Reconsidering the Crossing

Joe Cortright

Testimony to the Portland Planning Commission, April 8, 2008

Factors to Consider

- Global warming
- Demand
- Cost
- Safety
- Freight
- Seismic safety
- A phased alternative
- Process

What does it mean to plan?

Columbia River Bridge Crossings, 1961 - 2024

Historical average month and average day traffic data volumes, across the Interstate Bridge (I-5) and the Glenn Jackson Bridge (I-205), collected over the period 1961 through present are used to perform a linear projection forward to volumes anticipated should current trends continue. <u>Actual data</u> is shown below graph.



Source: Regional Transportation Commission (Vancouver, WA).

CRC increases VMT



But the world is changing

- Global warming
- Expensive gas
- Trend toward more compact development

Global Warming Goals

• Oregon

- Reduce C02 75% from 1990 levels

• Washington

- Reduce C02 50% from 1990 levels

Transportation is 50% of Oregon's greenhouse gas emissions

Wall Street Anticipates Cap and Trade

- Three of Wall Street's biggest investment banks are set to announce today that they are imposing new environmental standards that will make it harder for companies to get financing to build coal-fired power plants in the U.S.
- Citigroup Inc., J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. and Morgan Stanley say they have concluded that the U.S. government will cap greenhousegas emissions from power plants sometime in the next few years. The banks will require utilities seeking financing for plants before then to prove the plants will be economically viable even under potentially stringent federal caps on carbon dioxide, the main manmade greenhouse gas.

The move shows Wall Street is the latest U.S. business sector that sees some kind of government emissions-capping as inevitable.

 Source: Ball, J. (2008). Wall Street Shows Skepticism Over Coal; Banks Push Utilities To Plan for Impact Of Emissions Caps. <u>Wall</u> <u>Street Journal</u>.(February 4) A6.

A Sea Change in Energy Prices

Gas Prices Break \$3.00 Gallon

Average Price of Gasoline (Gallon) (12 week moving average)



An Historic Reversal

Car Travel Now Declining Per Capita

Vehicle Miles Travelled Per Capita Per Day, United States



Oregonians Are Now Driving Less

Year over year change in gasoline sales, per capita



Source: ODOT data, Impresa calculations

Models Exaggerate Future Congestion

- "... current travel demand models tend to predict unreasonably bad conditions in the absence of a proposed highway or transit investment."
- "Before conditions get as bad as they are forecasted, people make other changes, such as residence or employment changes to avoid the excessive travel costs."
- Source: Government Accountability Office, 2005

Models Shouldn't Hide Assumptions

- "It is incumbent on developers of models to provide understanding of models and data"
- It is not enough to say "professional practices and procedures were used"
- Source: Krieger, Shiu & Naylor, NCHRP Synthesis 364, Transportation Research Board

Clark County Sprawls

Demand for more roads is driven by Clark County's sprawling development pattern

Source: Sightline Institute, Census Bureau



CRC Projections Assumed Continued Sprawl

Origin of I-5 AM Peak Period Trips, 2005 and 2030

2005 2030 Change Percent of Change Inner Urban 6,878 7,344 466 7% Clark County Suburban Fringe Clark County 14,678 21,204 6,526 93% Total 21,556 28,548 6,992 100%

(Source: CRC: 2030 Transit Travel Markets Technical Memo, 2007)

Clark County Commuting

Clark County Residents Working in 3 Oregon Counties Residents of 3 Oregon Counties Working in Clark County

Source: Census Bureau, 2004 data

Congestion Relief Doubtful

- Other chokepoints: The chokepoint is likely to move further south on I-5
- Induced demand: Added capacity will induce additional traffic

Cost and Financing

- Total Cost Approximately \$4.2 billion
- Equal to
 - \$2,000 per person in the metro area
 - \$8,000 for each family of four
 - 80 OHSU trams

"Draft" Funding Plan

Highway/Bridge Portion = \$3 billion Approximate Sources:

Toll/Bonds:

Federal Earmarks

Regional Match

- \$ 1.0 billion
- \$ 500 million
- \$ 1.5 billion

Funding is problematic

Federal • Highway Trust Fund is broke

Assumes massive earmarks

Tolls • Low quality for bonding

• No "investment grade" forecast

Local

- No sources identified
- Financed regionally, would require 15 cent/gallon gas tax for \$1.5 billion

Is it worth \$15.00/commuter/day?

- Tolls cover about 1/3 of highway costs
- Tolls estimated at \$2.50/crossing
- Full cost tolls would be \$7.50
- Therefore, it costs \$15.00 per commuter each day for the new bridge
- Do users want it at that price?

