

Online Comment by User: Robert D. Warner

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 11:39:00 AM

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98115

Comment:

I-0829-001 | I am vigorously opposed to any of the six lane options for the 520 bridge. The impact of such a bridge on the beauty, environment, vegetation, wildlife, and tranquility of the Washington Park Arboretum would be devastating. The Arboretum is a priceless asset to our community and must not be threatened or destroyed by such a mindless idea.

In my opinion, there are two aspects to this issue:

I-0829-002 | (1) Certainly, DOT needs to be concerned and plan for the repairs, maintenance, and possible reconstruction of the current four lane bridge. I agree that the bridge is old and must be brought up to current and necessary standards of safety. This should be the goal of any 520 improvements.

I-0829-003 | (2) The six lane option would not only destroy/damage priceless assets of the Washington Park Arboretum, but would encourage more traffic, noise, pollution, and other problems associated with this "growth and development" syndrome currently being promoted by special interests both inside and outside of Seattle. I oppose projects such as this that encourage such growth and destruction!!

Repair and maintain a four lane 520 bridge in a safe condition, but a firm NO, or HELL NO, to the stupid and short sided six lane option!

Sincerely,

Robert D. Warner
9800 Roosevelt Way N.E.
Seattle, Wa 98115

and

888 Monroe Street
Ketchikan, Ak 99901

I-0829-001

Comment Summary:

Arboretum (Concerns)

Response:

See Section 9.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0829-002

Comment Summary:

Alternatives Development

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0829-003

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.