lane and  $405\ \text{matches}$  and becomes the two lanes.

So I see the problem is a -- really not rooted in the congestion in Washington State, but really it's a problem -- and I think everybody who travels it knows that very well. Until Portland gets its act together and solves this, I don't think the bridge is going to solve the problem.

HAL DENGERINK: Thank you. Jim.
JIM ANDRESEN: My name is Jim

12 Andresen. I live in 3400 Kauffman.

I have a couple comments. I'm not sure if we are gaining any lanes or what. The pictures out there look like we are gaining one lane if we use the old bridge. If they put new ones in, they said only three each direction, yet the picture here on Page 21 shows six lanes on each one. I'm a little confused on that.

I think keeping the old bridge would be throwing good money to bad no matter how many dollars you spent on it. If you had to replace it in 20 years, it would cost more to replace it in 20 years than



the whole project is going to cost today.

The tolls -- nobody said a thing about the tolls that they are going to charge. I read in the paper not ago that when they put the toll on they are going to leave it on indefinitely. After the bridge is paid for, they are going to use it for paying for other projects around the state.

What that is is not toll, it is a tax and they should call it a tax. It's going to be a tax on the few that use the bridge for the projects of the masses. It should be the other way around. If we are going to pay for projects all of over the state with the money we earn off of this bridge, that's silly. As soon as the bridge is paid for, the tolls should drop and the money should not be siphoned off for anything else while the bridge is being paid for. It's just that simple. Plus they ought to put a toll on the 205 bridge so it gets paid off quicker.

I am in favor of the light rail. It's damn expensive. It's probably the 2.4

most expensive alternative, but it's the only alternative that gets the traffic — the commuter traffic off of the freeways. It's got its own separate place to go and it's not part of the congestion. It's part of the solution, not part of the problem. If you use buses, you will have to deal with the rest of traffic.

HAL DENGERINK: Thank you. Okay.
WALT KEENEY: My name is Walt
Keeney. My main business address is 521
521 North First Avenue, Arcadia,
California. My Vancouver address is 2901
Northwest Old River Road in Vancouver.

The reason I bring up California -this goes to the light rail and everything
I have been hearing tonight -- Number one,
people say 7000 to 8000 people a day
riding light rail from Vancouver across
the river. Believe me, that will make an
impact in traffic. 7000 or 8000 cars a
day off the bridge is a lot of cars.

About two years ago we had a transit strike in L.A. There is a Metro line, rail line that runs from Pasadena all the

00115	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON )
4	County of Clark)
5	
6	I, Cathy S. Taylor, a notary public
7	for the State of Washington do hereby
8	certify that I transcribed to the best of
9	my ability said proceedings written by me
10	in machine shorthand and thereafter
11	reduced to typewriting; and that the
12	foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
13	true and accurate record of said
14	proceedings and of the whole thereof.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Witness my hand and notarial seal
20	this 16th day of June, 2008.
21	•
22	Cathy S. Taylor, RPR, CSR
23	Notary Public for the State of Washington
24	My Commission expires April 15, 2009
25	2