03017	1 of 1	P-0233-001
	To: Ms Heather Gundersen June 24, 2008 JUN 2 5 2008	DEIS.
	Environmental Manager Columbia River Crossing	D 0222 002
	RE. Interstate –5 Columbia River Crossing Project Draft Impact Statement (DEIS)	The Stacked/ transit, bicycli
P-0233-001	In reviewing the above DEIS I have the following comments: It has been established that there is a need for a new or improved river crossing over the Columbia River. The 5 alternative solutions are:	deck, was inc bridges requir structure in th
	No bild, Replacement existing I-5 bridges downstream with bus, rapid transit or with light rail, and retain both existing I-5 bridges with a new bridge downstream with buss rapid transit or light rail	impact. After conducted the
P-0233-002	Further the DEIS includes a sketch of stacked transit/highway bridge by carrying bus or light rail and pedestrian –bike path under the decks of roadways. This proposed solution is not structurally or alignmentwise feasible and should be deleted.	The STHB is FEIS. Impacts Chapter 3 of t
P-0233-003	alternative for construction. It is recommended to select a bridge's life time of 75 or 100 years and bring the costs of construction, maintenance and operation to present time, thus showing the total costs for each alternative. Further advantages and disadvantages for each alternative as navigation, time loss for lifts are to be	P-0233-003 Thank you for analysis of or develop cost
	considered . The capital costs in exhibit 26 of the DEIS errata and clarification sheets are therefore subject for revision. They will	Chapter 4 of t
P-0233-004	not be the same. Also the hours of congestion shown as 10.75 in the above Exhibit for alternatives 4 and 5 seem to be excessive compared with NO BUILD alternative.	P-0233-004 By 2030, the people. This i
	Arvid Kulits 15813 SE 28 th Circle	between hom cross the Col

Vancouver, WA 98683

Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments on the I-5 CRC DEIS.

The Stacked/Transit Highway Bridge (STHB) option, which would allow transit, bicyclists, and pedestrians to travel beneath the highway bridge deck, was included as part of the LPA. The DEIS indicated that the two bridges required for this bridge option would put less bridge substructure in the Columbia River, likely resulting in less environmental impact. After publication of the DEIS, additional engineering studies were conducted that confirmed the feasibility of the STHB design.

The STHB is described in greater detail in Chapter 2 (Section 2.2) of the FEIS. Impacts associated with a STHB are discussed throughout Chapter 3 of the FEIS.

Thank you for your input. The project costs have been refined. With analysis of only the LPA, staff has been able to focus and more fully develop cost estimates and financial plans. These can be found in Chapter 4 of the FEIS.

By 2030, the region's population is expected to increase by one million people. This increase will result in more people needing to travel between home, work, school, recreation, etc. Currently, 135,000 vehicles cross the Columbia River on the Interstate Bridge which leads to 4-6 hours of congestion each weekday. By 2030, 184,000 are predicted to cross the river, which would lead to 15 hours of daily congestion if no action is taken.

Congestion occurs when vehicle demand is greater than a transportation system's capacity. It results in slower speeds and increased travel times. CRC defines congestion as vehicles traveling less than 30 m.p.h. The Columbia River Crossing project uses information gathered from Metro's nationally-recognized travel demand models to determine the project's effect on congestion. These models predict trip frequency, types or modes of transportation, destination, and time of day. Transportation planners use these models to analyze the effects of such factors as increased population and employment, transportation improvements, and new developments on the transportation system. The Supplemental Bridge alternatives evaluated in the DEIS (Alternatives 4 & 5) provided some improvement over No-Build conditions, but without addressing the many sub-standard design features associated with the existing bridge, still resulted in a projected 10.75 hours of congestion per day.