02785 1 of 2

From: NoEmailProvided@columbiarivercrossing.org

To: <u>Columbia River Crossing</u>;

CC:

Subject: Comment from CRC DraftEIS Comments Page

Date: Friday, June 20, 2008 8:47:22 AM

Attachments:

Home Zip Code: 98660 Work Zip Code: 98660

Person:

Lives in the project area

Person commutes in the travel area via:

Bicycle

Car or Truck

Walk

1. In Support of the following bridge options:

Do Nothing

2. In Support of the following High Capacity Transit options:

Bus Rapid Transit between Vancouver and Portland

3. Support of Bus Rapid Transit or Light Rail by location:

Lincoln Terminus: No

Kiggins Bowl Terminus: No Mill Plain (MOS) Terminus: No Clark College (MOS) Terminus: No

Contact Information:

First Name: Lisa

Last Name: McLennan

Title: retired E-Mail:

Address: 500 Broadway St. # 507

Vancouver, WA 98660

Comments:

02785 2 of 2

The financial analysis is very week on the draft EIS. It does not address the cost of crime in the downtown Vancouver area that would spring up with the "transit" alternatives proposed or the blight on the waterfront views and subsequent decline in property values the replacement or supplemental bridge options would bring about, which would only be exacerbated by LIGHT RAIL as a transit option. There is no dynamic evaluation of the change in patterns of work, living and travel that happen when a project like this disrupts the landscape and life-scape. You are not going to get people out of their cars to ride the rail system. People don't ride it today. Bus would be better - flexible able to adjust routes on a dime. Your analysis is never going to capture the dynamics of where people want to live and work with this type of project going in and increased population and changing locations of "hot areas for business to locate" that drive commute patterns. Avoiding the old oak tree and other nice to haves really should not drive this hugely expensive project. We still feel making the I206 corridor and bridge the workhorse of north south thru traffic and not focusing on fixing I-5 bridge seems a much better solution to us.