

I-0002-001

**Online Comment by User: A. Stevens Quigley**

Submitted on: 10/26/2006 3:14:00 PM

Comment Category: Comments on Construction Effects

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98115

**Comment:**

Of the four alternatives, I would most prefer renovating the current bridge. Frankly, I think that repairs are always less costly than replacement. I also suspect that the age of the bridge is being used as an excuse to expand the bridge.

If the decision is to replace it, I would prefer the four lane configuration. I much prefer the smaller footprint from an environmental and visual standpoint.

If the decision is to expand it, I much prefer the six lane configuration to the additional bridge to the UW campus. That octopus monstrosity would be terribly unsightly and ruin the University of Washington. That would be a very, very bad choice.

**Comment Category: Comment on all alternatives**

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

**Comment:**

Of the four alternatives, I would most prefer renovating the current bridge. Frankly, I think that repairs are always less costly than replacement. I also suspect that the age of the bridge is being used as an excuse to expand the bridge.

If the decision is to replace it, I would prefer the four lane configuration. I much prefer the smaller footprint from an environmental and visual standpoint.

If the decision is to expand it, I much prefer the six lane configuration to the additional bridge to the UW campus. That octopus monstrosity would be terribly unsightly and ruin the University of Washington. That would be a very, very bad choice.

**Comment Category: Aesthetics and Visual Quality**

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

**Comment:**

Of the four alternatives, I would most prefer renovating the current bridge. Frankly, I think that repairs are always less costly than replacement. I also suspect that the age of the bridge is being used as an excuse to expand the bridge.

If the decision is to replace it, I would prefer the four lane configuration. I much prefer the smaller footprint from an environmental and visual standpoint.

If the decision is to expand it, I much prefer the six lane configuration to the additional bridge to the UW campus. That octopus monstrosity would be terribly unsightly and ruin the University of Washington. That would be a very, very bad choice.

**Comment Category: Ecosystems**

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

**Comment:**

Of the four alternatives, I would most prefer renovating the current bridge. Frankly, I think that repairs are always less costly than replacement. I also suspect that the age of the bridge is being used as an excuse to expand the bridge.

If the decision is to replace it, I would prefer the four lane configuration. I much prefer the smaller footprint from an environmental and visual standpoint.

I-0002-001

**Comment Summary:**

4-Lane Alternative

**Response:**

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0002-001

If the decision is to expand it, I much prefer the six lane configuration to the additional bridge to the UW campus. That octopus monstrosity would be terribly unsightly and ruin the University of Washington. That would be a very, very bad choice.

**Comment Category: Other Environmental Effects**

**Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1**

**Comment:**

Of the four alternatives, I would most prefer renovating the current bridge. Frankly, I think that repairs are always less costly than replacement. I also suspect that the age of the bridge is being used as an excuse to expand the bridge.

If the decision is to replace it, I would prefer the four lane configuration. I much prefer the smaller footprint from an environmental and visual standpoint.

If the decision is to expand it, I much prefer the six lane configuration to the additional bridge to the UW campus. That octopus monstrosity would be terribly unsightly and ruin the University of Washington. That would be a very, very bad choice.