

**From:** [Tom Hammond](#)  
**To:** [SR 520 DEIS Comments](#)  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** The plan for SR520  
**Date:** Monday, October 30, 2006 2:09:53 PM  
**Attachments:**

---

**I-1199-001** | Thanks for the opportunity to comment.  
In the event my other comments have been misplaced, I'd like to make two simple suggestions:

1) regardless of which plan is used, ensure that rail capacity is included in the structure.

**I-1199-002** | 2) The time for cars running our lives is over. Under no circumstances should the Arboretum be reduced, covered, or compromised in any way.  
Cars are compromising our air, water, and quality of life. There is no way enough lanes could be added to make a difference. Not just because there will always be more cars to fill said lanes, but the gating factor at the E and W ends of the bridge and the interface with I-5 render expanded lane count pointless.

Let's move our thinking to the future, and away from doing things to accommodate the auto.

Thanks,

-Tom

Tom Hammond  
2010 NE 96th  
Seattle WA. 98115

### **I-1199-001**

#### **Comment Summary:**

Light Rail Transit

#### **Response:**

See Section 2.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

### **I-1199-002**

#### **Comment Summary:**

Arboretum (Concerns)

#### **Response:**

See Section 9.3 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.