Columbia River Draft Environmental Impact Statement MAY 1 6 2008 CROSSING Comment Form Columbia River Crossing

The Columbia River Crossing project welcomes your comments on the findings of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement or any other aspect of the project or process. Please fill out this form and use additional sheets of paper if necessary. Give this form to project staff or return to the project office.

Do you: (check all that apply)		How do you regularly travel in the project area: (check all that apply)	
Live in the project area? Work in the project area? Own a business in the project area? Own a business in the project area?	through the area?	Bicycle? Car or Truck ? Other	Bus? Walk?
Comments: -001 affranz to znz Th Acordan The 155 com	at more	Wing Trat	He away
alearsoning Traffic Pr convecting lite rail	at P.	Why not	Lookat
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		· · ·	
·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. WHICH BRIDGE OPTION DO YOU SUPPORT? (please c	beck any that w	au would support)	
-002 Replace the existing bridges			
Supplement the existing bridges with a new stru			
Do nothing — make no changes to the existing t	oridges		

P-0051-001

PAGE 01/02

1 of 2

The evaluation of the five alternatives in the DEIS was preceded by an evaluation and screening of a wide array of possible solutions to the CRC project's Purpose and Need statement. Chapter 2 of the DEIS (Section 2.5) and Chapter 2 (Section 2.7) of the FEIS explain how the project's Sponsoring Agencies solicited the public, stakeholders, other agencies, and tribes for ideas on how to meet the Purpose and Need. This effort produced a long list of potential solutions, such as a possible third transportation corridor across the Columbia River, alternative transit modes, and techniques for operating the existing highway system more efficiently. After identifying this wide array of options, the project evaluated whether and how they met the project's Purpose and Need, and found that alternatives that do not include improvements to the existing I-5 facility generally do not address the seismic vulnerability of the existing I-5 bridges, traffic congestion on I-5, or the existing safety problems caused by sub-standard design of I-5. Traffic modeling showed that even significant investment in improving transit options in the corridor or building a third corridor was not enough to alleviate future traffic demand and existing safety hazards on I-5. It is important to note that transit and river crossing components were not eliminated simply because they could not accommodate future vehicular trips. For example, both light rail and tolling help to decrease vehicular demand. See Chapter 2 (Section 2.7) of the FEIS for more discussion on the screening process used to develop project alternatives.

P-0051-002

Preferences for specific alternatives or options, as expressed in comments received before and after the issuance of the DEIS, were shared with local sponsor agencies to inform decision making. Following the close of the 60-day DEIS public comment period in July 2008, the CRC project's six local sponsor agencies selected a replacement I-5 bridge with light rail to Clark College as the project's Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These sponsor agencies, which include the Portland

05/16/2008 12:53 5034603893	PAGE 02/02
2. WHAT HIGH CAPACITY TRANSIT MODE DO YOU SUPPORT? (0051-002 Bus rapid transit between Vancouver and Portland	please check any that you would support)
Add Light rail between Vancouver and Portland	
Do not add high capacily transit between Vancouve	r and Portland
3. WOULD YOU SUPPORT BRINGING BUS RAPID TRANSIT OR LIGH (please check any that you would support)	TRAIL TO THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS?
Ves No U Lincoln Terminus (39th and Main)	No Insure Opinion
DO YOU WANT TO STAY INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT? Option	
MYES NO Would you like to be added to the	
Walter F. Dankarg Address (Street, City, State, Zip) 2225 WE Parkvizw Dr Varcouvar with 9666 E-mail (enter address to receive monthly electronic updates) Workers (DOWEST, N.	gl et
Give this form to project staff	S YOU! or return to the project office:
Postal Mail Columbia River Crossing Project C/O Heather Gundersen, Environmental Manager 700 Washington Street, Suite 300 Vancouver, WA 98660	Fax 360-737-0294 E-mail DraftEISfeedback@columbiarivercrossing.org
Draft EIS information www.columbiarivercrossing.org/CurrentTopics/ DraftEIS.aspx	Submit Online Comments www.ColumbiaRiverCrossing.org
Comments must be post	marked by July 1, 2008
Coregon Department	Manahingdon State Department of Transportation
	Howdowd 059KB

City Council, Vancouver City Council, TriMet Board, C-TRAN Board, Metro Council, RTC Board, considered the DEIS analysis, public comment, and a recommendation from the CRC Task Force when voting on the LPA.

With the LPA, new bridges will replace the existing Interstate Bridges to carry I-5 traffic, light rail, pedestrians and bicyclists across the Columbia River. Light rail will extend from the Expo Center MAX Station in Portland to a station and park and ride at Clark College in Vancouver. Pedestrians and bicyclists would travel along a wider and safer path than exists today.

For a more detailed description of highway, transit, and bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the LPA, see Chapter 2 of the FEIS.