02118

From:	tomjhanke@aol.com
То:	Columbia River Crossing;
CC:	
Subject:	Comment from CRC DraftEIS Comments Page
Date:	Tuesday, June 10, 2008 6:03:29 PM
Attachments:	

Home Zip Code: 98661 Work Zip Code:

Person: Commutes through the project area

Other -Person commutes in the travel area via: Car or Truck

P-0153-001 1. In Support of the following bridge options: Supplemental Bridge

> 2. In Support of the following High Capacity Transit options: Light Rail between Vancouver and Portland

3. Support of Bus Rapid Transit or Light Rail by location: Lincoln Terminus: Unsure Kiggins Bowl Terminus: Unsure Mill Plain (MOS) Terminus: Unsure Clark College (MOS) Terminus: Unsure

Contact Information: First Name: Tom Last Name: Hanke Title: tax payer E-Mail: tomjhanke@aol.com Address: 7209 n.e. 61st ave. Vancouver, WA 98661

Comments:

P-0153-002 Replacing the I-5 bribge will not solve the traffic problems. The only solution is to build

1 of 2 P-0153-001

Preferences for specific alternatives or options, as expressed in comments received before and after the issuance of the DEIS, were shared with local sponsor agencies to inform decision making. Following the close of the 60-day DEIS public comment period in July 2008, the CRC project's six local sponsor agencies selected a replacement I-5 bridge with light rail to Clark College as the project's Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These sponsor agencies, which include the Portland City Council, Vancouver City Council, TriMet Board, C-TRAN Board, Metro Council, RTC Board, considered the DEIS analysis, public comment, and a recommendation from the CRC Task Force when voting on the LPA.

With the LPA, new bridges will replace the existing Interstate Bridges to carry I-5 traffic, light rail, pedestrians and bicyclists across the Columbia River. Light rail will extend from the Expo Center MAX Station in Portland to a station and park and ride at Clark College in Vancouver. Pedestrians and bicyclists would travel along a wider and safer path than exists today.

For a more detailed description of highway, transit, and bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the LPA, see Chapter 2 of the FEIS.

P-0153-002

The proposed new add/drop lanes (i.e., lanes that connect two or more interchanges) are used to alleviate safety issues associated with the closely spaced interchanges in the project area and are not designed to increase capacity generally on I-5. 68 to 75% of I-5 traffic enters and/or exits I-5 within the CRC project area, and these add/drop lanes provide space for this traffic to do so without disrupting cars and trucks traveling to destinations further north and south of the project area. The project does not propose to add lanes north or south of the project limits.

P-0153-003 another bridge. My idea would be to have it go from about 179th street across the river to intersect with Cornelius pass. it would be faster to get on hwy. 30, hwy. 26 and 217 and completely bypass downtown Portland which is where the real problem is. A light rail bridge could be built by the I-5 bridge and then later replace the I-5 bridge. But first build a new crossing.

The DEIS evaluation found that the project, with a toll and light rail, would actually reduce the total daily volume of traffic using the I-5 and I-205 river crossings by approximately 3%. The FEIS analysis of the project has been updated to include an evaluation of how the CRC project would affect Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) (see Chapter 3, Section 3.1).

P-0153-003

Many different options for addressing the project's Purpose and Need were evaluated in a screening process prior to the development and evaluation of the alternatives in the DEIS. Options eliminated through the screening process included a new corridor crossing over the Columbia River (in addition to I-5 and I-205), an arterial crossing between Hayden Island and downtown Vancouver, a tunnel under the Columbia River, and various modes of transit other than light rail and bus rapid transit. Section 2.5 of the DEIS explains why a third corridor, arterial crossing of the Columbia River, and several transit modes evaluated in screening were dropped from further consideration because they did not meet the Purpose and Need. For a general description of the screening process see Chapter 2 (Section 2.7) of the FEIS. It should be noted that every proposals that were dropped from further consideration included elements that helped shape the alternatives in the DEIS.