

**Online Comment by User: Lynnvo**

**Submitted on: 10/26/2006 11:03:00 AM**

**Comment Category: General Comments**

**Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1**

**Address: , , 98052**

**Comment:**

I do not regularly commute over the 520 bridge, but the impact of the traffic going over the bridge has a direct impact on my commute every day as I travel from Redmond heading South. This area is not known for its foresight into traffic issues and now is a good time to change that perception. The 6-lane alternative with an HOV lane sounds like the best alternative and shows planning. Why would we not take advantage of the construction time and build for the future. We are already decades behind on our traffic needs and it's only going to get worse.

I've been in a vanpool for over 12 years and the surge in HOV lane usage is unbelievable this year. In fact, our van and two others that I know of are both having to leave 1/2 hour earlier each morning just to get to work on time. This shows the success of van and carpooling and should most definitely be a consideration for any major highway project.

Thank you

I-0696-001

**I-0696-001**

**Comment Summary:**

6-Lane Alternative

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.