00001	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING DRAFT EIS
8	PUBLIC HEARING
9	
10	WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 2008
11	
12	RED LION HOTEL
13	VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

02693 2 of 7

00094	
1	of the other people who are going to go
2	ahead and complain about the houses being
3	moved.
4	But you know what? We need to do it.
5	It's the best thing to do for the economy.
6	It's the best thing to do for the region.
7	Thank you very much.
8	HAL DENGERINK: Okay. My
9	information that what we have left are two
10	remaining folks. Can I make certain of
11	that? Ginger.
12	GINGER METCALF: Thank you.
13	Freight mobility, delivery of services,
14	navigation, safety and jobs smart
15	planning calls for building a replacement
16	bridge now. It would be irresponsible of
17	us as citizens not to consider the
18	immediate future and the future of those
19	who follow us.
20	HAL DENGERINK: Thank you.
21	Debbie.
22	DEBBIE PETERSON: Thank you. My
23	name is Debbie Peterson. My address is
24	P.O. Box 82, Vancouver. I'm a 30-year
25	resident of this area and I am not either

02693 3 of 7

2.4

a yea-sayer nor am I a naysayer. I look at the data. And I think the data is overwhelmingly sobering in terms of light rail and its deficiencies and its promises versus the actual outcome.

I would like to go ahead and explain that if I may. First of all, I would like to say that light rail will have negligible impact on traffic congestion based -- because of the traffic, few automobile drivers from their cars -- the demographics of Clark County trends will make light rail much less effective than predicted by the CRC.

Light rail is expensive. The most cost effective federally funded systems have required subsidies of 5000 dollars and more per new rider. New riders are those riders that get out of their cars and into transit systems, not those who were originally taking bus transit and now going to light rail transit.

Light rail cost and ridership forecasts are erroneous and they are based in favor of light rail. We experienced

2.4

that at the last neighborhood association meetings that were held at the Rider Resource Center by disinterested third parties from Seattle.

Light rail will not spur development. Development along light rail corridors is spurred by tax subsidies, not light rail.

Portland itself is a poster child for light rail. And as we know, light rail has not produced urban development. Actually what has produced urban development along light rail are tax subsidies via ten years. Light rail will not improve commuter travel time, energy conservation and safety.

My recommendations are to the CRC. I would like to see the CRC Directors continue to be made aware of the national experience in light rail over the past 20 years and light rail's documented inability to solve urban transit problems such as traffic congestion and pollution. These are via -- I'm sorry. These are verifiable data that you can look up yourselves.

2.4

Along those lines, we further suggest that disinterested experts from academia be invited to provide a historical perspective to the directors. Input should come from a variety of sources, especially experts in economics, transit and light rail who do not have a vested interest in the promotion of light rail for our county.

Second recommendation, I would like to see that they have a build/no build criteria. I would like to see parameters that are instituted that say, "If we do not meet this, we will have a no build decision."

I think that Clark County residents of this area deserve that. They have put up three years of incompetence. And based on that gentleman whose first name is Joe showed the inconsistencies that were made.

And you do deserve being publicly -- I believe -- humiliated because of the lack -- with the money that you've had, the lack of discretion and the lack of information you have given the people of

02693 6 of 7

00098	
1	Clark County.
2	HAL DENGERINK: Apparently there
3	are three additional folks who have signed
4	up. I don't know, I am having more
5	trouble as the not goes on reading. A.L.
6	Kansanback. Everett? Is there an Everett
7	here? Jonathan Schlueter.
8	SPEAKER: I thought I had
9	signed up to speak. You haven't called my
10	name.
11	HAL DENGERINK: I called everybody
12	who signed up. All right.
13	SPEAKER: If I can get up
14	and say something
15	HAL DENGERINK: Okay. And I've
16	got one more. Okay.
17	A.L. KANSANBACK: Good evening.
18	Thank you gentlemen for coming. I am here
19	tonight because I got a little panicked.
20	When somebody pushes me to spend four
21	billion dollars as a taxpayer and I've got
22	to do it by tomorrow, I get real nervous.
23	I don't think that anybody has looked at
24	this thing with all eyes open.
25	We are talking, what, 50 percent or

00115	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4	County of Clark)
5	
6	I, Cathy S. Taylor, a notary public
7	for the State of Washington do hereby
8	certify that I transcribed to the best of
9	my ability said proceedings written by me
10	in machine shorthand and thereafter
11	reduced to typewriting; and that the
12	foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
13	true and accurate record of said
14	proceedings and of the whole thereof.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Witness my hand and notarial seal
20	this 16th day of June, 2008.
21	
22	Cathy S. Taylor, RPR, CSR
23	Notary Public for the State of Washington
24	My Commission expires April 15, 2009
25	