

**From:** [NoEmailProvided@columbiarivercrossing.org](mailto:NoEmailProvided@columbiarivercrossing.org)  
**To:** [Columbia River Crossing](#);  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Comment from CRC DraftEIS Comments Page  
**Date:** Tuesday, May 06, 2008 11:42:33 AM  
**Attachments:**

---

Home Zip Code: 97214

Work Zip Code: 97214

Person:

Other - Portland resident

Person commutes in the travel area via:

Car or Truck



1. In Support of the following bridge options:

None

2. In Support of the following High Capacity Transit options:

Light Rail between Vancouver and Portland

3. Support of Bus Rapid Transit or Light Rail by location:

Lincoln Terminus: Unsure

Kiggins Bowl Terminus: No

Mill Plain (MOS) Terminus: Yes

Clark College (MOS) Terminus: Yes

Contact Information:

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

E-Mail:

Address:

,

Comments:

The five "options" do not offer enough variation and we are left choosing between a massive overgrown megaproject or nothing. Other alternatives should have included

incremental improvements: the seismic retrofitting option, the arterial bridges option, the congestion-pricing only option, the transit-only option, and a combination of demand management measures with vehicle capacity. The options proposed of a 12-lane-bridge or nothing is a deficient set of options. Regrettably, the best option left is the do-nothing option, because realistic alternative options were thrown away. It is apparent that the players involved wanted the 12-lane bridge from the start and this process was merely a formality. Now you should start over and do it right this time. Please don't throw more good money after bad.