

Online Comment by User: bowman07

Submitted on: 10/24/2006 8:28:00 AM

Comment Category: Pacific Street Interchange

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98004

I-0061-001

Comment:

I am in favor of this option to the six-lane alternative. So long as WSDOT and its partners make every effort to mitigate the environmental impact on the Arboretum and the lake wildlife, the Pacific Street Interchange offers the best choice in meeting the throughput demands of the corridor, and has the potential for light rail in the future, of which I am strongly in favor. My family lives in Redmond and I work in Bellevue, but my wife works in Seattle and must cross SR 520 every day. Please don't delay.

Comment Category: 4-Lane Alternative

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

I-0061-002

Comment:

Please DO NOT adopt this 4-lane alternative in any form. This is not a good option for meeting the corridor's demand for throughput because it decreases capacity in the corridor. It also is not forward thinking because it does not provide for future light rail.

Comment Category: 6-Lane Alternative

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

I-0061-003

Comment:

I am strongly in favor of the 6-lane alternative and ask that you adopt it. We need to maintain or increase capacity along this corridor, and having two general purpose lanes and an HOV lane is a good start. Building the structures/pontoons with an eye toward future light rail is also worth every penny. (See my separate comment in favor of the Pacific Street Interchange.)

Comment Category: General Comments

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

I-0061-004

Comment:

I would like to thank Julie and John for their leadership on this project. During my stint as counsel for the House Transportation Committee in 2006, I had the opportunity to attend numerous open houses and public hearings on the SR 520 project, including taking a boat tour of the SR 520 bridge with the expert review panel, and in my conversations with both John and Julie it became apparent how dedicated and knowledgeable they are. The citizens of the seven affected communities do not realize how lucky they are that this project has these particular individuals at the helm. Keep up the good work!

- David Bowman

I-0061-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0061-002

Comment Summary:

4-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0061-003

Comment Summary:

6-Lane Alternative

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.

I-0061-004

Comment Summary:

Coordination with Other Transportation Projects

Response:

See Section 1.0 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.