00001	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING DRAFT EIS
8	PUBLIC HEARING
9	
10	WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 2008
11	
12	RED LION HOTEL
13	VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

02664 2 of 5

00077 1 here it seems that we are even more married to the car than the Portland area. We are throwbacks. I don't know what's 3 4 wrong with us here. We are provincial. 5 We do not get it, and the people in Portland seem to have got it. And we've 7 got to realize that we are going to have 8 to have some sort of dependance upon 9 communal transportation. 10 Thank you. 11 HAL DENGERINK: Thank you. Karen. 12 KAREN AXELL: My name is Karen 13 Axell. I live on East 29th Street in 14 Vancouver. I am against any form of light 15 rail and I did testify to that also six to 16 seven years ago at the I-5 transportation 17 project and 13 years ago when we all in 18 Clark County voted against light rail. 19 It is too expensive. There are no 20 clear funding options projected. It does 21 not reduce congestion. Figures show that 22 less than one percent shift from cars to 23 light rail the per rider cost is too 24 high. 25 They show that -- it seems to be



2.4

promoted by some sort of special interest. I don't know what it is, but from what I have read what I heard tonight, there are many people and many unanswered questions and people who are against it.

3 of 5

A County Commissioner has been quoted as saying that we need to vote on any proposal to operate high capacity transit. The Clark College Trustees have just now mounted what they call an intensive process before endorsing any alternatives. This after you have already spent millions of dollars promoting Clark College as one of the terminals.

Metro and Portland, three Councilors have opposed all alternatives that were put forward by you. And neighbors and business are just beginning to understand the effect that the alternatives will have on them.

You have heard from many of them tonight. Small businesses in Vancouver are already having a lot of trouble and putting this in front of them is even more problems for them. I don't know if many

2.4

of them even know that they are on your Appendix D list of acquisitions.

What you are putting forth is inadequate. Traffic studies project morning rush hour commute for Clark County in 2030 will be 41 minutes from 179th Street to the I-84 interchange if the new bridge gets built -- that is only ten minutes longer than today -- and five minutes faster if there is no new bridge.

You projections show that it actually takes two minutes longer to drive the busiest part of the route from SR-500 and Columbia Boulevard in Portland with a new bridge than if we stayed with the old one.

For some reason it seems light rail is being promoted by special interests. The process is insulting to the public.

Mayor Pollard has said, "We have studied everything to death. It's time to make a decision. We shouldn't waste any more of our citizens' money."

I couldn't agree more. I think we wasted money doing this. It seems like a corrupt way of doing business.

00115	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON )
4	County of Clark)
5	
6	I, Cathy S. Taylor, a notary public
7	for the State of Washington do hereby
8	certify that I transcribed to the best of
9	my ability said proceedings written by me
10	in machine shorthand and thereafter
11	reduced to typewriting; and that the
12	foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
13	true and accurate record of said
14	proceedings and of the whole thereof.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Witness my hand and notarial seal
20	this 16th day of June, 2008.
21	
22	Cathy S. Taylor, RPR, CSR
23	Notary Public for the State of Washington
24	My Commission expires April 15, 2009
25	