COLUMBIA RIVER CROSSING DRAFT EIS PUBLIC HEARING
WEDNESDAY, MAY 28, 2008
RED LION HOTEL VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON



P-1055-001

00110	
1	most expensive alternative, but it's the
2	only alternative that gets the traffic
3	the commuter traffic off of the freeways.
4	It's got its own separate place to go and
5	it's not part of the congestion. It's
6	part of the solution, not part of the
7	problem. If you use buses, you will have
8	to deal with the rest of traffic.
9	HAL DENGERINK: Thank you. Okay.
10	WALT KEENEY: My name is Walt
11	Keeney. My main business address is 521
12	521 North First Avenue, Arcadia,
13	California. My Vancouver address is 2901
14	Northwest Old River Road in Vancouver.
15	The reason I bring up California
16	this goes to the light rail and everything
17	I have been hearing tonight Number one,
18	people say 7000 to 8000 people a day
19	riding light rail from Vancouver across
20	the river. Believe me, that will make an
21	impact in traffic. 7000 or 8000 cars a
22	day off the bridge is a lot of cars.
23	About two years ago we had a transit
24	strike in L.A. There is a Metro line,
25	rail line that runs from Pasadena all the

## 2 of 6 P-1055-001

Preferences for specific alternatives or options, as expressed in comments received before and after the issuance of the DEIS, were shared with local sponsor agencies to inform decision making. Following the close of the 60-day DEIS public comment period in July 2008, the CRC project's six local sponsor agencies selected a replacement I-5 bridge with light rail to Clark College as the project's Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). These sponsor agencies, which include the Portland City Council, Vancouver City Council, TriMet Board, C-TRAN Board, Metro Council, RTC Board, considered the DEIS analysis, public comment, and a recommendation from the CRC Task Force when voting on the LPA.

With the LPA, new bridges will replace the existing Interstate Bridges to carry I-5 traffic, light rail, pedestrians and bicyclists across the Columbia River. Light rail will extend from the Expo Center MAX Station in Portland to a station and park and ride at Clark College in Vancouver. Pedestrians and bicyclists would travel along a wider and safer path than exists today.

For a more detailed description of highway, transit, and bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the LPA, see Chapter 2 of the FEIS.

	00111			
P-1055-001	1	way to L.A. that carries between 7000 to		
F=1055-001	2	10,000 people a day or it was at that		
	2 3	time. The transit workers went on strike		
	4	and traffic commute time from Pasadena		
	5	to downtown L.A. immediately increased by		
	6	15 to 20 minutes each way every day. It		
	7	stayed that way until actually about six		
	8	months after the strike was over when		
	9	people got some confidence and went back		
	10	to riding the rail and traffic went back.		
	11	Now there is 12,000 people that ride		
	12	it, and that's 12,000 cars a day off that		
	13	route between Pasadena and L.A. and it		
	14	does make one big difference.		
	15	So when you are looking at light		
	16	rail, you can't just look at the cost of		
	17	the light rail and the fairs you get from		
	18	ridership is the economic benefit. The		
	19	economic benefit goes to everybody that is		
	20	out there commuting and save time,		
	21	reduce commuting costs, reduce and		
	22	especially today, reduce gasoline		
	23	consumption and reduce air pollution.		
	24	As far as economic development goes,		
	25	I see that down in that area. There		

	00112	
P-1055-001	1	again, I have to go back to what I know
	2	and that is Southern California and what I
	2 3	have seen happen there.
	4	All along the goal line route as it
	5	exists today and the projected goal line
	6	route from Pasadena to the Ontario
	7	airport, there has been either economic
	8	development going on in the form of
	9	transit villages there is 200 unit
	10	apartments going up, literally built
	11	straddling the goal line tracks in
	12	Pasadena and South Pasadena. There are
	13	mixed residential and commercial units,
	14	commercial on the bottom and retail and
	15	residential above, and they are full.
	16	It's a very popular way to live for a
	17	lot of people. They like to they get
	18	up in the morning, they go downstairs,
	19	jump on the train and go to their job in
	20	downtown L.A. It works.
	21	I am really a proponent of adding
	22	light rail as part of this project. I
	23	think it will do a tremendous amount of
	24	good for Clark County and for the
	25	Vancouver area.

P-1055-002

00113

1

And as far as the bridges go, I say 2 let's get it built. It can't happen too 3 soon. 4 Thank you. HAL DENGERINK: Thank you. I 5 6 think that concludes our public hearing this evening. 7 8 Obviously, there is an awful lot of 9 information in the DEIS and there appears 10 to be a lot of misinformation floating 11 around. I want to comment about one of 12 those. 13 It's our assessment that there will 14 be a vote on whether or not light rail comes -- okay -- not just on the funding 15 for it, but that there will be a vote. 16 17 Okay. 18 So I thank you all. Let me point out

19 to you that tomorrow evening there 20 continues to be -- there's another one of 21 these across the river that Henry is going 22 to take the lead on running. And we'll 23 continue to take input all the way until 24 the 2nd of July, so thank you and good 25 evening.

P-1055-002 5 of 6

> It is important that a project, such as CRC, provide ample opportunity for input from a diverse constituency of stakeholders and jurisdictions, and that it follow a process that complies with all federal, state and local legal requirements. The project sponsors intent is to progress at a deliberate pace to ensure that we meet public interests, meet the transportation needs, address the quality of local communities and the environment, and be financially and fiscally responsible. Following publication of the FEIS, there will be a record of decision. If that decision is to move forward with one of the build alternatives, then the sponsors will progress into final engineering, finance plan implementation, and then construction.

15	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON )
4	County of Clark)
5	
6	I, Cathy S. Taylor, a notary public
7	for the State of Washington do hereby
8	certify that I transcribed to the best of
9	my ability said proceedings written by me
10	in machine shorthand and thereafter
11	reduced to typewriting; and that the
12	foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
13	true and accurate record of said
14	proceedings and of the whole thereof.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Witness my hand and notarial seal
20	this 16th day of June, 2008.
21	
22	Cathy S. Taylor, RPR, CSR
23	Notary Public for the State of Washington
2.4	My Commission expires April 15, 2009
25	