

Online Comment by User: shageman

Submitted on: 10/31/2006 5:40:00 PM
Comment Category: 6-Lane Alternative
Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1
Address: , , 98072

Comment:

We need a six lane version with bike lanes and ped. access. Anything less would be a waste of taxpayer dollars and would do nothing to improve the situation. One lane each way should be HOV with two general purpose lanes. Thanks,

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Comment:

We need a six lane bridge and we need to get started on it ASAP. No more processing it to death. Let's get it built and tolls are ok. If Seattle cannot move forward with an option for the Viaduct, we should put the money from the legislature earmarked for it into the 520 corridor. It is only going to cost more the longer we wait to make a plan and implement it.

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-2, Page-1

Comment:

We need a six lane version with bike lanes and ped. access. Anything less would be a waste of taxpayer dollars and would do nothing to improve the situation. One lane each way should be HOV with two general purpose lanes. Thanks,

I-0868-001

I-0868-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.