

I-0808-001

Online Comment by User: r2larson

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 9:17:00 PM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: 22628 7th Dr. SE, Bothell, WA 98021

Comment:

Please Please Please find a way to build the 6 lane alternative!

To me, replacing the current 520 bridge with the same number of lanes is insanity and cruel and unusual punishment to those of us commuting by car-pool and bus. When we replace the 520 bridge, it absolutely must have added HOV lanes to encourage car-pool and bus use and reduce the number of cars on the road. I would gladly pay for large tax increases if it meant we would go forward with the 6-lane alternative rather than the 4 lane alternative. In fact I would like to revive the 8 lane alternative and go ahead and widen I-5 and 405 to match if we could find a way to build it.

Comment Category: 4-Lane Alternative

Comment Location: Chapter-5, Page-6

Comment:

To 520 project evaluation committee:

To me, replacing the 520 bridge with the same number of lanes is insanity and cruel and unusual punishment to those of us commuting by car-pool and bus. When we replace the 520 bridge, it absolutely must have added HOV lanes to encourage car-pool and bus use and reduce the number of cars on the road. I would gladly pay for large tax increases if it meant we would go forward with the 6-lane alternative rather than the 4 lane alternative. In fact I would like to revive the 8 lane alternative and go ahead and widen I-5 and 405 to match if we could find a way to build it.

Comment Category: 6-Lane Alternative

Comment Location: Chapter-5, Page-6

Comment:

Please Please Please find a way to build the 6 lane alternative!

To me, replacing the current 520 bridge with the same number of lanes is insanity and cruel and unusual punishment to those of us commuting by car-pool and bus. When we replace the 520 bridge, it absolutely must have added HOV lanes to encourage car-pool and bus use and reduce the number of cars on the road. I would gladly pay for large tax increases if it meant we would go forward with the 6-lane alternative rather than the 4 lane alternative. In fact I would like to revive the 8 lane alternative and go ahead and widen I-5 and 405 to match if we could find a way to build it.

Comment Category: 6-Lane Alternative

Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-1

Comment:

This shows the main reason to build the 6 lane alternative rather than the 4-lane or no build. Please PLEASE DO IT! I will gladly pay the tolls and increased taxes and urge my friends and family to support it. I will scrape and gravel for it!

None of the alternatives will meet the demand, so it makes sense to use the one that comes closest, the 6-lane. Plus it will produce the highest occupancy per vehicle: 2.26 per vehicle

I-0808-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

- I-0808-001** | instead of 2.18 or 1.9. This is the greatest efficiency at the same time as satisfying the transportation needs of more people. How can we go with any other alternative!
- Richard R. Larson
Comment Category: Pacific Street Interchange
Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-10
Comment:
- I-0808-002** | I support the Pacific Interchange alternative as the best way to releave Montlake Ave. congestion.
- Comment Category:** 6-Lane Alternative
Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-11
Comment:
- I-0808-003** | By all means, we must fund increased transit trips to meet the demand in the most efficient way.
- Comment Category:** Comment on all alternatives
Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-13
Comment:
- I-0808-004** | Here we go again. The 6-lane alternative would provide much better connection service to the light rail link, and increase its benefit as well
- Comment Category:** 6-Lane Alternative
Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-15
Comment:
- And here we go yet again. The 6-lane alternative provides the most bike paths across the highway as well as the bike lane across the lake. The 6-lane alternative is better in every way except cost and pavement area, and it's worth triple every penny of its cost, and it's a very small proportion of the area of the lake.
- Comment Category:** Transportation and Traffic
Comment Location: Chapter-6, Page-18
Comment:
- Here yet again is an advantage for the 6-lane alternative: better air quality with vehicles moving faster and more people using the busses and car pools, which would be much faster, and bikes which don't produce pollution. Why would we choose any other alternative in our right mind?

I-0808-002

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0808-003

Comment Summary:

Regional Land Use and Transportation Planning

Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0808-004

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.