Deep in Debt

- 80 to 85% of bridge cost would be borrowed.
- Bonding for both tolling and local share
- Huge interest costs—not included in cost estimates
- Debt service moves CRC to first in line for available revenue

Safety is a Red Herring

- The interstate bridge is relatively safe
- Most accidents are related to congestion
- Most are minor
- Fewer accidents than other roads

Hayden Island Ramps are Biggest Safety Problem; Few Accidents on Bridge Itself



Source: CRC, Yellow is rear-end collisions

Crashes Worse on Fremont

- Fremont (I-405: West End Fremont Bridge to Russell Street)
 - 1.88 Crashes Per Million Vehicle Miles
- Marquam (I-5: Marquam Jct. Stadium Freeway to I-84)
 - 1.08 Crashes per million vehicle miles
- Interstate (I-5 N. Lombard to Washington State Line)
 - 1.06 Crashes per million vehicle miles

Source: Ness, 2006 ODOT State Highway Crash Rate Tables, (2007)

Not the Least Safe Part of the State Highway System

Road Type	Accidents/Million Miles			
 Urban Cities (Total) 	1.20			
 Interstate Freeways 	0.52			
• Other Fwys/Expressways	0.76			
• Non-Freeways (Combine	d) 2.24			
Other Principal Arterials	2.23			
 Minor Arterials 	2.38			
 Urban Collectors 	1.84			

• I-5 Bridge 1.06

Source: Ness, 2006 ODOT State Highway Crash Rate Tables, (2007)

Freight: A Diversion

- Freight is no longer an important driver for urban economies
- I-205 exists for through freight
- Freight forecasts are out-dated
- RR Bridge is real issue: unique, seismically vulnerable

Freight Avoids Peak Travel

- 85 to 90% of Truck Travel Occurs in Non-Peak Hours, or in the Non-Peak Direction
- Daytime Truck Travel is Lowest at Evening Rush Hour
- Trucks schedule and route around congestion—travel time is more important that arrival time

Freight is Declining as Gas Prices Rise

Regime Change: Higher Gas Prices Reduce Freight Activity



Source: Impresa Analysis of EIA & BTS data

Move Freight to Rail

- Multi-modal rail: move containers and trailers to rail
- Northwest Container Services has already taken 100,000 trucks off the Interstate between Portland and Puget Sound
- Source: Bryan, Weisbrod & Martland, 2006

More Freight is Moving to Rail

- Intermodal Container Units are Up
- 2004: 8.07 Million
- 2006: 9.40 Million
- A 16% increase in two-years
- At a time when freight overall was declining relative to economic activity

Most freight is purely local

- Destination of Outbound Shipments by Weight: Oregon 73.6%
- Origin of Inbound Shipments by Weight: Oregon 62.1%
- Percent of Shipments Traveling Less than 50 miles, by weight: 67.5%

Most freight is low-value, time insensitive bulk materials

<u>Commodity</u>	Share of Freight		
Gravel & Stone	32.8%		
Wood Products	17.4%		
Non-Metallic Minerals	11.5%		
Coal & Oil Products	5.6%		
Total, these bulks	67.3%		

Source: 2002 Commodity Flow Survey for Portland-Vancouver

Growing, High Wage Industries Ship Trivial Amounts of Freight

Industry	Pounds/Worker/Dav		
Minerals	10,000		
Wood/Paper	7,348		
Food Processing	3,794		
Metals	2,243		
Apparel	554		
Machinery	510		
Electronics	50		

Source: 2002 Commodity Flow Survey for Portland-Vancouver

No statistical relationship between congestion and wholesale growth

Little Relationship Between Congestion & Wholesale Growth

Roadway Congestion Index, 1990 (Texas Transportation Institute)



Does moving freight matter?

The 90% reduction in freight transportation costs in the past century, and the declining importance of the goodproducing sector of the economy, means that in our view, it is better to assume that moving goods is essentially costless than to assume that moving goods is an important component of the production process."

Ed Glaeser, Harvard, July 2003 "Cities, Regions and the Decline of Transport Costs"

Seismic Safety

- Current bridge can be retrofitted to meet 2,500 year "No Collapse" standard for \$112-\$193 million
- Project ignores seismic vulnerability of BN railroad bridge (only N/S rail link)

A Prudent, Phased Pay-as-you-go Alternative

- 1. Toll the existing bridge
- 2. Improve transit
- 3. Fix the railroad bridge
- 4. Seismic upgrade for I-5 bridge
- 5. Modify Hayden Island ramps
- 6. Light rail to Clark County

Process

- Impossible to review without an EIS in hand.
- Must show assumptions behind demand and traffic forecasts, especially
 - Gas prices & CO2 policies
 - Induced land use change
 - Sensitivity analysis
- Insist on seeing the "investment grade" forecast
- Background documents for public inspection

SmarterBridge.org

SmarterBridge.org

A resource for cleaner, less expensive, more effective alternatives for connecting Vancouver and Portland.

Home

What's New

Documents Links

Coming Soon

Thanks for visiting! - Our new web site with news and information regarding alternatives to the massive and expensive Columbia River Crossing project is under construction. For now, here's some brief information to get started:

The Portland-Vancouver region is poised to build a \$4.2 billion 12-lane bridge over the Columbia River, to replace the existing I-5 spans.

The trouble is, the monster bridge won't solve any traffic problems.

Check out why not:

- 21 bad reasons to build a \$4 million Columbia River crossing
- Creating a climate-smart I-5 Columbia Crossing Coalition for a Livable Future
- Bridge to Disaster, March 13 article in the Portland Mercury by Amy Ruiz

So what to do?

• Phased Alternatives - to the big project.

🔝 Live Feed

Navigation

Recent posts Feed aggregator

Events							
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	
		1	2	3	4	5	
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
27	28	29	30				