

From: karlann@juno.com
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: Support for six lane 520 replacement
Date: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 5:18:31 AM
Attachments:

Dear Sir:

I-1102-001 I am a Bellevue resident who formerly lived on North Capitol Hill twenty-five years ago. I have sympathy for the Montlake residents who suffer with the congestion the current bridge creates, and I have current experience with the impossible situation anyone contends with who wishes to travel to Seattle from the Eastside. I live at a point in Bellevue that is equidistant from I-90 and 520, and our neighborhood is continually flooded with commuters who seek a link between the two bridges. The present four-lane bridge on 520 is totally inadequate. We actually need an eight-lane bridge! If the largest alternative the state is considering is a mere six lanes, then I must say I support that.

I-1102-002 I also believe the Pacific Street alternative would be a good idea to reduce congestion in Montlake, but I would hope that the Lake Washington Boulevard exit would remain viable for drivers who need to access areas in Seattle south of 520.

I support a six-lane 520 bridge replacement.

Karla Walters

505 145th Avenue SE

Bellevue, WA 98007

(425) 603-9344

I-1102-001

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1102-002

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.