С	OLUMBIA		CROSS IC HEA			EIS
	WEDN	IESDAY,	, MAY	28,	2008	
	VAN	RED I ICOUVEI	LION H R, WAS			

	00106	
	1	Okay. That's about all I had. Thank you.
	2	HAL DENGERINK: Okay. Thank you.
	3	Pauline.
P-1071-001	4	PAULINE WARREN: Yes, I am Pauline
	5	Warren. I am at 6800 Northeast 58th
	6	Street here in Vancouver and I have
	7	attended a few of your meetings and been
	8	on the internet last night on your
	9	technical reports. I find them I have
	10	had a lot of trouble getting on your
	11	environment report because I could only
	12	pull up one page at a time to print and I
	13	couldn't access some things. I've got to
	14	find out how to do that better.
P-1071-002	15	But my I am for a third bridge
. 10/1 001	16	versus the replacement bridge or the
	17	supplemental bridge. I do believe that
P-1071-003	18	the bridge that we have is not really the
P-10/1-003	19	root of the problem with congestion.
	20	Now I do not now that there is some
	21	accidents in the on and off ramps that
	22	probably really need some work. But I
	23	think Portland and the lack of lanes that
	24	they have going through is and
	25	obviously the Delta Park has been a

#### P-1071-001

2 of 5

To encourage public participation, a variety of methods of reviewing and commenting on the DEIS were created, including electronic review and comment. The electronic review and comment tools were designed to accommodate as many users as possible, including those with less advanced computer systems and/or internet connections.

### P-1071-002

Many different options for addressing the project's Purpose and Need were evaluated in a screening process prior to the development and evaluation of the alternatives in the DEIS. Options eliminated through the screening process included a new corridor crossing over the Columbia River (in addition to I-5 and I-205), an arterial crossing between Hayden Island and downtown Vancouver, a tunnel under the Columbia River, and various modes of transit other than light rail and bus rapid transit. Section 2.5 of the DEIS explains why a third corridor, arterial crossing of the Columbia River, and several transit modes evaluated in screening were dropped from further consideration because they did not meet the Purpose and Need. For a general description of the screening process see Chapter 2 (Section 2.7) of the FEIS. It should be noted that every proposals that were dropped from further consideration included elements that helped shape the alternatives in the DEIS.

### P-1071-003

The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) completed Phase I construction of the I-5 Delta Park widening project in fall 2010. Phase I of the project involved widening I-5 and lengthening the entrance and exit ramps at Victory Boulevard and Columbia Boulevard. Phase II involves improving local streets and will begin when funding is secured. Phase I of the Delta Park project widened the current 2-lane segment of southbound I-5 to 3 lanes. There are currently no immediate plans to widen I-5 south of Delta Park. Neither the CRC project nor the Delta

	00107	
P-1071-003	1	problem for many, many, many years way
P-10/1-003	2	before when I got here, in fact. And it's
	3	taken this long for them to fix.
a seas and	4	Whereas, the Salmon creek, which was
P-1071-004	5	part of your original area you went way
	6	up to 405 205, the connection, and all
	7	the way down. And I think that area
	8	should have been kept, all of it,
	9	especially the area going way into
	10	Portland.
n 4074 0051	11	There are more than just two places
P-1071-005	12	going through Portland that have only two
	13	lanes. So they are very potentially
	14	bottlenecks because if you have a three
	15	lane through traffic and you come to two
	16	lanes, it's obviously going create a
	17	problem.
	18	There is, in fact, one place on
	19	I-5 and I am sure it's probably the
	20	only place from Canada all the way down to
	21	Mexico that has only one lane on I-5. And
	22	I noticed that when I was going to my
	23	son's home in Multnomah. And just before
	24	you go up to the Terwilliger Curves, I-5
	25	comes down from the right hand side and

Park projects are intended to address the southbound traffic congestion that currently exists near the I-5/I-405 split. However, traffic analyses show the congestion at the split will not be worsened because of the Columbia River Crossing project. The main reason is that fewer cars are expected to cross the river with a project in 2030 than without a project. This is due to the provision of improved transit service and tolling.

Beyond the CRC and Delta Park projects, the I-5 Transportation and Trade Partnership Final Strategic Plan recommended a comprehensive list of modal actions relating to: additional transit capacity and service; additional rail capacity; land use and land use accord; transportation demand/system management; environmental justice; additional elements and strategies (such as new river crossings); and financing. RTC and Metro are tasked with initiating recommendations as part of their regional transportation planning role. Examples of current efforts include RTC's evaluation of future high-capacity transit in Clark County, and evaluation of needs for future river crossings. Regional planners have investigated solutions to existing bottlenecks at the I-5 connections with I-405 and I-84. ODOT is responsible for conducting ongoing studies to identify other congestion problems on I-5 in Oregon that may need to be addressed in the future.

## P-1071-004

3 of 5

Over the course of the CRC project, the project team analyzed a variety of geographic areas. The boundaries of these areas were designed to meet specific purposes, such as analyzing the impacts of project alternatives. The boundaries of the Bridge Influence Area (BIA) were developed by the Portland/Vancouver I-5 Transportation and Trade Partnership as a way of defining the problems to be addressed, and determining how effectively project components and alternatives met the project's Purpose and Need. The project area extends from approximately Columbia Boulevard in the south to SR 500 in the north, along the I-5 corridor. This did not, however, limit the extent to which

-	00108	
P-1071-005	1	it's one lane and 405 matches and becomes
	2 3	the two lanes.
	3	So I see the problem is a really
	4	not rooted in the congestion in Washington
	5	State, but really it's a problem and I
	6	think everybody who travels it knows that
	7	very well. Until Portland gets its act
	8	together and solves this, I don't think
	9	the bridge is going to solve the problem.
	10	HAL DENGERINK: Thank you. Jim.
	11	JIM ANDRESEN: My name is Jim
	12	Andresen. I live in 3400 Kauffman.
	13	I have a couple comments. I'm not
	14	sure if we are gaining any lanes or what.
	15	The pictures out there look like we are
	16	gaining one lane if we use the old bridge.
	17	If they put new ones in, they said only
	18	three each direction, yet the picture here
	19	on Page 21 shows six lanes on each one.
	20	I'm a little confused on that.
	21	I think keeping the old bridge would
	22	be throwing good money to bad no matter
	23	how many dollars you spent on it. If you
	24	had to replace it in 20 years, it would
	25	cost more to replace it in 20 years than

impacts were evaluated or limit consideration of potential transportation improvements. As shown on Exhibit 2.7-1, five other corridors were evaluated during this screening process, located from 2 to 3 miles downstream to 10 to 12 miles upstream of the project area.

# P-1071-005

4 of 5

See discussion of congestion south of the I-5 bridge above.

00115

110	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON )
4	County of Clark)
5	
6	I, Cathy S. Taylor, a notary public
7	for the State of Washington do hereby
8	certify that I transcribed to the best of
9	my ability said proceedings written by me
10	in machine shorthand and thereafter
11	reduced to typewriting; and that the
12	foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
13	true and accurate record of said
14	proceedings and of the whole thereof.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Witness my hand and notarial seal
20	this 16th day of June, 2008.
21	
22	Cathy S. Taylor, RPR, CSR
23	Notary Public for the State of Washington
2.4	My Commission expires April 15, 2009
25	