

From: [Sarah Ahrens](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC:
Subject: Pacific Street Interchange option for SR 520
Date: Monday, October 30, 2006 4:57:00 AM
Attachments:

I-1180-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-1180-001 I support the Pacific Street Interchange option for SR 520, and oppose all other alternatives.

I support the Pacific Street Interchange option for many reasons but most of all because it makes sense. If roads will be changed and a light rail system put in place (yeah!), it only makes sense to bring all those modes of transportation together in one place. Transferring from a bus to light rail should be easy and efficient -- with this proposal this is possible.

Also, the Pacific Street Interchange option has carefully incorporated bicycling options as a viable form of transportation. This further promotes a strong bicycling infrastructure already in place.

This idea together will help decrease traffic and decrease King County (and beyond) citizen's use of fossil fuels. As prices of fossil fuels continue to increase (as they most likely will in the future), the forward thinking proposed in the Pacific Street Interchange Option for SR 520 only makes sense -- economically and environmentally!

Sincerely,
Sarah Ahrens
98112