

I-1057-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

From: jann-sidl
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: 520 bridge replacement
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 11:20:43 AM
Attachments:

I-1057-001

Hi
We are concerned about the new 520 bridge plans. Why can't you just put the new bridge where the old one is? We did without the I-90 bridge while building the new one. The plans for the 6 lane option that cover sso much of Portage Bay and the Arboretum should not even be considered --it is so detrimental to the environment. One of the best places in Seattle and you would actually consider ruining it forever with a freeway? I can't even imagine what you people can be thinking. Why not run it in a completely different place --say S of Sand Point and have it follow one of the main streets to meet I-5. At the very least please go for the 4 lane.

Thanks
Jann & Sid McFarland
2025 Fairview Ave. East
35 year residents in this neighborhood