
From: GatorGregg@aol.com [mailto:GatorGregg@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2006 10:44 PM
To: Swenson, Michael/BOI
Subject: eComment: Issue

Just a few points to remember if you want the support of the residents in the immediate vicinity of the Portage Bay crossing:

- I-1148-001** | 1. It is critical that, whichever alternative is chosen, it STOPS the illegally loud road noise across Portage Bay to the south.
- I-1148-002** | 2. If an over-water solution is chosen, you must not allow the yacht club to expand their moorage south to further congest the bay and wetlands
- I-1148-003** | 3. If an over-water solution is chosen, consider somehow softening the visual impact of the bridge and the noise barrier wall on the south(west) side of the road, with foliage or mural.

Thanks

Gregg

I-1148-001

Comment Summary:

Noise Walls

Response:

See Section 12.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1148-002

Comment Summary:

Recreational Boating

Response:

See Section 9.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1148-003

Comment Summary:

Noise Walls (Aesthetics)

Response:

See Section 12.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.