02699 1 of 6

00001	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING DRAFT EIS
8	PUBLIC HEARING
9	
10	WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 2008
11	
12	RED LION HOTEL
13	VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

02699 2 of 6

tracks. I don't think it brings the city together.

The cost -- I mean, people wonder why this thing is being pushed forward. This is all about money. This is money for developers. This is money for construction workers. This is money for everybody but the people that actually have to use it.

The subsidies that have to actually operate the system are so large that -- I mean, I would be surprised if one percent of the cost was actually carried by the rider on a light rail system. It just doesn't make sense.

Thank you.

HAL DENGERINK: Thank you, John.

Daniel.

DANIEL SWINK: My name is Daniel
Swink, mailing address P.O. Box 61884,
Vancouver, Washington.

I'm also an income taxpayer of Oregon as well as a resident of Vancouver and I grew up around seeing a lot of -- well, Washington transportation projects



2.4

developed right in my front yard in the past, including Interstate 90.

And I have attended many of these meetings with the River Crossing Project and has been observing what's going on with the media regarding this project and the outreach to the public. And from my observations and my experience with the meetings is that -- as many other people have mentioned here, it seems to be -- the lines seem to be pretty made up in terms of what options we are going to look at with this project, how it's going to be put forth, and there seems to be a lot of vague information about where -- how this project is going to get paid for.

It seems to be tending to gravitate towards grabbing the money that is available from the Federal Government.
Well, you have to remember the Federal Government is still us, the tax payers.
So money that is coming from the Federal Government is money that is being diverted from other needed infrastructure projects as well. So any money that is being spent

2.4

should be spent wisely because -- just because money is available does not mean we should rush to be spending it if we are not spending it appropriately for the needs that it's being set for.

So I am also against light rail because -- for many of the -- as many other people have mentioned here this evening, I can see where it would create an increase in crime trend in the area. And the cost of paying for this project just doesn't -- like previously here was just mentioned, it doesn't seem fathomable how it's going to be cost effective to put in such an expensive system that is so inflexible because the rails are in fixed position.

There are so many commuters and so many service people out there on the freeway. I have worked many jobs traveling that freeway, that commuters — even with the job I have now — that rail system — even the bus — is not effective for me to commute using the rail system because I can't get to where I need to go.

02699 5 of 6

2.4

I either can't get there at all via the system that is in place or I can't get there because -- sorry, I just lot my train of thought -- because of the inflexibility of it.

I don't -- it doesn't seem a practical system. Whereas, if you were relying on a bus system, it's very versatile. It can change in size to accommodate the ridership that is involved. You can travel along with the cars immediately or you can create, you know, a designated lanes for it, for rapid bus transit like they were mentioning.

So I think that this whole project just needs to be given more public input. I see the public is kind of being shut out and the whole Environmental Impact Statement, as has been mentioned, needs to be allotted plenty of time for that review process. There is a lot of material to cover there.

HAL DENGERINK: Thank you. Anne.
ANNE MCENERNY-OGLE: Good evening,
Anne McEnerny-Ogle, 3501 F Street.

00115	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON )
4	County of Clark)
5	
6	I, Cathy S. Taylor, a notary public
7	for the State of Washington do hereby
8	certify that I transcribed to the best of
9	my ability said proceedings written by me
10	in machine shorthand and thereafter
11	reduced to typewriting; and that the
12	foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
13	true and accurate record of said
14	proceedings and of the whole thereof.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Witness my hand and notarial seal
20	this 16th day of June, 2008.
21	
22	Cathy S. Taylor, RPR, CSR
23	Notary Public for the State of Washington
24	My Commission expires April 15, 2009
25	