
From: Marty Lindemann [mailto:martyandkathie@comcast.net]
Sent: Wed 11/1/2006 12:27 AM
To: Swenson, Michael/BOI
Subject: eComment Issue

Dear Sir/Madam:

- I-1123-001** | The replacement of the 520 bridge should use new thinking.
The Pacific Exchange option is the best of the alternatives. The UW should be responsive to the transportation demands which are created by the UW. The UW has been given a "free ride" for development for many years at the expense of the surrounding community.
- I-1123-002** | The new bridge should be a 6-lane structure with maximum noise abatement.
- I-1123-003** | If the non-Pacific exchange options are done, then the Montlake portion of the bridge approaches should be lidded through Montlake to the greatest extent possible.
- I-1123-004** | I am opposed to financing the bridge with tolls that vary by times of the day.

These are my comments for now.

Respectfully submitted,

Marty

Marty Lindemann
2314 22nd Ave East
Seattle, WA 98112
(206) 860-6117

I-1123-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1123-002

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1123-003

Comment Summary:

Bicycle/Pedestrian Path

Response:

See Section 2.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1123-004

Comment Summary:

Tolling Scenarios, Pricing, and Revenue

Response:

See Section 3.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.