

---

**From:** Wendy Reilly [mailto:wendreil@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Tue 10/31/2006 2:07 PM  
**To:** Swenson, Michael/BOI  
**Subject:**

- I-1072-001** | As a Seattlite I realize that the 520 bridge is a major traffic snafu. I was hoping that the alternative of passenger ferries with hook ins to park and ride lots would have been given more consideration. Surely buying the property for park and ride lots and obtaining ferrys would have been less costly and had less of an environmental impact.
- I-1072-002** | I am very concerned about the impact on the Arboretum. The wildlife and vegetation are unique in our urban world. They need more protection than what I understand is planned. The arboretum is a wonderful resource in the midst of our crazy urban lives and I hate to think of what the construction phase alone will do to destroy that peaceful and fecund place. There is no way to repair the type of destruction that is being considered.
- I-1072-003** | I would also like to comment on the website of WADOT. I went and found the section on the 520 project and wrote my comment but there was no way to send the comment! That is why I am sending it to you, whoever you may be. The only address on the site was yours.  
Thank you for passing my concerns on to the appropriate person.  
Wendy Reilly  
Seattle,WA

#### **I-1072-001**

##### **Comment Summary:**

Alternatives Development

##### **Response:**

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

#### **I-1072-002**

##### **Comment Summary:**

Arboretum (Concerns)

##### **Response:**

See Section 9.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

#### **I-1072-003**

##### **Comment Summary:**

Public and Agency Outreach

##### **Response:**

See Section 1.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.