)	COLUMBIA	RIVER PUBLI	CROSS C HEA	SING ARIN(DRAFT G	EIS
	WEDN	iesday,	MAY	28,	2008	
	VAN	RED I ICOUVEF	LION H R, WAS	HOTEJ SHINO	L GTON	

	00009	
	1	ability to pronounce your names. And
	2	recognize that I have been the subject of
	3	mispronunciations repeatedly myself. I am
	4	not doing this on purpose. Okay.
	5	Ron Swaren, Sharon Nassat, John
	6	Haugen, Paul Edgar, Kim Dalton, Jim
	7	Karock.
	8	I don't know if the folks lined up in
	9	the order that I called their names.
	10	SPEAKER: We're trying.
	11	HAL DENGERINK: That's fine. All
	12	right. We're okay. All right. Okay.
	13	We're going to go ahead and start with you
	14	and remember your name, your address and
	15	your
P-1065-001	16	RON SWAREN: My name is Ron
	17	Swaren. I live in Portland at 1543
	18	Southeast Umatilla. The alternative that
	19	I'm in favor of is one that was in the I-5
	20	partnership called the Western Arterial.
	21	I think it's kind of inappropriate to
	22	have two Columbia Crossings that go into
	23	East portland and none that go into the
	24	West Side. The Portland area has
	25	equivalent growth on both sides of the

P-1065-001

2 of 5

Ţ

Many different options for addressing the project's Purpose and Need were evaluated in a screening process prior to the development and evaluation of the alternatives in the DEIS. Options eliminated through the screening process included a new corridor crossing over the Columbia River (in addition to I-5 and I-205), an arterial crossing between Hayden Island and downtown Vancouver, a tunnel under the Columbia River, and various modes of transit other than light rail and bus rapid transit. Section 2.5 of the DEIS explains why a third corridor, arterial crossing of the Columbia River, and several transit modes evaluated in screening were dropped from further consideration because they did not meet the Purpose and Need. For a general description of the screening process see Chapter 2 (Section 2.7) of the FEIS. It should be noted that every proposal received from the public was considered, and many of the proposals that were dropped from further consideration included elements that helped shape the alternatives in the DEIS.

	00010	
P-1065-001	1	Willamette and we are trying to cram all
	2	the traffic through this Western Oregon
	3	Corridor into the East Side of Portland.
	4	So that is my preferred alternative.
	5	I think there is some issues with the
P-1065-002	6	points that you raised for replacing the
	7	current I-5 bridge. One, it's maintained
	8	that they are seismically unsafe.
	9	I have an artist rendition of what is
	10	proposed. I will hold it up so people can
	11	see it. This is heavy concrete decks on
	12	pilings. I have read some commentary by
	13	people in the San Francisco Bay Area.
	14	They feel that that is the most unsafe
	15	type of structure for freeways and
	16	bridges.
	17	In the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake,
	18	of the structures that were damaged, there
	19	was one metal structure, the Oakland Bay
	20	Bridge where there was some damage where
	21	some bolts sheered. No one was killed in
	22	that collapse.
	23	However, there were several
	2.4	structures that were reinforced concrete
	25	that gave way. The Cypress Viaduct in

3 of 5 P-1065-002

The I-5 bridges, like many older bridges in the region and nation, are not seismically sound and were never designed to survive a significant earthquake. In 1995, ODOT commissioned a study to look specifically at the lift spans of the I-5 bridges, which are considered the most vulnerable sections of the bridges. Vulnerabilities were found in the bearings, piles, piers, and lift span tower truss members. Both the northbound and southbound bridges have been identified as functionally obsolete bridges. This classification means they no longer meet the geometric and/or load capacity criteria of the Interstate system. The new bridge will be designed in accordance with project specific design criteria and current codes to ensure it will withstand seismic forces as described in Chapter 3 (Section 3.17) of the DEIS.

	00011	
P-1065-002	1	West Oakland collapsed. It was a concrete
	2	structure. 40 people died. The
	3	Embarcadero freeway suffered so much
	4	damage that it was removed. And then the
	5	southern freeway Interstate 280 suffered
	6	severe damage. Those are all reinforced
	7	concrete. State Route 1 in Watsonville
	8	also suffered severe damage. The Central
	9	Freeway US Route 101 suffered extensive
	10	damage.
D AACE AAAI	11	I know that there is a problem with
P-1002-003	12	projected extra traffic on I-5; however,
	13	just fixing one point I don't think is
	14	going to solve the problem. I know for a
	15	fact that the Freeway Loop Advisory
	16	Committee has bigger plans.
	17	So the bottom line is do residents of
	18	this area want to see 10 or 20 years of
	19	projects trying to resuscitate the I-5
	20	when there is another alternative that
	21	would relieve pressure from the I-5 and
	22	that is the Western Arterial. And that is
	23	what one of the alternatives that your
	24	group was mandated to study.
	25	HAL DENGERINK: Thank you.

4 of 5 P-1065-003

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) completed Phase I construction of the I-5 Delta Park widening project in fall 2010. Phase I of the project involved widening I-5 and lengthening the entrance and exit ramps at Victory Boulevard and Columbia Boulevard. Phase II involves improving local streets and will begin when funding is secured. Phase I of the Delta Park project widened the current 2-lane segment of southbound I-5 to 3 lanes. There are currently no immediate plans to widen I-5 south of Delta Park. Neither the CRC project nor the Delta Park projects are intended to address the southbound traffic congestion that currently exists near the I-5/I-405 split. However, traffic analyses show the congestion at the split will not be worsened because of the Columbia River Crossing project. The main reason is that fewer cars are expected to cross the river with a project in 2030 than without a project. This is due to the provision of improved transit service and tolling.

Beyond the CRC and Delta Park projects, the I-5 Transportation and Trade Partnership Final Strategic Plan recommended a comprehensive list of modal actions relating to: additional transit capacity and service; additional rail capacity; land use and land use accord; transportation demand/system management; environmental justice; additional elements and strategies (such as new river crossings); and financing. RTC and Metro are tasked with initiating recommendations as part of their regional transportation planning role. Examples of current efforts include RTC's evaluation of future high-capacity transit in Clark County, and evaluation of needs for future river crossings. Regional planners have investigated solutions to existing bottlenecks at the I-5 connections with I-405 and I-84. ODOT is responsible for conducting ongoing studies to identify other congestion problems on I-5 in Oregon that may need to be addressed in the future.

10	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4	County of Clark)
5	
6	I, Cathy S. Taylor, a notary public
7	for the State of Washington do hereby
8	certify that I transcribed to the best of
9	my ability said proceedings written by me
10	in machine shorthand and thereafter
11	reduced to typewriting; and that the
12	foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
13	true and accurate record of said
14	proceedings and of the whole thereof.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Witness my hand and notarial seal
20	this 16th day of June, 2008.
21	
22	Cathy S. Taylor, RPR, CSR
23	Notary Public for the State of Washington
2.4	My Commission expires April 15, 2009
25	