

**Online Comment by User: theresavm**

---

Submitted on: 10/30/2006 8:22:00 PM

Comment Category: Transportation and Traffic

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98105

**Comment:**

To whom it may concern:

I live in NorthEast Seattle and am writing to urge you not create the Pacific Street 6 Lane version of SR 520. It is costly, in terms of money and the environment. Such money would be much better spent constructing transportation alternatives rather than expanding the highway, damaging wetlands, plant and animal habitat. Not to mention reducing the feeling of escape in the city one gains when paddling or walking about the Arboretum. If you should make the mistake of expanding 520 we will all suffer. The traffic on I-5 and 405 cannot handle the increase in traffic that the expansion would bring either. Expanding 520 creates more transportation problems. Please look into how to give buses and carpools priority on 520. Save the money you have thought about throwing into construction for a more sustainable solution.

Thank you,

Theresa V. Milstein

I-0922-001

**I-0922-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Pacific Street Interchange Option

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.