

---

**From:** Lisa White [mailto:lickwhite@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 03, 2006 3:41 PM  
**To:** Krueger, Paul W (UCO)  
**Cc:** David.Della@seattle.gov; Sally.Clark@seattle.gov; Peter.Steinbrueck; Richard.McIver@seattle.gov; Richard.Conlin@seattle.gov; NickLicata@seattle.gov; Tom.Rasmussen@seattle.gov; jan.drago@seattle.gov; Jean.Godden@seattle.gov; tim.ceis@seattle.gov  
**Subject:** 520 bridge - no pacific interchange!!

Hi

I-0500-001

I am writing to express my concern about the potential plans for the 520 bridge replacement. It is critical that we protect the Arboretum, the bird habitat around the Center for Urban horticulture, and the local neighborhoods north of Montlake. Shifting the traffic north on Montlake blvd will only make the situation near the University of Washington athletic complexes and along Montlake Blvd worse.

The Pacific Interchange and Union Bay Bridge will have devastating effects on the Arboretum, UW athletics and hospital, the Center for Urban horticulture, and the environment in general. I don't see anything about the transit hub in the plan. We cannot sacrifice the Marsh Islands wetlands. We don't need or want a six-lane Montlake Blvd or excess traffic dumped in front of husky stadium. This scenario just increases the mess around the UW campus and athletic facilities.

Please do not consider this a viable option.

thanks very much  
-Lisa White

---

Talk is cheap. Use Yahoo! Messenger to make PC-to-Phone calls. [Great rates starting at 1¢/min.](#)

**I-0500-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Pacific Street Interchange Option

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.