From: NoEmailProvided@columbiarivercrossing.org

To: <u>Columbia River Crossing</u>;

CC:

Subject: Comment from CRC DraftEIS Comments Page

Date: Thursday, May 29, 2008 10:04:40 AM

Attachments:

Home Zip Code: 98661 Work Zip Code: 98661

Person:

Lives in the project area Works in the project area Owns a business in the project area Commutes through the project area

Person commutes in the travel area via:

Bicycle Car or Truck Walk

P-0648-001

- 1. In Support of the following bridge options: Replacement Bridge
- 2. In Support of the following High Capacity Transit options: Light Rail between Vancouver and Portland
- 3. Support of Bus Rapid Transit or Light Rail by location:

Lincoln Terminus: Unsure Kiggins Bowl Terminus: Unsure Mill Plain (MOS) Terminus: Unsure Clark College (MOS) Terminus: Yes

Contact Information:

First Name: Last Name: Title:

E-Mail: Address:

P-0648-001

1 of 2

Preferences for specific alternatives or options, as expressed in comments received before and after the issuance of the DEIS, were shared with local sponsor agencies to inform decision making. Following the close of the 60-day DEIS public comment period in July 2008, the CRC project's six local sponsor agencies selected a replacement I-5 bridge with light rail to Clark College as the project's Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These sponsor agencies, which include the Portland City Council, Vancouver City Council, TriMet Board, C-TRAN Board, Metro Council, RTC Board, considered the DEIS analysis, public comment, and a recommendation from the CRC Task Force when voting on the LPA.

With the LPA, new bridges will replace the existing Interstate Bridges to carry I-5 traffic, light rail, pedestrians and bicyclists across the Columbia River. Light rail will extend from the Expo Center MAX Station in Portland to a station and park and ride at Clark College in Vancouver. Pedestrians and bicyclists would travel along a wider and safer path than exists today.

For a more detailed description of highway, transit, and bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the LPA, see Chapter 2 of the FEIS.

02353 2 of 2

,

Comments:

P-0648-002

Don't waste millions trying to save the old bridge, even if it could be made earthquake safe, it still regularly stops traffic which could be a matter of life and death for accident and heart attack victims being sent over the river.

P-0648-003

Tolling is fair -- I read a comment from someone who works in Portland and moved to Vancouver from Portland to "get away from Portland crime and taxes" -- why shouldn't he pay more for that luxury than those of us who work near where we live and don't cross the river every day?

P-0648-004

The cost of this study is huge and it's been done thoroughly. Don't let some headline-grabbing Metro people stop the progress at the last minute.

Get on with it -- the cost to build is going up rapidly by the day.

P-0648-002

Please refer to response to comment P-0648-001.

P-0648-003

Tolling was evaluated in the DEIS and FEIS, and included in the LPA for two important reasons. First, a toll may be necessary to pay for the construction of this project, as discussed in Chapter 4 of the FEIS. Second, a toll provides a valuable travel demand management tool that encourages travelers to take alternative modes (including light rail provided by this project), travel at off-peak periods, or reduce their auto trips. This demand management reduces congestion and extends the effective service life of the facility. When the existing I-5 northbound bridge was built in 1917, it was paid for with a toll. The southbound I-5 bridge, built in 1958, was also funded partially by tolls. In 2008, the Washington legislature passed enabling language for tolling on I-5, provided that each facility is later authorized under specific legislation. Once authorized by the legislature, the Washington Transportation Commission has the authority to set the toll rates. In Oregon, and the Oregon Transportation Commission has the authority to toll a facility and to set the toll rates.

P-0648-004

It is important that a project, such as CRC, provide ample opportunity for input from a diverse constituency of stakeholders and jurisdictions, and that it follow a process that complies with all federal, state and local legal requirements. The project sponsors intent is to progress at a deliberate pace to ensure that we meet public interests, meet the transportation needs, address the quality of local communities and the environment, and be financially and fiscally responsible. Following publication of the FEIS, there will be a record of decision. If that decision is to move forward with one of the build alternatives, then the sponsors will progress into final engineering, finance plan implementation, and then construction.