02992

mclennan65@comcast.net

To:Columbia River Crossing;CC:Subject:Subject:Comment from CRC DraftEIS Comments PageDate:Friday, June 27, 2008 2:37:04 AM

Attachments:

From:

Home Zip Code: 98660 Work Zip Code: 98660

Person: Lives in the project area

Person commutes in the travel area via: Bicycle

- P-0225-001 1. In Support of the following bridge options: Replacement Bridge
 - 2. In Support of the following High Capacity Transit options: Bus Rapid Transit between Vancouver and Portland

 Support of Bus Rapid Transit or Light Rail by location: Lincoln Terminus: No Opinion Kiggins Bowl Terminus: No Opinion Mill Plain (MOS) Terminus: No Opinion Clark College (MOS) Terminus: No Opinion

Contact Information: First Name: Steve Last Name: McLennan Title: Mr E-Mail: mclennan65@comcast.net Address: 500 Broadway #507 Vancouver, WA 98660

Comments:

P-0225-002 I'm very concerned about cuts in Vancouver police department. I believe that police funding is far more important than transportation. With respect to bus versus light rail, I

P-0225-001

1 of 2

Preferences for specific alternatives or options, as expressed in comments received before and after the issuance of the DEIS, were shared with local sponsor agencies to inform decision making. Following the close of the 60-day DEIS public comment period in July 2008, the CRC project's six local sponsor agencies selected a replacement I-5 bridge with light rail to Clark College as the project's Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These sponsor agencies, which include the Portland City Council, Vancouver City Council, TriMet Board, C-TRAN Board, Metro Council, RTC Board, considered the DEIS analysis, public comment, and a recommendation from the CRC Task Force when voting on the LPA.

With the LPA, new bridges will replace the existing Interstate Bridges to carry I-5 traffic, light rail, pedestrians and bicyclists across the Columbia River. Light rail will extend from the Expo Center MAX Station in Portland to a station and park and ride at Clark College in Vancouver. Pedestrians and bicyclists would travel along a wider and safer path than exists today.

For a more detailed description of highway, transit, and bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the LPA, see Chapter 2 of the FEIS.

P-0225-002

As the only continuous north-south Interstate on the West Coast connecting the Canadian and Mexican borders, I-5 is vital to the local, regional, and national economy. The I-5 crossing also provides the primary transportation link between Vancouver and Portland, and the only direct connection between the downtown areas of these cities. As described in the DEIS, serious problems face this important crossing, including growing congestion, impaired freight movement, limited public transit options, high auto accident rates, substandard bicycle and 02992

P-0225-003 believe that bus is far superior due to (1) flexibility to change routes due to demographic evolution (2) lower up-front capital requirements (3) ease of implementing energy-saving technology (4) scalability around peak demand, and (5) improved efficiency insofar that unanticipated equipment breakdowns are localized and do not impair the entire system. Finally, bus service is far more flexible with respect to responding to errors in analysts' forecasts of future demographics and vehicular density: the recent run-up in gas prices is a good example of an unforeseen externality that may influence public transport ridership. In such a stochastic environment, the flexibility of buses trumps capital-intensive light rail.

pedestrian facilities, and vulnerability to failure in an earthquake. The fact that other important issues face our communities does not diminish the importance of addressing the problems plaguing the I-5 crossing.

CRC assumes funds allocated to other projects would remain dedicated to those projects, and anticipates needing to find new funds to finance the project. Funding for the project will come from a variety of sources including federal grants that would not be available to other transportation projects in the region, State of Oregon, State of Washington, regional and local sources. In addition, it is assumed that the replacement bridge will be tolled. Please refer to Chapter 4 of the FEIS for a description of the current plans for funding construction and operation of the LPA.

P-0225-003

2 of 2

Following the close of the 60-day DEIS public comment period in July 2008, the CRC project's six local sponsor agencies selected light rail to Clark College as the project's preferred transit mode. These sponsor agencies, which include the Vancouver City Council, Portland City Council, C-TRAN Board, TriMet Board, RTC Board and Metro Council considered the DEIS analysis, public comment, and a recommendation from the CRC Task Force (a broad group of stakeholders representative of the range of interests affected by the project - see the DEIS Public Involvement Appendix for more information regarding the CRC Task Force) before voting on the LPA.

As illustrated in the DEIS, and summarized in Exhibit 29 (page S-33) of the Executive Summary, light rail would better serve transit riders than bus rapid transit (BRT) within the CRC project area. Light rail would carry more passengers across the river during the PM peak, result in more people choosing to take transit, faster travel times through the project area, fewer potential noise impacts, and lower costs per incremental rider than BRT. Additionally, light rail is more likely to attract desirable

development on Hayden Island and in downtown Vancouver, which is consistent with local land use plans.