

---

**From:** William Losleben [mailto:wllhel@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 24, 2006 8:29 PM  
**To:** SR520Bridge@WSDOT.Wa.Gov  
**Subject:** 520 Bridge

**I-1134-001**

I understand that you have completely decided against the tunnel concept for the 520 bridge, however you know that the tunnel would be there for several more years than the bridge, more than likely maybe 100 or more? It wouldn't take to much effort to contact the Euro Tunnel People and find out what it cost them to tunnel under the English Channel per cubic foot and give the public the cost. We could pay for the tunnel with tolls like we did the original bridge. A tunnel would be much more environmental clean less pollution in the lake etc. We should be more endurance conscious as well. Lets take building the 520 bridge three or more times and compare costs.

[wllhel@hotmail.com](mailto:wllhel@hotmail.com)

Thank You  
William Losleben

**I-1134-001**

**Comment Summary:**

Tube/Tunnel Concepts

**Response:**

See Section 1.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.