

From: [Paige Weinheimer](#)
To: [SR 520 DEIS Comments:](#)
CC: jennifer.zeigler@gov.wa.gov; tim.ceis@seattle.gov; nick.licata@seattle.gov;
Subject: Please ~ Protect the Arboretum!
Date: Monday, October 30, 2006 9:23:02 AM
Attachments:

Dear Mr. Krueger,

I-1227-001

This region has a great future and should be leading the way in community development and environmental stewardship. **I support a 4-lane, transit-based plan for the 520 Bridge. Also, I request that a thorough Section 106 review be undertaken of the effects of the SR 520 Project on Washington Park Arboretum, Lake Washington Boulevard and University of Washington Campus.** All are significant Olmsted cultural landscapes, all are eligible for National Register of Historic Places, and all are adversely impacted by all proposed 520 alternatives. I understand that the 520 and Alaskan Way are linked to ST 2 in an all-or-nothing ballot issue slated for Fall 2007 -- I support the well-thought out alternative in which we build neither a viaduct nor a tunnel on the waterfront, and instead we implement increased transit service throughout the region. **If you provide an environmentally holistic approach, I will support your project with my vote. Others will join me, because not only is public transit the only fiscally responsible solution but it's also the most forward-thinking and exciting!!! Think of how beautiful, responsibly developed and creatively envisioned our region can continue to become!**

Thank you.

Paige Weinheimer
Mercer Island, WA

I-1227-001

Comment Summary:

Olmstead Resources

Response:

See Section 11.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.