1 of 3

proposing have already been considered and evaluated. It would be great if the CRC could design us a bridge that would be exactly what each of us want it to be. But until we develop a federal budget for magic, the CRC has done a good job, and we need to move forward. Thank you.

MR. HEWITT: Thank you.

I think what we're going to do is, we'll take the three people who are here, and then we'll take a break. Is that fine with you? So we won't call up the next three. We'll just take what we got.

And the next speaker at this first table (indicated) is Joe Morrison.

MR. MORRISON: Joe Morrison. I have a business at 1916 Main Street.

Somewhere along the way, I understood this thing is slightly political. And I criticize you people a lot about not paralleling I-5 and making this a rapid transit system, which it is not. What you're proposing is not a rapid transit system.

You've got many stops in downtown Vancouver -three. You're looking at doing park and rides in downtown Vancouver. I have a real difficult time with that. But I found out that you weren't given



800.528.3335

www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 fax

Portland, OR Seattle, WA (503) 227-1544 (206) 622-3376

Spokane, WA (509) 838-6000

Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 667-1163 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 of 3

the option to look at going up I-5; that our mayor and our City said, "no." You have to do something downtown Vancouver and tear it up. And so, I'm sorry. I apologize for being critical of you and your job. I think it's very unfair that you were handed that deck of cards.

This system we have, I could support something probably going up I-5. I could probably support something in a wheel transit. wheel system has a lot of merit. This system that we have going down the interstate continuing over to Vancouver is really a trolley. It's not a rapid transit. I've been in some foreign countries, and there, they have a real system. They move fast. They don't have intertraffic. They don't have stops every three to five blocks or ten blocks. They go several miles. They don't have pedestrians and traffic that's crossing in front of them so you can slaughter them, unfortunately. But you have not given that as the option.

I think I address having park and rides in downtown -- downtown areas, somehow bringing traffic -- wheel traffic -- driving cars to downtown to get on a public system. There's no doubt that we do have a reasonable problem on I-5 and I-205, that of



800.528.3335

www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR Seattle, WA (503) 227-1544 (206) 622-33

Seattle, WA Spokane, WA (206) 622-3376 (509) 838-6000

Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 667-1163 1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 of 3

traffic, and so one. Some of it is the interchanges.

There's, in Vancouver, three stops in approximately 15 blocks, as I recall. And that's quite a few. And, again, it won't move people. I think we should have a system and using our C-TRAN and try that to bring people into a hub and move. I'll stop. I see the light's on. Thank you.

> MR. HEWITT: Thank you.

Jim Howell. Welcome.

MR. HOWELL: May name is Jim Howell. Northeast 45th Avenue, Portland, Oregon.

If, one, we're required to make a choice among the alternatives, the only responsible choice would be the no-build. This does not mean that nothing should be done. Clearly, there are severe congestion. There's severe congestion on the freeway, especially southbound at the a.m. and northbound in the p.m. The current proposal to build more lanes will not solve the problem, because in the long run, it will only attract more traffic.

There are many ways to relieve the bottleneck without throwing over \$4 billion to rebuild five miles of freeway and seven interchanges, construct a 12-lane mega structure



800.528.3335

www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR Seattle, WA (503) 227-1544 (206) 622-3376

Spokane, WA (509) 838-6000