

I-0202-001

Online Comment by User: G. Logan

Submitted on: 10/30/2006 12:55:00 PM

Comment Category: Pacific Street Interchange

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Address: , , 98103

Comment:

I oppose the Pacific Street interchange and all six lane alternatives.

The PSE and other six lane options have detrimental impacts on the surrounding natural environment, encourage irresponsible expansion of sov traffic, are far too expensive compared to the four lane designs and have demonstrated little realistic funding ability. These are only a few of the many problems presented by expanding 520 to six lanes.

520 options should encourage transit use while limiting capacity for sov's and minimizing impacts on wetlands, the Arboretum and surrounding neighborhoods. These goals are best accomplished by limiting 520 to four lanes.

Geof Logan
Seattle

Comment Category: 6-Lane Alternative

Comment Location: Chapter-1, Page-1

Comment:

I oppose the Pacific Street interchange and all six lane alternatives for replacement of the SR 520 bridge.

The PSI and other six lane options have significant, detrimental impacts on the surrounding natural environment, encourage irresponsible expansion of sov traffic, are far too expensive compared to the four lane designs and have demonstrated little funding ability.

These are only a few of the many problems presented by expanding 520 to six lanes.

520 options should encourage transit use, limit capacity for sov's and minimize impacts on wetlands, the Arboretum and surrounding neighborhoods in a manner that accurately reflects financial realities in the face of other, competing transportation needs.

The four lane options represent the best combination of these environmentally and fiscally responsible goals.

Geof Logan
Seattle

I-0202-001

Comment Summary:

Pacific Street Interchange Option

Response:

See Section 1.2 of the 2006 Draft EIS Comment Response Report.