

Online Comment by User: rbutz

Submitted on: 9/7/2006 9:20:00 PM

Comment Category: Comment on all alternatives

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98033

Comment:

I-0819-001

I prefer the 4 lane alternative for the following reasons:

1) I believe that motor fuel will become increasingly scarce, more likely sooner than later. This will continue to drive up the price, leading people to select alternatives to the automobile. People will want to live closer to their workplaces. This will reduce the traffic on our highways.

I-0819-002

2) The DEIS states that 520 bus service has actually been reduced over the last 10 years. My wife does not like to ride the bus from Kirkland to the UW because it's over-crowded, the schedules are not convenient for her travel times, and the bus interiors stink because they are not maintained properly. I would much prefer that transit is improved rather than adding more freeway lanes.

I-0819-003

3) Make the bike/pedestrian trail as user-friendly as possible. Use grade-separation to speed commutes and improve safety. This idea would really get people thinking about getting out of their cars:

<http://www.biketrams.com/index.html>

I-0819-001

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0819-002

Comment Summary:

Regional Land Use and Transportation Planning

Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0819-003

Comment Summary:

Bicycle/Pedestrian Path

Response:

See Section 2.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.