



From: NoEmailProvided@columbiarivercrossing.org
To: [Columbia River Crossing;](#)
CC:
Subject: Comment from CRC DraftEIS Comments Page
Date: Thursday, May 29, 2008 6:56:20 PM
Attachments:

Home Zip Code: 97212
Work Zip Code: 97207

Person:

Lives in the project area

Person commutes in the travel area via:

Bicycle
Car or Truck

1. In Support of the following bridge options:
Do Nothing

2. In Support of the following High Capacity Transit options:
Bus Rapid Transit between Vancouver and Portland
Light Rail between Vancouver and Portland

3. Support of Bus Rapid Transit or Light Rail by location:
Lincoln Terminus: No Opinion
Kiggins Bowl Terminus: No Opinion
Mill Plain (MOS) Terminus: No Opinion
Clark College (MOS) Terminus: No Opinion

Contact Information:

First Name:

Last Name:

Title:

E-Mail:

Address:

,

Comments:

I am proud to live in such a progressively environmental city, but this project has left a sour taste in my mouth from the beginning. Increasing auto capacity does not decrease congestion, it only encourages more people to burn fossil fuels and pollute our beautiful city. Vancouver--whose bike lane ends practically blocks after crossing over the I-5 bridge--needs to suck it up and invest in Portland's oh-so-scary "crime train" and a safer & wider bike/ped walkway across the bridge. A new bridge is a BAD idea. Portland could desperately use that 4.2 BILLION for so many more beneficial programs (like the Portland Public School System! The Arts! Bike advocacy! Homelessness! Community building!), and what good is a gargantuan bridge when gas is reaching such obscene prices that the traffic congestion will thin out soon enough? Thank you for the opportunity to share my opinion.