

From: geoflogan@comcast.net
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: Oppose PSI and six lane options.
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 1:21:55 PM
Attachments:

My comments for SR520 Draft EIS:

I-1048-001 | I oppose the Pacific Street interchange and all six lane alternatives for replacement of the SR 520 bridge.

The PSI and other six lane options have significant, detrimental impacts on the surrounding natural environment, encourage irresponsible expansion of sov traffic, are far too expensive compared to the four lane designs and have demonstrated little funding ability.

These are only a few of the many problems presented by expanding 520 to six lanes.

I-1048-002 | 520 options should encourage transit use, limit capacity for sov's and minimize impacts on wetlands, the Arboretum and surrounding neighborhoods in a manner that accurately reflects financial realities in the face of other, competing transportation needs.

The four lane options represent the best combination of these environmentally and fiscally responsible goals.

Geof Logan
Seattle

I-1048-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1048-002

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.