	Columbia River CROSSING Commental Impact Statement The Columbia River Crossing project welcomes your comments on the findings of the Draft Environmental Impact
	Statement or any other aspect of the project or process. Please fill out this form and use additional sheets of paper if necessary. Give this form to project staff or return to the project office.
	What is your home zip code? <u>97664</u> Work zip code? <u>97664</u>
	Do you: (check all that apply) Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two in the project area? Image: two integrations area? Image: two integrations area
	Comments:
0657-	001 Local Politics is dominated by land owners + developers,
0657-	002 CRC could provide incontives to protect the femland in Northern
	alork County by not incouraging more commuters. We should reduce
0657- 0657-	I I white I is a ship in the house - plant I
5057	rads to be pursued in Olympia.
0657-	005 OTE: The design of the contions are unimaginative. The Reason
0657-	Air port dangers can be mitigated, IF you build something,
0657-	005 OTE: The design of the contions are unimaginative. The Pearson Air port dangers can be miligoid. If you build something, make a boild and beautiful statement.
0657-	OUS OTE: The design of the continues are unimaginative. The Pearson Air port dangers can be mitigated, If you build something, make a boild and beautiful statement.
0657-	005 OTE: The design of the contrins are UNIMAGINATIVE. The Pearson Air port dangers can be mitigated. If you build something, make a boild and beautiful Statement.
0657-	Air port dangers can be mitigolid. If you build something, make a bold and beautiful statement.
	1. WHICH BRIDGE OPTION DO YOU SUPPORT? (please check any that you would support)
	Air port dangen can be mitiguid. If you build Something, Indee a bold and beautiful Statement. 1. WHICH BRIDGE OPTION DO YOU SUPPORT? (please check any that you would support) 006 Replace the existing bridges
	1. WHICH BRIDGE OPTION DO YOU SUPPORT? (please check any that you would support)


P-0657-001

Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments on the I-5 CRC DEIS.

P-0657-002

As described in Chapter 3 (Section 3.4) of the DEIS and FEIS, and in the Indirect Effects Technical Report, highway capacity improvements and access improvements can induce development in suburban and rural areas that were not previously served, or were greatly underserved, by highway access. The DEIS outlines a comprehensive analysis of the potential induced growth effects that could be expected from the CRC project. A review of national research on induced growth indicates that there are six factors that tend to be associated with highway projects that induce sprawl. These are discussed in the Indirect Effects Technical Report. Based on the CRC project team's comparison of those national research findings to CRC's travel demand modeling, Metro's 2001 land use / transportation modeling, and a review of Clark County, City of Vancouver, City of Portland and Metro land use planning and growth management regulations, the DEIS and the FEIS conclude that the likelihood of substantial induced sprawl from the CRC project is very low. In fact, the CRC project, because of its location in an already urbanized area, the inclusion of new tolls that manage demand, the inclusion of new light rail, and the active regulation of growth management in the region, the CRC project will likely reinforce the region's goals of concentrating development in regional centers, reinforcing existing corridors, and promoting transit and pedestrian friendly development and development patterns.

In October, 2008, the project convened a panel of national experts to review the travel demand model methodology and conclusions, including a land use evaluation. The panel unanimously concluded that CRC's methods and the conclusions were valid and reasonable. Specifically, the panel noted that CRC would "have a low impact to induce



growth...because the project is located in a mature urban area," and that it would "contribute to a better jobs housing balance in Clark County...a positive outcome of the project". These results are summarizes in the "Columbia River Crossing Travel Demand Model Review Report" (November 25, 2008).

In 2010, Metro ran the MetroScope model (an integrated land use and transportation model) to forecast growth associated with transportation improvements of a 12-lane river crossing and light rail to Clark College. Even with a 12-lane river crossing, the model showed only minimal changes in employment location and housing demand compared to the No-Build Alternative.

For a more detailed discussion regarding potential indirect land use changes as a result of the CRC project, including the likely land use changes associated with the introduction of light rail, please see Chapter 3 (Section 3.4) of the FEIS.

P-0657-003

The FEIS analysis Chapter 3 (Section 3.19) indicates that the LPA would reduce GHG emissions compared to No-Build Alternative.

P-0657-004

The construction of the CRC project is not intended to be a substitute for creating jobs in Clark County. The project's improvements for freight, reliability and transit access are expected to stimulate economic activity and job growth. The economic analysis indicates that job growth in Vancouver and at the Port of Vancouver will benefit from the project. The construction of the project itself will also provide jobs to workers in Clark County. Vancouver, Clark County, the Columbia River Economic Development Council, and other organizations work together to increase the jobs to population ratio in Clark County.

P-0657-005

The CRC project design for interchanges, roadway elements, transit stations, and other facilities will be context-sensitive and reflect the unique character of the surrounding area. CRC formed a 14-member, bistate Urban Design Advisory Group (UDAG), made up of design professionals and neighborhood representatives. All UDAG meetings are open to the public to attend and observe. Goals of the UDAG include achieving "design excellence that can be embraced by affected communities and users" and providing "a landmark bridge that is both inspired and inspiring and fully integrates the design and function of the structure with the urban design elements." Working closely with project designers, UDAG will provide input and guidance on integrating the new facilities with the surrounding community. This work includes identifying significant iconography (for example, symbols and patterns) that will reflect the history of the area, the Native American communities, early pioneers, or other significant themes. These images will be incorporated into an art master plan. Additional discussion of bridge designs can be found in Chapter 2 of the FEIS and in the Visual and Aesthetics Technical Report supporting the FEIS.

P-0657-006

Preferences for specific alternatives or options, as expressed in comments received before and after the issuance of the DEIS, were shared with local sponsor agencies to inform decision making. Following the close of the 60-day DEIS public comment period in July 2008, the CRC project's six local sponsor agencies selected a replacement I-5 bridge with light rail to Clark College as the project's Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These sponsor agencies, which include the Portland City Council, Vancouver City Council, TriMet Board, C-TRAN Board, Metro Council, RTC Board, considered the DEIS analysis, public comment, and a recommendation from the CRC Task Force when voting on the LPA.

With the LPA, new bridges will replace the existing Interstate Bridges to carry I-5 traffic, light rail, pedestrians and bicyclists across the Columbia River. Light rail will extend from the Expo Center MAX Station in Portland to a station and park and ride at Clark College in Vancouver. Pedestrians and bicyclists would travel along a wider and safer path than exists today.

For a more detailed description of highway, transit, and bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the LPA, see Chapter 2 of the FEIS.