

Online Comment by User: John Privat

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 1:34:00 PM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98004

Comment:

WSDOT

I-0601-001

The current bridge has lasted 44 years, so try to think in those kind of time frames. Doing nothing, or replacing with a 4 lane bridge is the heighth of "short sightedness." Sure, the 6 or 8 lane bridge will cost a lot more but we are a larger, wealthier community with more expensive needs.

I support a 6 lane replacement (and would support an 8 lane if it were offered.)

Make sure whatever replacement has ladders and grips so boaters blown against the bridge have a way to save themselves.

The Pacific interchange suggestion is a good one that would solve a significant congestion problem on Montlake Boulevard. Its the kind of creative thinking that is needed.

It is wrong to keep the 520 capacity limited so it won't create further problems at the merge with I-5. Solve the I-5 problem, don't come up with a "short sighted" solution to 520 that fails to create the capacity needed in 5 years.

I-0601-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.