

From: [LIZ or BOB BAGSHAW](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: another citizen"s input
Date: Sunday, October 15, 2006 4:27:36 PM
Attachments:

I-0982-001

Comment Summary:

Tube/Tunnel Concepts

Response:

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0982-001 | I would support further inquiry into whether a tunnel is feasible for (part of?) 520's replacement. Other than that, I support the Better Bridge idea of having a lane go north from the arboretum area to take people directly to the U. W., Sound Transit connection, or to N.E. Seattle. Studies have shown that most of the 520 traffic comes from north of the Montlake Cut, so it would be better not to dump it off in Montlake to cross the Montlake Bridge. All the other plans add enormously to the amount of concrete in Montlake without solving the traffic congestion.
Sincerely,
EWlizabeth (and Robert) Bagshaw