

From: rickharlan [mailto:rickharlan@igc.org]
Sent: Tue 10/31/2006 9:05 PM
To: Swenson, Michael/BOI
Subject: eComment Issue

I-0466-001 | I was disappointed to not see PUBLIC TRANSIT as a clearer highlight of the plans. It's clearly the direction of the future. I want us to do the best alternative for PUBLIC TRANSIT.

I-0466-002 | Also I was disappointed that the PAYMENT for the bridge was not more forthrightly addressed. I DEFINITELY do not support identification of individuals or vehicles. The government should not have tracking privileges over individual citizens.

I-0466-003 | I DO think that something needs to be done with the bridge. One option not discussed would be to keep the current bridge and devote one lane each way to 2-person carpool and TRANSIT.

I-0466-004 | Finally, if so much natural space is going to be destroyed, can't more be created nearby? There's the city park and a possible extension/wetland of Foster Island....

Thank you,
Rick Harlan
911 29th Ave. So.
Seattle
98144

I-0466-001

Comment Summary:

Regional Land Use and Transportation Planning

Response:

See Section 2.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0466-002

Comment Summary:

Funding

Response:

See Section 3.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0466-003

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0466-004

Comment Summary:

Park Effects

Response:

See Section 9.1 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.