

From: JJD2491932@aol.com
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: Fwd: (no subject)
Date: Wednesday, November 01, 2006 7:40:11 PM
Attachments: [\(no subject\)](#)

"Isupport the PacificStreet Interchange Plan"

*** eSafel scanned this email and found no malicious content ***
*** IMPORTANT: Do not open attachments from unrecognized senders ***

From: JJD2491932@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, October 31, 2006 8:26 PM
To: sr520deiscomments@wsdot.wa.gov
Subject: (no subject)

I-1120-001 I have made some short comments in other ways, at previous times, but I would like to sum up my feelings at the end of the comment period.

We live at the intersection of Montlake Blvd and E. Shelby Street. About the worst affected spot in the current 520 approach solution.

Our lovingly maintained little home may even have to be demolished in the course of reworking the interchange/approach. I would be sad, but will gladly sacrifice the house in which we meant to live out our remaining days, if it is necessary for a wonderful solution, but only for a wonderful solution, please.

I consider the following things to be of tantamount importance:

First of all, inclusion of the maximum possible amount of public transportation, so well designed that people will be glad to give up their cars to use it. This for quality of life, that is not to sit in our cars on the asphalt for hours, and for the sake of our environment, as well as less dependence on oil.

I-1120-002 Secondly, saving of or reestablishment of green areas and continuum of neighborhoods. As of now, the walk to our neighborhood park, Montlake field and community center takes me through the ugliest imaginable pathway under the freeway where the ugly litter is behind the ugly cyclone fence, so we cannot even pick it up and a situation very conducive to crime. I always say a little prayer before I enter it. I hope we will never again have anything like that.

I-1120-003 Third, what is designed and built should be world class, as we have every reason to be a world class city, and can afford it. I would gladly pay much more for a solution that answers the above requirements.

Judith Dibuz
1897 E. Shelby, Seattle, WA

I-1120-001

Comment Summary:

Regional Land Use and Transportation Planning

Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1120-002

Comment Summary:

Bicycle/Pedestrian Path

Response:

See Section 2.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1120-003

Comment Summary:

Context Sensitive Solutions

Response:

See Section 10.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

11/2/2006