


**From:** [McEnery/Ogle Family](#)  
**To:** [Draft EIS Feedback;](#)   
**CC:** [Berry, June;](#)  
**Subject:** Draft EIS Comments  
**Date:** Monday, June 30, 2008 11:18:59 PM  
**Attachments:**

---

TO: Columbia River Crossing  
FROM: Anne McEnery-Ogle  
DATE: June 30, 2008 10:53 pm  
RE: Columbia River Crossing project.

### **Environmental Justice**

The Shumway Neighborhood demographics are such that it qualifies for consideration under the Environmental Justice requirements for these types of projects. I am concerned that the impacts to the neighborhood, from the proposed project alternatives, have not been fully identified. I request that the impacts to the Shumway Neighborhood be more fully identified and analyzed in the Environmental Impact Statement and that the proposed mitigation for these impacts be more fully developed in cooperation with the affected residents of the Neighborhood. The project, no matter which alternative is selected to go forward, will have significant impacts on the residents of the Shumway Neighborhood. They should not be overlooked as the process moves forward.

### **Public Involvement Approach**

On page B-3, in Volume 2, Appendices, third paragraph: “In addition, staff are engaged in an ongoing door-to-door outreach campaign to businesses near the proposed high-capacity transit alignments in Vancouver. These efforts have helped identify business-specific concerns and preferences.”

This did not happen. The Clark County Historic Museum, the business at 2901 Main Street, the dentist at 3700 Main, the Wellness Project, office at 3400 Main Street, the single family residence at 2903 Main Street, the retail at 210 E. 39<sup>th</sup> St., and the two residences at 200 E 39<sup>th</sup> St and 202 E 39<sup>th</sup>

Street have reported that they were not notified. In addition, the residences at 904 E. 31<sup>st</sup> St, 905 E 32<sup>nd</sup> St, 904 E. 29<sup>th</sup> St., 904 E 28<sup>th</sup> St., 905 E. 29<sup>th</sup> St., and 901 E. 29<sup>th</sup> St. indicated that they were not notified.

I have found that the CRC's public process has not worked to engage people, especially those within the Shumway Neighborhood Association (particularly along I Street and Main Street), to raise awareness and understand the impacts of this project on their properties.

These people did not know they were in the Appendix D of the project and didn't realize they needed to make any comments. In addition, these properties qualify for consideration under the Environmental Justice requirements and are being disproportionately impacted.