

Online Comment by User: mrdonner

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 8:53:00 AM
Comment Category: 6-Lane Alternative
Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-14
Address: , Seattle, WA 98117
Comment:

I-0749-001

The Six-Lane alternative is the one I think that makes the most sense. We desperately need a new bridge and one that includes transit options. At the very least we need:

1. Bike Lane across the bridge
2. Transit Lane across the bridge (HOV)
3. Possibility for light rail across the bridge in the future.

Doing anything less than adding transit and bike lanes to the new bridge would be short sighted and selfish.

Comment Category: Pacific Street Interchange

Comment Location: Chapter-7, Page-8

Comment:

I-0749-002

The Pacific Interchange impacts on the Arboretum are appalling. The Arboretum is one of the gems in King County and the impacts to it should be minimized. The Pacific Interchange would have huge negative impacts on the Arboretum, impacts far worse than any of the other alternatives. Marsh Island would be significantly negatively effected. The Arboretum is a unique natural resource in our city and its value is immeasurable. There is already an interchange at Montlake, we should use the existing Montlake interchange as upgrading the Montlake Interchange would have the lowest impacts on the natural resources of the Arboretum.

I-0749-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0749-002

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.